

FINDLAY & CO., PETITIONERS, VS. BYRON S. AMBLER, JUDGE OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF MANILA, RESPONDENT.

G.R. No. 1688. April 15, 1904

Ponente: COOPER, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-1688/>

COOPER, J.:

This is an original suit for mandamus brought under section 515 of the Code of Civil Procedure by the plaintiffs, Findlay & Co., against the Hon. B.S. Ambler, judge of the Court of First Instance, to compel him to issue an execution on a certain judgment rendered by him as judge of the Court of First Instance in the city of Manila, in favor of the plaintiffs, Findlay & Co., against Fulgencio Tan Tonco, on the 27th day of January, 1903, for the sum of \$7,070.19, Mexican currency, with legal interest from the date of the filing of the complaint in said case, and also the costs of suit.

This suit is of the same character as that of Eugenio Bonaplata vs. Byron R Ambler (1 Off. Gaz., 607[1]), decided by this court August 1, 1903. In that case the validity of the appointment of Antonio Torres as receiver of the estate of Tan Tonco in the cause of Sergia Reyes vs. Tan Tonco was involved, and it was there held that the court in appointing Antonio Torres as receiver of the estate of Tan Tonco acted in excess of its jurisdiction.

The same question has also arisen in other cases growing out of the appointment of receiver in the said case of Sergia Reyes vs. Tan Tonco, among them the recent case of Encarnacion vs. Ambler, decided on the 8th day of April, 1904.[2]

We adhere to the views expressed in these cases, and under their authority a mandamus will be granted.

The defendant, the Hon. B. S. Ambler, judge of the Court of First Instance, is hereby directed to make an order directing the clerk of the Court of First Instance of the city of Manila to issue an execution in favor of the plaintiff and against Fulgencio Tan Tonco on said judgment rendered on the 27th day of January, 1903, in said Court of First Instance, in said cause of Findlay & Co. vs. Fulgencio Tan Tonco.

The cost of the suit is adjudged against the defendant.

Arellano, C. J., Torres, Mapa, McDonough, and Johnson, JJ., concur.

[1]2 Phil. Rep., 392.

[2] Page 623, supra.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.