

KISAJIRO OKAMOTO, CAPTAIN OF THE JAPANESE MOTOR FISHING SAMPAN, HOSHO MARU, PLAINTIFF AND APPELLEE, VS. THE INSULAE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS, DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT.

G. R. No. 39969. July 11, 1934

Ponente: HULL, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-39969/>

HULL, J.:

About noon of December 14, 1932, revenue cutter Arayat sighted a Japanese fishing boat named the Hosho Maru, anchored a short distance away from Salomague Island, a small island off the coast of Northern Luzon. A boarding party was put aboard the fishing vessel and found seven bags of rice, three sharks, three tunas, and three trays of bait, with fishing tackle but no articles of commerce or passengers.

Upon a report being made to the Insular Collector of Customs, that official directed the seizure of the Hosho Maru and, after administrative proceedings, decreed the forfeiture of the vessel and directed its sale at public auction for the benefit of the Government, due to the violation of customs and quarantine laws and regulations.

The captain appealed the case to the Court of First Instance of Manila, where additional evidence was taken. That court held that the Hosho Maru took refuge in Philippine waters on account of stress of weather, that the Hosho Maru was not engaged in the importation of merchandise in any Philippine port, and that nothing had been found indicating that the fishing vessel unlawfully violated any customs or quarantine law or regulation. Therefore the order of confiscation and forfeiture was reversed and the vessel ordered returned to its owner, with costs against the Insular Collector of Customs.

The Solicitor-General brings this appeal and asks this court to reverse the judgment of the Court of First Instance of Manila and affirm the decision of the Insular Collector of Customs.

Our attention has not been called to any statute authorizing the Collector of Customs to order the forfeiture of this boat. Both in England and in the United States confiscation of a vessel for violation of a customs law cannot be had administratively but only by court proceedings. Section 1363 of the Administrative Code provides for the forfeiture of vessels engaged in certain specified illegal actions, none of which apply in this case. It therefore must be held that the Collector of Customs was without authority to order the forfeiture of the vessel.

In this kind of appeal the lower court had the right to take additional evidence and is not limited to the administrative record as in cases of immigration and deportation of aliens. The rule in this kind of case is correctly set forth in *Smith, Bell & Co. vs. Collector of Customs* (37 Phil., 87).

The right of asylum from stress of weather is a right well recognized by international law and is in accordance with the dictates of Christianity. The only limitation is that the weather must be such as to create an honest belief in the mind of a skillful and firm mariner.

As the Solicitor-General is unable to point out the specific law or regulation that he claims the Hosho Maru violated, further proceedings are deemed unnecessary.

The judgment appealed from is therefore affirmed with the modification that costs in both instances will be de oficio. So ordered.

Malcolm, Villa-Real, Abad Santos, Imperial, Butte, Goddard, and Diaz, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.