

**PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. XXX,
ACCUSED-APPELLANT.***G.R. No. 147606. January 14, 2003*

Ponente: BELLOSILLO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-147606/>**BELLOSILLO, J.:**

The court a quo found XXX guilty of raping his fourteen (14)-year old daughter AAA and sentenced him to death. It also ordered him to indemnify his victim the amount of P75,000.00 and P50,000.00 as moral damages, and to pay the costs.[1]

"He molested me everyday, except on Sundays when my mother was around." [2] This was the heartrending account of private complainant AAA when she narrated to the court the sexual molestations she suffered in the hands of her lecherous father XXX.

As narrated by AAA, she first experienced her father's depravity when at around noon of 28 November 1998 he ordered her to enter the room and to take off her clothes. Earlier, she noticed that he ordered her siblings xxxxxxxxxxxx (8), xxxxxxxxxxxx (6) and brother xxxxxxxxxxxx (2) to go to sleep. Sensing her father's lewd intentions, she started crying.

Now entrapped inside the room, AAA first thought of fleeing but changed her mind when she saw the ominous figure of her father with a bolo on hand. XXX forcibly stripped her of her clothing, caressed her body with his lips and inserted his penis into her organ. She tried to free herself but her father was simply too strong for her. After satiating his lust, he wiped her private part and pressed her stomach which according to her was intended to thwart pregnancy.[3]

AAA recounted that in the early morning of 25 February 1999 her mother xxxxxxxxxxxx, as usual, left for work leaving her behind in the house with her father and her two (2) sisters and a brother who were all much younger than she. At around noon, her father suddenly straddled her, repeatedly kissed her body including her most delicate parts, and penetrated her sexually. She pleaded to him to stop as she was hurting, but her entreaties proved futile as her father continued his pumping motion. Not content with his physical superiority, the accused threatened her with a knife if she would not stop resisting. She could only cry in pain and anguish. She recalled that some moments into the frenzy he withdrew his penis from her organ and ejaculated. She surmised that that was intended to prevent her from getting pregnant.

As early as 28 November 1998, AAA already confided to her mother xxxxxxxxxxxx and older brother xxxxxxxxxxxx the rape incidents but her mother remained unmoved, and worse, even sided with the accused and gave credence to his denials.

On a date she could no longer recall, AAA was accompanied by her older brother to the police station where she was interviewed by Police Officer Morada so that the following day she was brought to a medical clinic for physical examination.

AAA revealed on cross-examination that on various occasions her father would maltreat her younger brothers and sisters and break household furniture pieces and appliances whenever she would refuse to give in to his sexual advances. That was exactly what happened on 28 November 1998 when he again let loose his fury by throwing a number of household appliances and other items including two (2) television sets and a radio when AAA refused to give in to his sexual importuning. On about five (5) different occasions, she reported the sexual molestations of her father to her mother but the latter not only would not believe her but also refused to take any step to help her.

Dr. Emmanuel Perez, medico-legal officer of the PNP Crime Laboratory, found the victim's genitalia to be "an elastic, fleshy type hymen with shallow healed lacerations at five and nine o'clock and deep healed lacerations at seven o'clock positions"[4] but found no injury on the extra-genital portion of her body.[5]

Seeking his exoneration, the accused interposed the defense of alibi and denial. His version was that at about seven o'clock in the morning of 27 February 1999 he left for his plantation about two hundred (200) meters from their house. When he returned at around seven o'clock in the evening, he caught AAA kissing with her boyfriend Lando on the sofa. Seeing that one of his children was already crying, he ordered AAA to pacify him but she took offense and irately muttered, "dahil sa inyo wala akong kalayaan." Enraged by his daughter's discourteous remarks, he furiously broke the appliances that he could get his hands on. Thereafter, he gave AAA P10.00 and dared her to call the police and put him in jail if she did not like his advice.

When the commotion subsided, according to him, he returned to his plantation and stayed there in his hut for the night. When he returned to the house the following morning, he was surprised to find police officers inside their house. He was even more stunned when they arrested him for allegedly raping his daughter. When asked for the possible reason why his daughter would impute such a grave accusation against him, he could only guess that AAA regarded him as "malupit" or a cruel father who would scold and punish his children for the slightest infraction. He insisted that his daughter was recalcitrant and hardheaded, and had the habit of cursing him whenever he would give her some advice.

After trial, the court a quo found the accused guilty of raping his daughter AAA on 27 February 1999, and imposed upon him the maximum penalty of death. The trial court gave credence to the testimony of AAA; on the other hand, it did not believe that the alleged resentment of the victim towards her father for being a strict disciplinarian was sufficient enough to cause her to fabricate the very serious charge of incestuous rape against him. If AAA was only concerned with her freedom, she could easily have run away from home. Indeed, his bare denials could not overturn her positive testimony.

In his brief, the accused bemoans his conviction despite a number of inconsistent statements made by the private complainant which, in his view, demean her credibility and shore up his assertion that the alleged victim cried rape if only to gain her freedom to live with her boyfriend. He draws our attention to her statement on direct examination that her younger sisters and brother were ordered by their father to sleep which apparently contradicts her statement on cross-examination that they were asked instead by the accused to play outside the house.[6]

We are not persuaded. This Court has enunciated often enough to the point of triteness and satiety that minor discrepancies do not affect the essential integrity of the witness' testimony in its material whole; on the contrary, they strengthen its veracity, as they are badges of truth rather than indicia of falsehood. Indeed, the natural fickleness and frailty of human memory do not always allow precise recollection and impeccable narration of events especially coming from a minor who undergoes a harrowing and appalling experience.

The accused was positively and categorically pointed to by the victim as the man who sexually molested her. She could not have made a mistake in identifying her own father as her coital tormentor. This fact cannot simply be

overturned by a mere blanket denial. Verily, no child in her right mind would concoct a story of defloration against her own father and expose her whole family to the stigma and disgrace associated with incestuous rape if only to free herself from an overweening and strict parent who only happens to enforce parental guidance and discipline.

According to the trial court, AAA's account of the sexual assault was given in a most straightforward, candid and convincing manner thus leaving no room for doubt that she was in fact ravished by her own father. Consider the following narration -[7]

Q:

Will you kindly inform this Hon. Court how did your father abuse you that day of February 25, 1999, according to you?

A:

He placed his body on my stomach and then he kissed me sir.

Q:

And then?

A:

He also kissed the lower part of my body, sir.

Q:

And then?

A:

He kissed me repeatedly, sir.

Q:

And then?

A:

Sometimes he wanted to kiss my lips but I cover(ed) them with my hand, sir.

Q:

And then, what else transpired?

A:

Sometimes I cry (sic) because it was painful and I told him I do (sic) not like it, sir.

Q:

What was that painful that you felt pain?

A:

Whenever he inserts his private part into my private part, sir.

Q:

Did he insert his private part into your private part that day, February 25, 1999?

A:

Yes, sir.

But for accused XXX to be convicted of qualified rape and sentenced to death, it must be established with certainty that AAA was below eighteen (18) years of age or that she was a minor at the time of the commission of the crime. It must be stressed that the severity of the death penalty, especially its irreversible and final nature once carried out, makes the decision-making process in capital offenses aptly subject to the most exacting rules of procedure and evidence.[8] However, no birth certificate or similar authentic document, e.g., school records or baptismal certificate of AAA was presented to prove her age.

The uncertainty in proving the age of AAA in view of the failure by the prosecution to present any corroborative evidence as to her age only warrants the conviction of the accused for simple rape, not for qualified rape. Hence, the penalty of death imposed by the trial court must be reduced to reclusion perpetua.

The trial court ordered the accused to indemnify the victim in the amount of P75,000.00, which, although undenominated, presumably refers to the civil indemnity, and another P50,000.00 as moral damages.

In view of the finding that the accused is liable only for simple rape, the amount of P75,000.00 as civil indemnity should be lowered to P50,000.00 in conformity with prevailing jurisprudence.

WHEREFORE, the Decision of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 76, San Mateo, Rizal, is MODIFIED. Accused XXX is held guilty of simple rape instead, and not qualified rape, and is sentenced to the lower penalty of reclusion perpetua and ordered to pay the victim AAA P50,000.00 as civil indemnity and P50,000.00 as moral damages. Costs de officio.

SO ORDERED.

Davide, Jr., C.J., Puno, Vitug, Mendoza, Panganiban, Quisumbing, Ynares-Santiago, Sandoval-Gutierrez, Carpio, Austria-Martinez, Corona, Carpio Morales, Callejo, Sr., and Azcuna, JJ., concur.

[1] Decision penned by Judge Jose C. Reyes, Jr., RTC-Br. 76, San Mateo, Rizal, Original Records, p. 125. Note that unlike the amount of P50,000.00 which is specified for moral damages, the P75,000.00 is not designated for what it is awarded.

[2] TSN, 11 August 1999, p. 20. See also Exh. "A," Original Records, p. 92.

[3] TSN, 11 August 1999, p. 5.

[4] TSN, 18 February 2000, p. 5.

[5] Id., pp. 5-6.

[6] Rollo, p. 50.

[7] TSN, 11 August 1999, pp. 6-7.

[8] People v. Manuel Pruna, G. R. No. 138471, 10 October 2002 citing People v. Liban, G.R. Nos. 136247 and 138330, 22 November 2000, 345 SCRA 453.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.