

ARSENIO JIMENEZ, PLAINTIFF AND APPELLEE, VS. JULIO JAVELLANA, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MAXIMO JALANDONI, DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT.

G.R. No. 2382. April 05, 1906

Ponente: JOHNSON, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-2382/>

JOHNSON, J.:

This was an action brought by the plaintiff against the defendant to recover the sum of 648 pesos for professional services rendered to Maximo Jalandoni during his last sickness. The claim was presented to a commission appointed under sections 773-776 of the Code of Procedure in Civil Actions. The said commission disallowed many of the items of the claim of the plaintiff. From the decision of the commission the plaintiff appealed to the Court of First Instance of the Province of Iloilo. The judge of the Court of First Instance, after hearing the evidence adduced during the trial of said case, rendered a judgment in favor of the plaintiff in the sum of 581.81 pesos. From this decision the defendant appealed to this court. The plaintiff asks for a confirmation of the decision of the inferior court.

During the trial the plaintiff showed by competent witnesses the following facts:

First. That he had been employed by the deceased, Maximo Jalandoni, as a physician to render professional services during the last sickness of the latter. Second. That he performed the services which were itemized and set out in his complaint.

Third. That the charges for the services as there itemized were reasonable.

The defendant attempted to prove that the plaintiff had not been employed by the said deceased and that the plaintiff had not performed the services itemized in his account. An examination of the evidence adduced during the trial convinces us that there is a great preponderance of evidence in favor of the claim of the plaintiff. The judgment of the inferior court is therefore hereby affirmed with costs. After the expiration of twenty days let final judgment be entered and ten days thereafter let the case be remanded to the lower court for proper procedure. So ordered.

Arellano, C. J., Torres, Carson, and Willard, JJ., concur.

Mapa, J., did not vote.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.