

PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. APOLONIO CULTURA, ACCUSED-APPELLANT.

G.R. No. 133831. February 14, 2003

Ponente: SANDOVAL-GUTIERREZ, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-133831/>

SANDOVAL-GUTIERREZ, J.:

On appeal is the Decision[1] of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 43, Dumaguete City, Negros Oriental, in Criminal Case No. 12492, convicting Apolonio Cultura, accused-appellant, of rape and sentencing him to suffer the penalty of reclusion perpetua and to pay AAA, complainant, the amount of P50,000.00 as civil indemnity.

On May 13, 1996, an Information was filed with the said court charging the accused-appellant with rape committed in the following manner:

"That on April 9, 1996 at about 12:00 o'clock noon at Barrio xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Philippines and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused by means of force and intimidation with the use of a knife willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, did then and there lie and succeeded in having sexual intercourse with an eleven (11) year old victim AAA, without the latter's consent.

"Contrary to Article 335 of the Revised Penal Code." [2]

Upon arraignment, the accused-appellant pleaded not guilty to the crime charged.[3] Thereafter, trial ensued.

The evidence for the prosecution, as synthesized by the Solicitor General in the Appellee's Brief, is as follows:

"At about 11:00 o'clock a.m. of April 9, 1996, eleven year-old AAA, and her brother xxxxxxxxxxxx, were at the Siaton River, just below their house at xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (p. 5, tsn, August 13, 1996) catching shrimps (p. 8, id.). Since the Siaton River was waist-deep, AAA took off her clothes while catching shrimps with a spear gun (p. 3, tsn, October 25, 1996). xxxxxxxxxxxx, however, went home ahead of AAA who wanted to take a bath after catching shrimps (p. 19, tsn, August 13, 1996). While at the river, AAA saw her neighbor, Apolonio Cultura, alias Onyot approaching her after coming from a bamboo grove (p. 6, tsn, October 26, 1996). When Onyot neared AAA, he boxed her twice causing her to fall and to lose consciousness (pp. 6-7, supra). When AAA recovered, she found herself in the bamboo grove. AAA stood up and saw blood oozing out of her sexual organ. AAA ran home but saw Onyot on the road near his farm (p. 7, tsn, October 25, 1996). Onyot warned her not to tell her parents about the incident, otherwise, he will kill her family (p. 7, supra).

"Upon reaching home, xxxxxxxxxxxx, AAA's father, noticed that AAA's sexual organ was bleeding. When asked by her father as to what happened, AAA answered that she was raped by Onyot (pp. 8-9, tsn, November 18, 1996). Immediately, xxxxxxxxxxxx brought AAA to the barangay captain who, upon noticing that AAA's shorts was drenched with blood, advised them to proceed to the police station at the municipal hall (pp. 6 and 10, supra). After the incident was blotted by the police, AAA, upon police advice, was brought to the Bayawan District Hospital where her bleeding sexual organ was cleaned and sutured (p. 11, supra). On examination, Dr. Mitylene Besario Tan, AAA's attending physician, found that AAA sustained a lacerated wound located at the mid-lower aspect, vaginal mucosa extending to the perimeum. The wound was a very serious one because it extended from the inside to the outside of the victim's vagina. Without medical

attendance, it would cause profuse bleeding leading to anemia and possibly to hypovolemic shock and death (p. 8, tsn, July 9, 1996)."[4]

The evidence for the defense consisted of the testimonies of the accused-appellant and Roger Sumili.

Roger Sumili testified that he is a trysicad[5] operator and that the accused-appellant is his driver. On April 9, 1996, the accused-appellant rented his trysicad and plied the routes around the poblacion of Siaton, Negros Oriental from 6:00 o'clock in the morning until 12:00 o'clock noon.[6] Then, they ate lunch at the poblacion market. Thirty minutes later, the accused-appellant resumed driving the trysicad and proceeded to Barangay 2 of Siaton, while Sumili drove another trysicad towards the opposite direction. They encountered each other at the crossroads and also at the marketplace at 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon. Two hours later, Sumili saw the accused-appellant with a policeman riding in a trysicad heading towards the Siaton police station.[7] Sumili later learned that the accused-appellant was charged with rape. According to Sumili, Barangay xxxxxxxxxxxx (where the crime took place) is far from the poblacion although the distance may be traversed by a trysicad or pedicab.[8]

For his part, the accused-appellant, in a very brief testimony, denied committing the crime charged and raised the defense of alibi. He testified that on April 9, 1996 at around 12:00 noon, he was at poblacion Siaton, Negros Oriental, driving a trysicad owned by Roger Sumili. He maintained that he and complainant are not neighbors in Barangay xxxxxxxxxxxx where she lives.[9] According to him, he resides in Camansi, Tanjay.

On March 16, 1998, the trial court rendered its Decision, the dispositive portion of which reads:

"WHEREFORE, judgment is hereby rendered finding the accused Apolonio Cultura alias "Onyot", guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the crime of rape defined and punished under Article 335 of the Revised Penal Code, as amended, under circumstances provided under no. 3 of the same Article of the Revised Penal Code, and the penalty of Reclusion Perpetua is hereby imposed upon the said accused, who is directed to indemnify the victim in the amount of P50,000.00.

"The accused is granted full time credit of the duration of his preventive custody.

"SO ORDERED."[10]

Hence, this appeal.

In his Brief, the accused-appellant contends that the trial court erred:

"...IN CONVICTING ACCUSED-APPELLANT OF RAPE DESPITE THE FACT THAT HIS GUILT WAS NOT PROVED BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT."[11]

In the main, the issue is the credibility of complainant AAA. It is apt to point out once more that factual findings of the trial court, particularly its assessment of the credibility of witnesses, are entitled to great weight on appeal. This is based on the principle that the assessment of the credibility of witnesses is a matter best left to the trial court because of its unique position of being able to ascertain and measure the sincerity and spontaneity of witnesses through the actual observation of their manner of testifying, demeanor and behavior in court.[12]

The complainant testified as follows:

"x x x

Q

On April 9, 1996 at about 11:00 o'clock in the morning, can you still recall where were you?.

A

Yes

Q

Where were you?

A

I was catching.

Q

What were you catching?

A

Shrimps.

Q

Where were you catching shrimps?

A

In the river of Siaton.

Q

That river of Siaton is far from your house?

A

Just below.

Q

Who was with you when you were catching shrimps at the river of Siaton?

A

xxxxxxxxxxx but he went home ahead of me.

Q

You are saying that after xxxxxxxxxxxx went ahead of you, you were left alone at the river?

A

Yes.

Q

How deep was the river where you were catching shrimps?

A

Until the waistline.

Q

When you were catching shrimps at the river, were you wearing something or were you naked?

A

None.

Q

While you were catching shrimps, will you please tell us what happened if anything happened?

A

There was.

Q

What happened?

A

He boxed me twice.

Q

Who boxed you twice?

A

Onyok.

Q

Is that Onyok present in the courtroom now?

A

Yes.

Q

Can you please point him to the Court?

A

That man.

NOTE:

Witness is pointing to a man whom when called and asked his name answered Apolonio Cultura.

Q

When you said that you were boxed by Onyok, where were you boxed by Onyok, at the river or already away from the river?

A

In the river.

Q

Were you hit by Onyok?

A

Yes.

Q

Where were you hit?

A

At the side.

Q

After you were boxed at the side and you were hit, what did Onyok do, if any?

A

I fell down.

Q

When you already fell down, what did Onyok do to you if you can still remember?

A

I lost my senses for a while.

Q

When you recovered your senses, do you remember where were you, were you still at the river or away from the river?

A

I can remember.

Q

Where were you?

A

By the bamboo grove.

Q

When you said that you recovered your consciousness at the bamboo grove, when you recovered your consciousness, what happened and what did you see of your body if you remember?

A

I found out that he was already gone.

Q

What about you, were you still naked at the time you regained consciousness?

A

Yes.

Q

What did you see with your body after you recovered your consciousness?

A

I did not have clothes on and when I stood up the blood spluttered.

Q

Where did this blood come from that spluttered?

A

From my vagina.

Q

How much was this blood that came out from your vagina?

A

Much blood.

Q

What did you feel after you saw that there was so much blood coming out from your vagina?

A

Pain.

Q

What did you do after you discovered that there was so much blood coming from your vagina?

A

I ran.

Q

To which direction were you going?

A

To the road.

Q

To whom did you see, if any, when you were at the road?

A

I saw Onyok.

Q

When you saw Onyok right there at the road, did you hear anything that he said to you at that time?

A

Yes, there was.

Q

What did he say to you?

A

He said that if I were to tell those things to my father, he would kill all of us.

Q

After hearing those words from Onyok, what did you do next?

A

I ran.

Q

To which direction were you going?

A

To our house.

Q

Were you able to arrive at your house?

A

Yes.

Q

Who was there in your house when you arrived there?

A

My father.

Q

What did you tell your father, if any?

A

I said I was sexually abused by Onyok.

Q

When you arrived at your house and you told your father that you were sexually abused by Onyok, do you remember if you were still bleeding at that time?

A

Yes.

Q

Did you show your vagina bleeding to your father?

A

Yes.

Q

What did your father do after he saw that your vagina was bleeding at that time?

A

He changed clothing.

Q

Do you know why he was changing his clothes?

A

Yes.

Q

Why, where was he going at that time?

A

We were going to the police.

Q

Were you able to go to the police?

A

Yes.

Q

What police station is that? Is that the police station of Siaton or some other municipalities?

A

In Siaton only.

Q

When you arrived at the Police Station of Siaton, can you remember what the police did to you?

A

I can remember.

Q

What did they do?

A

They attended to me and they went to the person who sexually abused me.

Q

From the Police Station of Siaton, do you remember where did you go next?

A

Yes, I remember.

Q

Where did you go next?

A

In the hospital.

Q

Can you still remember if that hospital was still in Siaton?

A

Yes.

Q

Do you remember what the doctor did to you at the hospital?

A

They cleaned me.

Q

Do you still remember if when you arrived at the hospital, you were still bleeding?

A

Still bleeding.

Q

After the doctor cleaned you, where did you go next?

A

To the center.

Q

That center is still within Siaton?

A

Yes.

x x x"[13]

Dr. Mitylene Tan of the Municipal Health Office in Siaton, testified that she examined AAA for vaginal bleeding at the Rural Health Unit Office, Siaton, Negros Oriental. She suffered from a "lacerated wound, mid-lower aspect, vaginal mucosa extending to the perineum" and endured severe vaginal bleeding caused by the wound. Without prompt medical assistance, the wound would have led to anemia, hypovolemic shock or death. Dr. Tan opined that a male organ could have caused the laceration and vaginal bleeding.

A review of the records and evaluation of the evidence show that the complainant told the truth and that she positively identified the accused-appellant. As correctly ruled by the trial court, there is sufficient circumstantial evidence to warrant his conviction.

Section 4, Rule 133 of the Revised Rules of Court, as amended, enumerates the conditions when circumstantial evidence may be sufficient for conviction, thus:

"SEC. 4. Circumstantial Evidence, when sufficient. – Circumstantial evidence is sufficient for conviction if:

- (a) There is more than one circumstance;
- (b) The facts from which the inferences are derived are proven; and
- (c) The combination of all circumstances is such as to produce conviction beyond reasonable doubt."

As jurisprudentially formulated, a judgment of conviction based on circumstantial evidence can be upheld only if the circumstances proven constitute “an unbroken chain which leads to one fair and reasonable conclusion pointing to the defendant as the guilty person,” i.e., the circumstances proven must be consistent with each other, consistent with the hypothesis that the accused is guilty, and at the same time inconsistent with any other hypothesis except that of guilty.[14]

The following incidents, glaringly shown by the records, constitute an unbroken chain of events which leads to the conclusion that the accused-appellant committed the crime charged.

First, complainant AAA was bathing in the river alone;

Second, the accused-appellant approached her and boxed her twice;

Third, she lost consciousness;

Fourth, she woke up in a bamboo grove, naked and alone;

Fifth, as she stood up, she felt pain in her vagina and found it was bleeding;

Sixth, when she started walking home, she met the accused-appellant near the road;

Seventh, he threatened her not to tell her father about the incident;

Eighth, the medical findings showed that she suffered a "lacerated wound, mid-lower aspect, vaginal mucosa extending to the perineum." The bleeding was so severe that the wound had to be sutured;

Ninth, the prosecution witness, Barangay captain Sergio Ege, declared that he saw blood on her shorts when she and her father approached him for assistance.[15] Police Investigator SPO2 Inocencio V. de la Peña, another prosecution witness, also saw blood on the lower portion of her body.[16]

The foregoing circumstances convince us with moral certainty that the accused-appellant raped herein complainant when she was unconscious.

Significantly, we cannot discern any reason why the complainant would fabricate the charge against the accused-appellant. No woman would contrive a rape story, allow an examination of her private parts and permit herself to be subjected to scrutiny at a public trial if she is not motivated solely by a desire to have the culprit apprehended and punished.[17]

Furthermore, the conduct of the victim immediately following the alleged assault is of utmost importance in establishing the truth or falsity of the charges of rape.[18] Here, the complainant promptly told her father that she had been raped. She was thereafter taken to the barangay chairman and the police authorities for assistance. Her act of immediately reporting the commission of the rape is considered a factor in strengthening her credibility.[19]

Thus, the accused-appellant's defense of denial and alibi must fail. Alibi is the weakest of all defenses for it is easy to fabricate. It cannot prevail over the positive identification of the accused by credible witnesses as the perpetrator of the crime. For the defense of alibi to prosper, the accused must be able to (a) prove his presence at another place at the time of the perpetration of the offense, and (b) demonstrate that it is physically impossible for him to be at the scene of the crime.[20] In the case at bar, Sumili testified that the distance between the poblacion (where the accused-appellant was driving the trysicad) and Barangay xxxxxxxxxxxx (the scene of the crime) may be negotiated by trysicad. Clearly, it was possible for the accused-appellant to be at Barangay xxxxxxxxxxxx at that particular time when the crime was committed.

Although the Information alleged that the complainant was eleven (11) years old when she was raped, the prosecution failed to prove the same during the trial.

Article 335 of the Revised Penal Code, as amended by RA 7659, defines rape as the crime committed by a person having carnal knowledge of a woman under any of the following circumstances: (1) by using force or intimidation; (2) when the woman is deprived of reason or otherwise unconscious; and (3) when the woman is under twelve years of age or is demented. The crime shall be punished by reclusion perpetua.[21]

The trial court correctly convicted the accused-appellant on the basis of Article 335 (2) of the Revised Penal Code, i.e., that the rape was committed when the woman was unconscious. It bears reiterating that the prosecution has established by evidence beyond reasonable doubt that the complainant was unconscious at the time she was raped. The carnal knowledge of an unconscious woman constitutes rape, opposition or resistance not being required, for the state of the woman at that time signifies that she has no will.[22]

Regarding the accused-appellant's civil liability, we note that the trial court awarded civil indemnity in the amount of P50,000.00 but failed to grant moral damages. In rape cases, the victim's injury is inherently concomitant with the odious crime to warrant per se an award for moral damages without the requirement of proof of mental and physical suffering.[23] Moral damages in rape cases are given without need of showing that the victim suffered the trauma of mental, physical and psychological sufferings that constitute the bases thereof.[24] Pursuant to current jurisprudence, the amount of P50,000.00 as moral damages is proper.[25]

WHEREFORE, the Decision of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 43, Dumaguete City, Negros Oriental in Criminal Case No. 12492, convicting accused-appellant Apolonio Cultura of the crime of rape defined and penalized by Article 335 (2) of the Revised Penal Code, as amended, and sentencing him to reclusion perpetua is **AFFIRMED** with **MODIFICATION** in the sense that he is ordered to pay the victim, AAA, the additional amount of P50,000.00 as moral damages.

SO ORDERED.

Puno, (Chairman), Panganiban, Corona, and Carpio-Morales, JJ., concur.

[1] Penned by Judge Winston M. Villegas, Rollo, at 18-28.

[2] RTC Record, at 2.

[3] RTC Order dated June 7, 1996, id., at 20.

[4] Rollo, at 89-91.

[5] A trysicad is a bicycle with sidecar which transports passengers for hire.

[6] TSN, July 16, 1997, at 4-6.

[7] TSN, October 13, 1997, at 3-12.

[8] Id., at 9.

[9] TSN, July 16, 1997, at 3-6.

[10] RTC Record, at 119.

[11] Rollo, at 58.

[12] *People vs. Concepcion*, 361 SCRA 716, 734 (2002); *People vs. Ubaldo*, 342 SCRA 338, 348 (2000); *People vs. Lacaba*, 318 SCRA 301,309 (1999).

- [13] TSN, August 13, 1996, at 145-153.
- [14] *People vs. Grefaldia*, 273 SCRA 591 (1999).
- [15] TSN, January 28, 1997, at 6.
- [16] TSN, February 10, 1997, at 4-5.
- [17] *People vs. Licanda*, 331 SCRA 357, 369 (2000); *People vs. Antipona*, 274 SCRA 328, 335 (1997).
- [18] *People vs. Bismonte*, G.R. No. 139563, November 22, 2001.
- [19] *People vs. Cepeda*, 324 SCRA 290, 302-303 (2000).
- [20] *People vs. Lopez*, G.R. No. 134774, April 19, 2002; *People vs. Torres*, G.R. Nos. 135522-23, October 2, 2001.
- [21] See also *People vs. Diaz*, 262 SCRA 723, 730 (1996).
- [22] *People vs. Dizon*, 309 SCRA 669, 685 (1999).
- [23] *People vs. Mangompit, Jr.*, 353 SCRA 833, 853 (2001); *People vs. Garcia*, 341 SCRA 502, 518 (2000).
- [24] *People vs. Gajo*, 327 SCRA 612, 622 (2000).
- [25] *People vs. Bernabe*, G.R. No. 141881, November 21, 2001; *People vs. Galisim*, G.R. No. 144401, November 20, 2001.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.