

THE PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. ALFREDO CORTAGA AND ROMEO YANZA, ACCUSED-APPELLANTS.

G.R. No. 55049. February 25, 1985

Ponente: MELENCIO-HERRERA, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-55049/>

MELENCIO-HERRERA, J.:

For automatic review is the Decision of the then Circuit Criminal Court at Pasig, Metro Manila, sentencing Alfredo Cortaga and Romeo Yanza with capital punishment (CCC-VII-2621-Rizal).

Alfredo Cortaga, Artemio Malinao and Romeo Yanza, all convicts serving their respective sentences at the New Bilibid Prison, Muntinlupa, Metro Manila, were charged with the crime of Murder committed as follows:

"That on or about the 28th day of June, 1977, in the municipality of Muntinlupa, Metro Manila, Philippines and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused, convicts confined and serving their respective sentences in the New Bilibid Prison by virtue of final judgment rendered against them by courts of competent jurisdiction, conspiring and confederating together and mutually helping and aiding one another, with intent to kill, evident premeditation and treachery, did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously attack, assault and stab with improvised deadly weapons one Jaime Alafritz, also a convict confined and serving sentence in the said institution, thereby inflicting upon the latter stab wounds on the vital parts of his body which directly caused his death.

Contrary to law, with the aggravating circumstance of quasi-recidivism, the accused having committed the crime char

ged while serving their respective sentences in the New Bilibid Prison."[1]

Upon arraignment, accused Cortaga and Yanza, with the assistance of counsel, pleaded guilty, while their co-accused Malinao pleaded not guilty. The Trial Court directed the taking of testimony to establish guilt and determine the precise degree of culpability.

The evidence presented disclosed the following facts:

"The stabbing incident in question transpired at the New Bilibid Prison, Muntinlupa, Metro Manila. It involved appellants Alfredo Cortaga and Romeo Yanza on one hand, and Jaime Alafritz, all prisoners serving their respective sentences. Appellants Alfredo Cortaga and Romeo Yanza are members of the 'Batang City Jail' Gang while Jaime Alafritz was a member of the 'Bahala Na', Gang (pp. 1-6, tsn, July 11, 1978, p. 12, tsn., July 7, 1978).

"In the afternoon of June 28, 1977, when that incident transpired, Rodolfo Reyes, a prisoner belonging to the gang of Jaime Alafritz, was standing inside the control gate just about to return to his dormitory. When Rodolfo Reyes saw appellants pull out something from their waists, he pretended to pull out a weapon. Appellants, thus retreated, and proceeded instead to the NBP hospital. At the corridor, appellants met un-armed Jaime Alafritz and suddenly stabbed him several times with improvised deadly weapons (Exhs. D, E, F). Rodolfo Reyes witnessed appellants stab Jaime Alafritz (p. 3, tsn., July 11, 1978). Shortly thereafter, Jaime Alafritz died (p. 21,

tsn., July 7, 1978).

"After the stabbing incident, appellants surrendered their weapons used in stabbing Jaime Alafritz (p.13, tsn., July 13, 1978) to NBP Supervising Prison Guard Constantino Guerrero. Subsequently, appellants, after being apprised of their constitutional rights, voluntarily executed separate extra-judicial statements (Exhs. H, H-1, pp. 39-40, rec.; Exh. J, p. 43, rec.) before NBP investigator Severo Joven in which they confessed that they stabbed Jaime Alafritz with improvised deadly weapons (Exhs. D, E, F). Appellant Cortaga, in his statement (Exh. H, H-1, id.), further stated that a day prior to the actual stabbing he and appellant Yanza planned the killing of Jaime Alafritz because members of their gang were shouted at and warned to be careful by him.

"According to Dr. Virgilio Gernale, NBI Medico Legal Officer who conducted an autopsy, Jaime Alafritz sustained five stab wounds and two incised wounds on various parts of his body caused by blunt deadly instruments (Exh. A, A-1, to A-2; C, unnumbered page, rec.; Exh. B, p. 35, rec.) p. 3, tsn., July 7, 1978) and that the stab wounds which penetrated his heart and intestines caused his death (p. 13, tsn., July 7, 1983) [should be 1978]."[2]

After reception of testimony, judgment was rendered, the dispositive portion of which reads:

"WHEREFORE, finding the accused Artemio Cortaga (should be Alfredo) and Romeo Yanza, Guilty beyond reasonable doubt for violation of Article 248, in relation to Article 160 of the Revised Penal Code, the Court hereby sentences each one of them to suffer the penalty of DEATH; to indemnity the heirs of the offended party in the amount of P10,000.00, jointly and severally; to pay moral damages in the amount of P5,000.00, to pay exemplary damages in the amount of P5,000.00, jointly and severally; and to pay their proportionate share of the costs. The accused, Artemio Malinao is hereby ACQUITTED.

"The immediate release of accused Artemio Malinao from his detention is hereby ordered, unless held on some other legal grounds.

Pursuant to Section 32, Rule 138 of the New Rules of Court, Atty. Agcot, who was appointed counsel de oficio is hereby ordered compensated in the amount of P500.00, subject, however, to the availability of funds".[3]

Appellants now assail the imposition of the death penalty and contend that -

I

"The trial Court erred in holding that there was evident premeditation and conspiracy in the commission of the offense.

II

"The trial Court erred in not considering the spontaneous plea of guilty of the two accused to mitigate the offense.

III

"The trial Court erred in sentencing the two accused to death.

The assigned errors cannot be sustained.

The evidence shows the existence of evident premeditation and conspiracy between appellants Cortaga and Yanza in the commission of the offense. They had planned and scheduled the killing of Alafritz on the 28th of June 1977. This is clear from the unassailed extra-judicial written statement executed by Cortaga before the "Tanod Tagasiyasat" (Ex-hibit "H"), which reads in part:

"05. T - May pangkat ka bang kinaaaniban sa ngayon?

S - Oho sir at BCJ po Batang City Jail po.

06. T - Sa ngayon saang brigada ka ngayon nakahimpil?

S - Sa building 7-D po sir.

07. T - Alam mo ba kung bakit kita tinawagan sa iyong brigada ngayon?

S - Opo sir tungkol po sa pagkakasaksak po namin kay Alafriz.

08. T -

Sino sino pa iyong tinutukoy mong kasama namin na sumaksak kay Alafriz?

S - Si Bilanggong Romeo Yanza po sir at wala ng iba.

09. T - Noong Hunyo 28 ng taong 1977 humigit kumulang sa alas Singko singkwenta ng hapon saan ka naroroon?

S - Andoon po sir sa may tabi ng Hospital at pai-kot ikot sa paglalakad.

10. T - Noong Hunyo 28 taong 1977 hunigit kumulang sa alas singko singkwenta ng hapon mayroon pangyayari ka bang natatandaan na naganap dito sa loob ng compound ng bilangguang ito?

S - Opo sir ang pagkakasaksak po namin sa bi-langgong si Jaime Alafriz.

11. T -

Saan mo nakilala itong si bilanggong Jaime Alafriz, at ano ang kinaaanibang pangkat ni Alafriz?

S - Dito lang po sir sa Bilibid ang pagkakaalam ko po sir ay miyembro ng Bahala na Gang.

12. T - Sinabi mong ikaw ang isa sa mga sumaksak dito sa bilanggong si Jaime Alafriz, sabihin mo nga sa akin ang dahilan kung bakit sinaksak ninyo siya?

S - Ang dahilan po sir bago naganap noon ang pana-naksak namin ay sumigaw siya sa pangkat ng GIG na noon ding araw na iyon ay may dalawang miyembro ng GIG ang aming inaabangan na nanggaling sa dalaw at sinabi niya (Alafriz) sa dalawang GIG na magingat kayo at may dalawang nagaabang sa inyo at ng marinig namin iyon sir na pagsigaw ni Alafriz ay hindi na namin tinuloy ang pananaksak at kami ni bilanggong Romeo Yanza ay umuwi na sa aming brigada. Ng kami ay nasa brigada na ay pinagusapan namin ni Bilanggong Romeo Yanza na papata-yin wain si Alafriz dahil sa galit namin na sumigaw siya sa mga GIG kaya noong kinabukasan din ay iniskedyul namin siya.

13. T - Noong petsa 28 ng Hunyo 1977 papaano naman kayo nakalabas sa inyong brigada?

S - Dumaan po kami sir sa kisame at gumamit kami ng tale para makalabas.

14. T -

Saan lugar ninyo sinaksak itong si bilanggong Jaime Alafriz?

S - Doon po sir sa malapit sa harapan ng pintuan ng Hospital dito po sir loob ng Prison Compound.

15. T - Ano ang ginagawa nitong si Alafriz ng inyong saksakin?

S - Nanggaling po sir kami dito sa kanto ng Hos-pital na malapit po sa dalawan ay nakita namin itong si bilanggong Jaime Alafriz na lumalabas ng Hospital ng makita namin ay agad agad naming siyang sinalubong at sinaksak po namin agad ni bilanggong Romeo Yanza sa parte ng kangyang harapang katawan."[4]

The foregoing likewise supports a finding of treachery. By their own admission, appellants suddenly stabbed the victim, several times with improvised deadly weapons. At the time, the victim was unarmed and completely defenseless.

The crime is Murder qualified by treachery and attended by the generic aggravating circumstance of evident premeditation and the special aggravating circumstance of quasi-recidivism considering that appellants were serving their respective sentences by virtue of final judgments at the time they fatally stabbed the deceased. The penalty thus imposable is the maximum penalty of death without regard to the effect of the mitigating circumstance of accused-appellants' plea of guilty or voluntary surrender.[5] However, due to lack of the necessary votes, the sentence is commuted to reclusion perpetua.

WHEREFORE, the judgment under review is hereby modified in that accused-appellants are hereby sentenced to reclusion perpetua and the indemnity is increased to P30,000.00. Costs against accused-appellants.

SO ORDERED.

Fernando, C.J., Aquino, Concepcion, Jr., Abad Santos, Plana, Escolin, Relova, Gutierrez, Jr., De la Fuente, Cuevas, and Alampay, JJ., concur.

Teehankee, J., in the result.

Makasiar, J., no part.

[1] Original Record, p. 2.

[2] Brief for the People, pp. 2-3.

[3] Ibid., pp. 61-62.

[4] Exhibit "H", pp. 39-40, Original Record.

[5] Article 160, Revised Penal Code; People vs. Bautista, 65 SCRA 460 (1975).

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.