

VICENTE LUZ, PETITIONER, VS. THE HONORABLE SANDIGANBAYAN AND THE PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. 56132. October 02, 1986

Ponente: PARAS, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-56132/>

PARAS, J.:

In a complaint filed on July 19, 1980, (apparently as a retaliatory move for his ouster as municipal treasurer of the municipality of Bula, province of Camarines Sur) with the Sandiganbayan, Nestor R. Rueda charged the following with the crimes of malversation of public funds and falsification of public documents:

- herein petitioner Vicente Luz, Acting Municipal Treasurer[1] (who had replaced complainant Rueda);
- Sabino Pontanal; mayor of the municipality of Bula;
- Juan Baldoza;
- Eliseo Parro;
- Rodolfo Colambo
- Juan Alba;
- Manuel Raña;
- Perfecto Nogales, and
- Antonio Guinoo.

The undisputed facts indicate that the accused policemen, after rendering service as such policemen in the municipality were unable, for one reason or another, to get paid. To enable them to receive something, the mayor decided to have them included in the payroll for laborers on the municipal roads and bridges, and issued a certification to said effect. The certification was then sent to petitioner who in his capacity as acting municipal treasurer and disbursing officer, signed the voucher involved (Voucher No. 118). The policemen were then paid. When complainant learned of this irregularity, (with the government being compelled to disburse some P336.00, or P48.00 for each of the seven policemen involved), he filed his charge with the Sandiganbayan. After trial, all the aforementioned accused were acquitted of the crime of malversation of public funds and all except petitioner Vicente Luz were acquitted of the crime of falsification of public document. No sentence was imposed on the mayor because he had died while the trial was in progress, As already stated petitioner was convicted of falsification of public document and was sentenced to:

an indeterminate imprisonment ranging from two (2) years, four (4) months and one (1) day of prison correccional as minimum, eight (8) years and one (1) day of prison Mayor as maximum, to pay a fine of P2,000.00 and to pay the costs.

After his motion for reconsideration was denied, he filed the instant petition with Our Court.

He alleges in his defense that he knew nothing of the scheme devised by the mayor to enable the policemen to get paid, that he did not know them personally and did not know they were really policemen; that at any rate his duty to allow payment was purely ministerial as long as the needed certification by the municipal mayor was present, since there is no law or regulation which requires him to get out into the roads and bridges to see for himself whether or not the persons supposed to be paid were actually performing their jobs.

We believe he should be acquitted on the basis of reasonable doubt, among other things. No proof has been given of the charge, that he knew of the mayor's plan. And besides the presumption of good faith, it is clear that what he did was purely ministerial, in view of the certification that had been made by the municipal mayor. (See *People v. Reodica*, 62 Phil. 567). Were he to be given the task of verifying whether or not the employees and laborers of the municipality are really performing their assigned jobs (See *U.S. v. Balais*, 17 Phil. 503), his would be an impossible function.

WHEREFORE, petitioner is hereby ACQUITTED on reasonable doubt.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, C.J., Feria, Yap, Fernan, Narvasa, Melencio-Herrera, Alampay, Gutierrez, Jr., Cruz, and Feliciano, JJ., concur.

[1] He was Officer-in-charge of the Treasurer's Office.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.