

**FE MADRIDEO AND NARCISO MATURGO, PETITIONERS, VS.
HONORABLE COURT OF APPEALS, ANTONIO LLORENTE, MATILDE
GENTLE, ONISIMO LLORENTE, ANTONIA TAMBOR, LUISA
LLORENTE, JOSE LLORENTE, ...**

G.R. No. 62091. July 29, 1985

Ponente: MELENCIO-HERRERA, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-62091/>

MELENCIO-HERRERA, J.:

This Certiorari proceeding is in relation to a Civil Case involving three parties who may hereinafter be referred to as the Spouses Maturgo, the Llorente Group, and the Alcala Group, the act of an individual included in any of the two groups being deemed to be the act of the group. The case started on January 18, 1972, when the Llorente Group filed before the Court of First Instance of Albay a Complaint docketed as Civil Case No. 4564. Named defendants were the Alcala Group and the Spouses Maturgo. A statement of the facts and of the case may be made as follows:

1. There are two cadastral lots situated in Daraga, Albay, which used to be covered by Original Certificates of title Nos. RO-15434 (17622) and RO-15435 (2270), issued in the names of predecessors-in-interest of the Llorente Group.
2. Upon petition filed in the cadastral case, the OCT's were cancelled in 1971, and replaced by Transfer Certificates of Title Nos. T-29762 and T-29763 in the name of the Alcala Group, based on the finding that the predecessors-in-interest of the Llorente Group had previously sold the two lots to the Alcala Group. Thereafter, the Alcala Group sold the two lots to the Spouses Maturgo, who were then issued TCT's Nos. T--30744 and T-30746.
3. In their Complaint, the Llorente Group alleged that there was fraud committed by the Alcala Group when the latter had the OCT's cancelled, and new TCT's issued in their name; and that there was fraud also in the transfer of the two lots to the spouses Maturgo.
4. The trial Court ruled that (a) the Spouses Maturgo were purchasers in good faith, such that they could retain their titles to the two lots; and (2) that the Llorente Group was unlawfully divested of their ownership of the two lots by the Alcala Group, with the latter, inter-alia, being ordered to pay the Llorente Group the then actual market value of the two lots.
5. The Alcala Group took an appeal to respondent Appellate Court. What may be pointed out in connection with the appeal is that the Spouses Maturgo could not be considered neither appellants nor appellees. Their rights having been confirmed by the trial Court, they could not be deemed appellants, together with the Alcala Group, in respect of a Decision in their favor. They could not be considered appellees vis-a-vis the Llorente Group, because this group had not appealed. They could not be considered appellees vis-a-vis the Alcala Group because the Alcala Group was the very party who had sold the two lots to them.

6. Respondent Court decided the appeal on July 5, 1979 as follows:

"WHEREFORE, in order to give complete relief to plaintiffs, the decision of the lower court, therefore, should be modified and a new one entered by declaring that the titles to the lands in question in the names of any of the defendants are null and void, and reinstating in the records of the Register of Deeds the titles on the lands namely, Original Certificates of Titles Nos. 153134 (17622) and 15435 (2270) [Exhx. A & B]. Defendants are ordered to pay plaintiffs P300.00 as attorney's fees and the costs."

It is clear that "relief" had been granted to the Llorente Group, as appellees, to the prejudice of the Spouses Maturgo who were really third parties to the appeal, being neither appellants nor appellees.

7. After entry of judgment by respondent Court, and return of the records to the lower Court on May 16, 1980, TCTs Nos. T-30744 and T-30746, in the names of the Spouses Maturgo were cancelled and, on May 11, 1981, TCTs Nos. T-60083 and T-60084 were issued in the names of the Llorente Group, which were simultaneously cancelled and substituted by TCTs Nos. T-60085 and T-60086 in the name of Church Assistance Program, as transferees of the Llorente Group.

8. On April 20, 1982, the Spouses Maturgo filed a Motion before respondent Court to set aside the entry of judgment and to recall records of the case, praying that their titles, TCTs Nos. T-30744 and T-30746, be reinstated; and that TCTs Nos. T-60083, T-60084, T-60085 and T-60086 be cancelled. The Motion was denied by respondent Court on September 22, 1982. The petition for certiorari in this case was filed on October 16, 1982. Respondents did not file a memorandum.

It is quite clear that respondent Court had no jurisdiction to reverse the finding of the trial Court in favor of the Spouses Maturgo and against the Llorente Group, considering that the latter had not appealed from that finding.

"It is a well-settled rule in this jurisdiction that whenever an appeal is taken in a civil case an appellee who has not himself appealed cannot obtain from the appellate court any affirmative relief other than the ones granted in the decision of the court below." [1]

The Llorente Group, as appellee, was granted by the trial Court the right, inter alia, to collect from the Alcala Group the reasonable market value of the two cadastral lots. Respondent Court, in the appeal of the Alcala Group, cannot grant the Llorente Group, any other relief; that is, they cannot be made to recover the two cadastral lots from the Spouses Maturgo.

In the case of *Aparri v. Court of Appeals*, 13 SCRA, 611, 616-617, the following was said:

"the principle is that while an appellee who is not an appellant, may assign errors in her brief, she may do so only to maintain the judgment on other grounds, but not to have the judgment modified or reversed, for, in such case she must appeal. (2 Moran Comments on the Rules of Court 427-428 [1963])".

The Llorente Group, as appellee, can only maintain the judgment of the trial Court; they cannot ask that the judgment be modified or reversed. There was no valid justifiable issue in the Alcala appeal between the Llorente Group and the Spouses Maturgo who were not parties in the appeal. Respondent Court acted without jurisdiction in making a ruling in favor of the Llorente Group and against the Spouses Maturgo in deciding the Alcala Group appeal.

WHEREFORE, the Decision of respondent Court of July 5, 1979, in its case CA-G.R. No. 61176-R, Antonio Llorente, et al. vs. Antonia Macinas, et al., is hereby SET ASIDE.

To terminate the controversy between the Llorente Group and the Alcala Group, the judgment in Civil Case No. 4564 of the then Court of First Instance of Albay is hereby reinstated and affirmed, which would have been the

resolution of respondent Court were it is not for its ruling against the Spouses Maturgo.

As an incident in Civil Case No. 4564, the Regional Trial Court to which said case may be assigned shall hold hearings to determine the rights and obligations of the Church Assistance Program.

No costs.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, (Chairman), Plana, Relova, Gutierrez, Jr., and De La Fuente, JJ., concur.

Alampay, J., no part.

[1] Dy and Tual vs. Kuizon, 113 Phil. 592, 594 (1961).

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.