

INTESTATE ESTATE OF THE DECEASED OH TIONG KENG (ALIAS OH TIONG KING, ALIAS O TIONG KENG). M. CHUA KAY & COMPANY, PETITIONER AND APPELLANT, VS. WIDOW AND HEIRS OF OH TIONG KENG, ...

G. R. No. 48357. January 16, 1963

Ponente: BUTTE, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-48357-2/>

BUTTE, J.:

This is an appeal from an order of the Court of First Instance of Manila denying the petition of the appellant to reopen the intestate proceedings of the estate of Oh Tiong Keng, deceased, and to appoint a new commission on claims and appraisal to pass upon a claim of the appellant against the said estate.

Oh Tiong Keng died in Sorsogon on November 9, 1932. On March 4, 1933, his widow presented a petition in the Court of First Instance of Manila for the administration of his estate. She was duly appointed and commissioners on claims and appraisal were likewise appointed on due notice and performed their duties in accordance with law. On July 26, 1934, the estate was ordered closed, the property thereof having been distributed to the heirs and the bond of the administratrix having been cancelled. On October 31, 1934, the appellant filed in said cause the petition which is involved on this appeal.

The petitioner alleged in substance that it is one of the creditors of the deceased Oh Tiong Keng in the sum of P20,249.85; that the petitioner was expecting that the administration of the estate of Oh Tiong Keng would be filed in the Court of First Instance of Sorsogon inasmuch as it was the province of his residence at the time of his death; that having learned on September 26, 1934, that no such proceeding was filed in the Court of First Instance of Sorsogon, the petitioner, as one of the creditors of the deceased, filed such a petition in the Court of First Instance of Sorsogon; that thereafter it discovered that the Court of First Instance of Manila as aforesaid had taken jurisdiction of the administration of the said estate and the petitioner had no opportunity to present its claim before the commissioners on claims and appraisal appointed by the latter court; that the petitioner was deprived of its opportunity to present its claim by reason of the fraudulent machinations of the widow and heirs of the deceased Oh Tiong Keng; wherefore the petitioner prayed that the proceedings be reopened, that the widow be renominated as administratrix or if she declines then some other person, and that commissioners be appointed to hear and decide the claim of the petitioner.

The substance of the appellant's arguments on this appeal is that the Court of First Instance of Manila had no jurisdiction to administer the estate of Oh Tiong Keng because at the time of his death he resided in Sorsogon and not in Manila. (Code of Civ. Proc., section 600.) The trial court found that although Oh Tiong Keng died in Sorsogon his residence at the time of his death was in fact Manila. The evidence on this issue of fact is conflicting but in the view which we take of the case we do not think it is necessary to determine this issue of fact. The record on appeal before us fails to show that any issue of jurisdiction was raised or decided by the trial court. It shows furthermore that the petitioner-appellant voluntarily submitted itself to the jurisdiction of the trial court when it

filed its petition in this cause praying for the re-appointment of the widow as administratrix and the re-appointment of commissioners on claims and appraisal. Nor do we deem it proper to go into the question of jurisdiction for the first time on appeal in this case in view of the circumstances that the estate has been finally closed for more than a year and a half and that no action in the Court of First Instance of Sorsogon was taken by the petitioner as a creditor until nearly two years after the death of Oh Tiong Keng.

The fact that the petitioner relied on the personal promise of the widow that she would pay the petitioner's claim in small installments and that she failed to comply with that promise is, in our opinion, no sufficient excuse for the delay of the petitioner as creditor in making application for the appointment of an administrator in Sorsogon. Section 642, paragraph 2, of the Code of Civil Procedure is as follows:

* * * * *

"2. If such surviving husband or wife, as the case may be, or next of kin, or the person selected by them, be unsuitable, or if the husband or widow, or next of kin neglect for thirty days after the death of the person to apply for administration, or to request that administration be granted to some other person, it may be granted to one or more of the principal creditors, if competent and willing to serve."

* * * * *

It is to be noted that the petitioner, if the residence of the deceased was in fact in the Province of Sorsogon, had the right to present a petition for the appointment of an administrator at any time after thirty days after the death of Oh Tiong Keng which occurred on November 9, 1932. Apparently, instead of acting promptly it preferred to rely on the promise of payment made by the widow and slept on its rights. It is in the public interest that 'estates should be administered with the utmost reasonable despatch and we see no warrant under the circumstances of this case for reversing the order appealed from.

The judgment is affirmed with costs against the appellant.

Malcolm, Villa-Real, and Imperial, JJ., concur.

Goddard, J.: I concur in the result.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.