

PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. ROBERTO BALACANAO Y QUINES, ROBERTO SALVADOR Y AGCAOILI, MARTIN SORIANO, Y NARAG, ERIBERTO BATUELO Y BALACANAO, ELPIDIO GANGAN...

G.R. No. 118133. February 28, 2003

Ponente: CARPIO MORALES, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-118133/>

CARPIO MORALES, J.:

On June 24, 1990, fifteen (15) armed men stormed the house of the spouses xxxxxxxxxxxx and AAA in barrio Casingsingan Norte, Amulung, Cagayan.

Two days after the incident or on June 26, 1990, AAA executed a sworn statement charging Eriberto Batuelo, Elpidio Gangan, Roberto Salvador, Martin Soriano and Roberto Balacanao of robbery with rape, along with five other persons.

The following day, June 27, 1990, xxxxxxxxxxxx also executed a sworn statement particularly identifying Soriano as one of the reprobates.

Thereafter or on July 2, 1990, a criminal complaint for robbery with multiple rapes[1] was filed by Police Investigator Cuntapay against Balacanao, Batuelo, Gangan, Salvador, Soriano and five John Does.

After a preliminary examination conducted by Judge Rafael P. Carag of the Fifth Municipal Circuit Trial Court of Iguig, Cagayan, an appropriate information was recommended to be filed on August 22, 1990.

The station commander of the Amulung Police Station later received an anonymous letter[2] dated “10-22-90” divulging that a certain Damaso Cabana was one of the “architects” of the June 24, 1990 incident.

Cabana was thus taken into police custody on November 5, 1990 following which he executed a sworn statement[3] dated November 6, 1990 admitting his participation in the incident and identifying his associates as Jessie Acorda, Minio Acorda, Ruben Acorda, Tacio Acorda, Villamor Agana, Amoy Balacanao, Elvis Bangayan, Abraham Camayang, Ensu Caronan, Gil Tambiao, and four (4) other unnamed persons who turned out later to be Batuelo, Gangan, Salvador and Soriano. A reinvestigation of the case was thus conducted.

After the reinvestigation, Cabana and the fourteen he implicated were charged, by Information[4] dated August 29, 1991, before the Regional Trial Court Branch 1 of Tuguegarao, Cagayan for Robbery with Rape allegedly committed as follows:

That on or about June 24, 1990, in the municipality of Amulung, Province of Cagayan, and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused, armed with guns, conspiring together and helping one another with intent to gain and by the use of force, violence and intimidation of persons entered the house of the complainant[s], Mr. and Mrs. xxxxxxxxxxxx, and once inside the house did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously take, steal and carry away against the will of the owner, the following

items:

One (1) Karaoke sing-along valued at

P4,500.00

One (1) Wall clock valued at

300.00

Assorted jewelries all valued at

3,000.00

Assorted merchandize all valued at

2,500.00

One (1) Samurai valued at

550.00

Cash money in the amount of

300.00

PNB and LAND BANK Bank Books, a
wallet containing pertinent papers, assorted
keys and other items

TOTAL VALUE

P11,150.00

all valued at P11,150.00 and all belonging to the complainant[s] Mr. and Mrs. xxxxxxxxxxxx, to the damage and prejudice of the aforesaid owner[s] Mr. and Mrs. xxxxxxxxxxxx in the aforesaid amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED FIFTY (P11,150.00) PESOS, Philippine Currency; that on the same occasion of the robbery, the above-named accused likewise armed with their aforesaid firearms, with lewd designs and by the use of force, violence against and intimidation of persons did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously have sexual intercourse one after the other with the offended party, AAA against her will.

Contrary to law.

Five of those charged, namely: Jessie Acorda, Herminio Acorda, Villamor Agana, Elvis Bangayan and Gil Tambiao, eluded arrest.

Balacanao, Caronan, Batuelo, Camayang, Gangan, Salvador, Soriano and Tacio Acorda pleaded not guilty upon arraignment.

On motion of the prosecution, Damaso Cabana was discharged to become a state witness.

From its evidence, the prosecution established the following version:

Between 5:30 and 6:00 p.m. of June 24, 1990, Abraham Camayang, leader of a group of notorious characters from Solana, Cagayan (Solana group), and his henchman Villamor Agana went to the house of state witness Cabana in Casingsingan Norte, Solana, Cagayan and invited Cabana to join them for an unspecified purpose.

Acceding, Cabana joined the two who were later joined by seven Solana group members, namely: Gil Tambiao, Elvis Bangayan, Lorenzo Caronan, Jessie Acorda, Herminio Acorda, Tacio Acorda and Ruben Acorda.

The Solana group, along with Cabana, then repaired to the house of the spouses xxxxxxxxxx where another group of notorious characters from Amulung, Cagayan (Amulung group) composed of its leader Roberto Balacanao, Elpidio Gangan, Martin Soriano, Eriberto Batuelo and Roberto Salvador were already waiting in the vicinity.

Solana group leader Camayang thereupon gave directions to the two groups, he posting Cabana, Hermino Acorda and Tacio Acorda as look outs along the road south of the xxxxxxxxxx house. Camayang, with four others, then entered the backdoor into the kitchen of the xxxxxxxxxx house, catching by surprise AAA and her minor children 11 years old xxxxxxxxxx and xxxxxxxxxx who screamed. AAA's husband xxxxxxxxxx, who was in the sala, immediately dashed into the kitchen where he was met by Balacanao who pushed him back into the sala and made him lie face down. His hands were at once tied behind his back.

The second wave of malefactors soon entered the same backdoor of the xxxxxxxxxx house, after which Camayang and Batuelo held AAA and her two young children at bay. They then demanded money from the xxxxxxxxxx. In particular, Soriano made it appear that they are subversives who needed money for the treatment of their comrade who was injured in an encounter.

In the meantime, the xxxxxxxxxx' neighbors Concepcion Lumboy, Celso Gorospe and Renato Corpuz passed by chance by the xxxxxxxxxx house. Attracted by the noise and commotion inside the house, they proceeded thereto and once inside, they were pounced upon and shoved into the sala where they were ordered to lie flat in a prone position. Their hands were tied too behind their backs.

Caronan, to further instill terror, ordered one of his men to get a can of gasoline and when a can of gasoline was brought, he told his cohorts to pour gasoline on the victims and burn them.

As the crime wore on, Camayang dragged AAA and her daughter xxxxxxxxxx into a room beside the sala, the entrance of which room was covered only by a curtain. Once inside the room, Camayang tore off the clothes of AAA and xxxxxxxxxx. As xxxxxxxxxx was crying, Camayang and his companions spared her and the naked girl immediately ran to her bound father in the sala. AAA was then raped by Camayang, followed by Tacio Acorda, then by Caronan, and finally by Balacanao.

The bound hands of xxxxxxxxxx and his three neighbors were later untied by the malefactors and they were ordered to remove their clothes. Caronan thereupon ordered Celso Gorospe to dance naked amidst the rhythmic clappings and jeers of the malefactors. The four were later ordered to put on their clothes but they were again tied and ordered to lie flat on the floor.

Herminio Acorda later shouted "get out now, people are coming," prompting the malefactors to hurriedly flee with their loot consisting of cash, pieces of jewelry, assorted goods, appliances, a samurai sword, bank books, a wallet, keys, a wall clock and other items all valued at about P11,150.00. Thus, when the people referred to by Herminio Acorda — a group of thirty men from the adjacent barangay of Calintaan headed by their barangay captain Cesar Lacuesta — arrived at the xxxxxxxxxx house, the malefactors were gone.

On June 26, 1990, upon instruction of the police, AAA submitted herself to a medical examination. Dr. Dulce Baculi, the Municipal Health Officer of Amulung, came out with her findings embodied in her Certification (Exhibit "E")[5] which reads:

"I. External Examination:

- 1. Contusion at left jaw.
- 2. Slight swelling of upper lip.
- No other external physical injuries noted on the victim.

“II. Internal Examination:

- Vulva on internal examination shows slight tenderness and smeared with blood.

Note: Victim is having her menstrual period.”

For the defense, all the accused, disclaiming being acquainted with state witness Damaso Cabana on or before the incident, proffered alibi.

After trial, the trial court found the accused Balacanao, Caronan, Batuelo, Camayang, Gangan, Salvador, Soriano, Ruben L. Acorda and Tacio B. Acorda guilty beyond reasonable doubt of Robbery with Rape, by Decision of May 3, 1994 the dispositive portion of which reads:

WHEREFORE, the Court finds the accused Roberto Balacanao y Quines, Roberto Salvador y Agcaoili, Martin Soriano y Narag, Eriberto Batuelo y Balacanao, Elpidio Gangan y Balisi, Abraham Camayang y Pagulayan, Lorenzo Caronan y Canapi, Tacio Acorda y Bisalde and Ruben Acorda y Layugan guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the special complex crime of Robbery with Rape aggravated by abuse of superior strength and ignominy and hereby imposes upon each and everyone of them the penalty of Reclusion Perpetua with all the accessory penalties provided for by law and to pay in solidum xxxxxxxxxxxx and AAA the stolen properties in the amount of P11,150.00 and moral damages in the amount of P500,000.00 and cost.

SO ORDERED. (italics supplied)

The Decision has, with respect to Balacanao who did not appeal, become final and executory.

Caronan and Camayang jointly filed a notice of appeal on May 12, 1994. Caronan, however, later filed an undated letter, which this Court treated as a Motion, praying for the withdrawal of his appeal[6] in view of the extension to him of executive clemency. This Court granted said Motion and accordingly dismissed the case against Caronan by a Resolution of April 7, 1999.[7]

Salvador, Gangan, Soriano, Batuelo and Ruben Acorda (Salvador et al.) also jointly filed a notice of appeal while Tacio Acorda and Camayang separately filed theirs.

Accused-appellant Tacio Acorda assigned as lone error of the trial court its finding that he is guilty beyond reasonable doubt.

Accused-appellant Camayang challenges the trial court for having erred:

- IN BASING ITS DECISION OF CONVICTION OF APPELLANT (CAMAYANG) SOLELY ON THE CONFESSIONAL TESTIMONY OF DAMASO CABANS [sic] PARTICULARLY IN THE IDENTIFICATION OF ACCUSED ABRAHAM CAMAYANG AS ONE OF THE CULPRITS;
- IN NOT BELIEVING THE COUNTER-AFFIDAVIT OF ACCUSED-APPELLANT ABRAHAM AS CORROBORATED BY WITNESSES;
- IN RELYING ON THE TESTIMONY OF WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION INSTEAD OF WEIGHING THE EVIDENCES ADDUCED DURING THE TRIAL, THERE BEING ABSENT THE INTENT OF ACCUSED ABRAHAM CAMAYANG’S PARTICIPATION (sic) IN THE COMMISSION OF THE OFFENSE; and
- IN CONVICTING ACCUSED-APPELLANT ABRAHAM CAMAYANG WHO AT THE TIME OF THE

ALLEGED OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED WAS NOT AT THE SCENE [OF THE CRIME AND WAS] MERELY IMPLICATED BY [CABANA DUE TO] INTIMIDATION AND COERCION DURING THE CUSTODIAL INVESTIGATION.

And as to accused-appellants Salvador, et al., they fault the trial court in:

- . . . FINDING THE ACCUSED-APPELLANTS ROBERTO A. SALVADOR, ELPIDIO GANGAN, MARTIN N. SORIANO, ERIBERTO B. BATUELO AND RUBEN L. ACORDA GUILTY BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT OF THE COMPLEX CRIME OF ROBBERY WITH RAPE.

- . . . ORDERING THE ACCUSED-APPELLANT ROBERTO A. SALVADOR, ELPIDIO GANGAN, MARTIN N. SORIANO, ERIBERTO B. BATUELO AND RUBEN L. ACORDA TO PAY IN SOLIDUM THE SPOUSES xxxxxxxxxxxx AND AAA, THE AMOUNT OF P11,150.00 REPRESENTING THE AMOUNT OF THE STOLEN PROPERTY AND MORAL DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF P500,000.00 AND COST.

Accused-appellants' respective appeals lack merit.

Robbery with rape is a special complex crime[8] punished under the second paragraph of Art. 294 of the Revised Penal Code which reads:

ART. 294. Robbery with violence against or intimidation of persons — Penalties. — Any person guilty of robbery with the use of violence against or intimidation of any person shall suffer:

x x x x x x x x

- The penalty of reclusion temporal in its medium period to reclusion perpetua, when the robbery shall have been accompanied by rape or intentional mutilation, or if by reason or on occasion of such robbery, any of the physical injuries penalized in subdivision 1 of Article 263 shall have been inflicted: Provided, however, That when the robbery accompanied with rape is committed with the use of a deadly weapon or by two or more persons, the penalty shall be reclusion perpetua to death; (As amended by P.D. No. 767, August 15, 1975)

x x x x x x x x

That the crime was committed is undisputed. The issue in the main is the identity of the malefactors.

Accused-appellants contend that the prosecution failed to establish their identity as participants in the crime, hence, it was error for the trial court not to have appreciated their alibi.

Accused-appellants' contention does not persuade.

From the following extracts of the testimony of state witness Cabana, and his identification[9] in court of accused-appellants, there can be no mistaking their participation in the crime:

(Atty. Maramag)

Q:

When this case was filed before the Honorable Court you were one of the original accused in this case, is it not?

(Cabana)

A:

Yes, sir.

Q:

Tell the Court why you were one of the accused in this case?

A:

I was one of the accused because I was then in their company at that time [June 24, 1990].

Q:

You said you were one in their company kindly mention your companions at that time?

A:

Villamor Agana, Abraham Camayang, Elvis Bangayan, Gil Tambiao, Lorenzo Caronan, Ruben Acorda, Tacio Acorda, Jessie Acorda, Minio Acorda, Amoy Balacanao, Pidio Gangan, Martin Soriano, Eriberto Batuelo, Berto Salvador and I am the 15th.^[10] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

Q:

At or about between the hour of 5:30 to 6:00 o'clock in the evening of June 24, 1990 where were you?

A:

I was in our house in Casingsingan, sir.

Q:

What were you doing at that time in your house?

A:

At that time I am (sic) going purposely to fetch water but here comes (sic) the arrival of Villamor Agana and Abraham Camayang.

Q:

Why did these two Abraham Camayang and Villamor Agana come to you?

A:

At that time this Villamor Agana told me to come [sic] with them because we are going somewhere else.[11]
(Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

(Court)

Q:

When Villamor Agana and Abraham Camayang went to you and you went with them you mean to say that it was only the three of you who proceeded from your house up to the place where you were going?

x x x x x x x x

A:

When we started from our house we were only three but at the downgrade portion of our house located at the southern part the other companions were already there and we went together, sir.

Q:

How many of them were already there at the southern portion of your house?

A:

They are seven in all, sir.

Q:

Name them.

A:

Gil Tambiao, Elvis Bangayan, Lorenzo Caronan, Jessie Acorda, Minio Acorda, Tacio Acorda, Ruben Acorda.[12] (*Italics supplied*)

x x x x x x x x

(Court)

Q:

According to you [you] were ten in all who left your premises going towards the house of Mr. xxxxxxxxxxxx were you able to reach the house of Mr. xxxxxxxxxxxx?

A:

Yes, sir.

Q:

The Court understands that only the ten of you reached xxxxxxxxxxxx's house?

A:

Upon reaching near the house of Mr. xxxxxxxxxxxx, the five others were already at the eastern portion of the house of Mr. xxxxxxxxxxxx then they joined us.

x x x x x x x x

(Atty. Maramag)

Q:

Will you please name those five who were on the eastern portion of the house of Mr. xxxxxxxxxxxx?

A:

Amoy Balacanao, Elpidio Gangan and the other three I only came to know their names while we were already at the PNP station at Amulung but I already knew their faces at that time.

Q:

You now name the three others whom you came later to know their names?

A:

Martin Soriano, Eriberto (sic) Salvador, Berto Batuelo.[13] (*Italics supplied*)

AAA and xxxxxxxxxxxx positively identified too accused-appellants and described their respective acts. AAA thus testified in court:

(Fiscal Saquing)

Q:

Who were these ten persons who entered your house if you know?

(AAA)

A:

I know them, sir. They were: Abraham Camayang, Ruben Acorda, Anastacio Acorda, Ensu Caronan, Amoy Balacanao, Pedro [sic] Batuelo, Gangan Agana.[14] (*Italics supplied*)

x x x x x x x x

(Court)

Q:

You name those who allegedly entered your house one by one.

A:

Abraham Camayang, Ensu Caronan, Ruben Acorda, Atanacio Acorda, Damaso Cabana, Agana, Martin Soriano, Pedro (sic) Batuelo, Amoy Balacanao, Roberto [sic] Gangan, sir.[15] (*Italics supplied*)

x x x x x x x x

(Fiscal Saquing)

Q:

Who ransact (sic) your cabinets?

A:

The accused, Tacio Acorda, Ruben Acorda, Ensu Caronan, Batuelo, Gangan, Amoy Balacanao, sir.[16] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

And she also positively identified accused Balacanao and Caronan and accused-appellants Camayang and Tacio Acorda as the four men who sexually abused her.[17]

xxxxxxxxxx's identification of accused-appellants as participants is shown in his following testimony:

(Court)

Q:

When you said in answer to the question of Atty. Reyes that it was Damaso Cabana and his group that went up your house and molest[ed] your wife, who were the members of this group that you are referring [to] if you know [their names]?

(xxxxxxxxxxxx)

A:

Abraham Camayang, Roberto Balacanao, Lorenzo Caronan, Ruben Acorda, and Tacio Acorda, and members from Amulung are Martin Soriano, Eriberto Batuelo and Roberto Salvador.[18] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

(Atty. Maramag)

Q:

And this person who poked his gun at your face is Roberto Balacanao alias Amoy Balacanao?

A:

Yes, sir.

Q:

At the time when this Amoy Balacanao poked his gun and ordered you "dapa, dapa", where was your wife and two children at that time?

A:

They were still at the kitchen and I saw Abraham Camayang h[o]ld the hands of my wife and so with my two children, and also in (sic) the person[s] of Roberto Salvador and Martin Soriano at the same time demanding money.[19] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

Q:

How about when you were ordered by Amoy Balacanao to lay face downward on the floor, what did you do?

A:

I was brought to the sala and made me laid [sic] face downward by this certain fellow in [sic] the name of Lorenzo Caronan.[20] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

(Atty. Cipriano)

Q:

Who among them asked for money?

A:

The companions of Abraham Camayang, sir.

Q:

Do you know their names?

A:

Martin Soriano and Ruben Acorda, sir.[21] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

(Atty. Cipriano)

Q

Could you tell us what did this Eriberto Batuelo, what was the participation?

A:

He held one of my children and demanded money at that time, sir.

Q:

What is the name of your child?

A:

xxxxxxxxxxx, sir.

Q:

How about this Elpidio Gangan?

A:

The same, they are at the back of my wife and my two children, sir.[22] (Italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

And xxxxxxxxxxxx and AAA identified in court five of the seven accused-appellants, with AAA positively identifying accused-appellants Soriano, Gangan and Batuelo[23] while xxxxxxxxxxxx identified accused-appellants Camayang, Salvador and Soriano.[24]

In another vein, accused-appellants assail the credibility of the prosecution witnesses, particularly drawing attention to Cabana's statement that there were five who sexually abused AAA which contradicts the latter's testimony that there were four, thus rendering his testimony unworthy of belief.[25] Accused-appellant Tacio Acorda adds that Cabana was just used by Police Investigator Rodrigo Cuntapay to entangle him in this case.

Accused-appellants also capitalize on the failure of AAA to correctly identify her sexual abusers during the preliminary investigation.[26]

Accused-appellants' assault at the credibility of the prosecution witnesses fails.

Cabana's failure to point out the exact number of AAA's sexual tormentors is of no consequence,

accused-appellants themselves having noted[27] that the testimony of Cabana as regards this point is hearsay and inadmissible.

With respect to the claim that Cabana was merely used by Police Investigator Cuntapay to implicate accused-appellant Tacio Acorda in the crime, the same finds no support from the records and cannot accordingly defeat the presumption that official duty has been regularly performed.[28]

As for the questioned identification by AAA during the preliminary investigation of her sexual abusers, this did not escape the attention of the trial court when it noted as follows:[29]

AAA testified that the men whom she identified in court were the real rapists. She explained that she committed the error during the previous identification (preliminary investigation) due to nervousness and confusion on the names of the rapists in their number and in the order or sequence of the sexual assaults upon her. (Italics supplied).

x x x x x x x x

But AAA's explanation behind her error in the identification of her rapists during the preliminary investigation merited the understanding and credence by the trial court in this wise:

AAA suffered what very few women in this world had gone through. She underwent a most traumatic and nerve-shocking ordeal — a hideous, ghastly and outrageous blow upon her feminine possession. To expect her to narrate in court and in public her most horrible and excruciating experience in a perfect sequence of events and accurate identification of the reprobates whom, in the first place, she did not personally know, is to be unreasonable. . . .[30] (Italics supplied).

x x x x x x x x

Indeed, AAA's confusion should not militate against her given the number of malefactors and the ordeal she went through. What counts is that she was firm at the witness stand that her rapists, and the order in which they raped her, were what she mentioned thereat. Thus, on direct examination, she declared:

(Fiscal Saquing)

Q:

After pulling you and stripping you off [sic] your dress, what did Abraham Camayang do next?

(AAA)

A:

He pulled me down inside our room and tore my dress and pulled me down on the floor and raped me, sir.

x x x x x x x x

Q:

After Abraham Camayang raped you, what happened next?

A:

This Atanacio Acorda raped me again, he poked a gun and pulled me down and because I am afraid to die I just submitted myself, sir.

Q:

When Atanacio Acorda finished raping you, what transpired next if there was?

A:

Another came sir, and this Ensu Caronan again raped me.

x x x x x x x x

Q:

After this Ensu Caronan finished raping you what happened if there was?

A:

This Amoy Balacanao raped me, again, sir.

x x x x x x x x.

And on cross-examination[31] she declared:

(Atty. Cipriano)

Q:

When you testified here in Court you said that it was Abraham Camayang who first raped you but during the preliminary investigation you testified that it was Roberto Salvador who first raped you. Now, which is correct, your statement during the preliminary investigation or your statement now?

A:

My testimony now before this Court, sir.

Q:

You also testified during the preliminary investigation that [the] second person who raped you was Martin Soriano but in your testimony now the second person was [sic] Atanacio Acorda, which is correct?

A:

Atanacio Acorda, sir.

Q:

Your statement now before the Court?

A:

Yes, sir.

Q:

You were then lying when you gave your statement during the preliminary investigation?

A:

I was confused only in their names but the truth is what I am testifying now.

Q:

You have also statement during the preliminary investigation that it was Elpidio Gangan who was the third person who raped you but before this Court you said it was Ensu Caronan?

A:

Ensu Caronan, sir.

Q:

Not Elpidio Gangan?

A:

Yes, sir. (*Italics supplied*)

Why would AAA, a married woman and mother of four,[32] raped successively by four men on one occasion, devise a sick narrative and expose herself to the unbearable stigma and humiliation attendant to a trial for rape if she were not determined to punish her malefactors?

In any event, AAA's incorrect identification during the preliminary investigation of her sexual abusers is inconsequential with respect to the criminal liability of accused-appellants. For at the trial court and during the preliminary investigation, she identified Balacanao as one of those who sexually abused her. As conspiracy was proven and rape was committed as a consequence, or on the occasion of the robbery, all the conspirators-participants are liable as principals of the crime of robbery with rape.[33]

As for the brushing aside of accused-appellants' alibis since they failed to prove that it was physically impossible for them to be at the scene of the crime[34] on the date and time it occurred, the trial court did not err thereby.

Thus, accused-appellant Tacio Acorda merely claimed that he was at the time in Barangay Santiago, Municipality of Iguig the same province of Cagayan.

Accused-appellants Gangan, Salvador and Soriano's evidence claimed that they were all in the same municipality of Amulung at about the time the crime was perpetrated. But accused-appellant Gangan's alibi is uncorroborated. And so is accused-appellant Salvador's that he was at the house of Arsenio Agcaoili in Anquiray, Amulung.[35] In fact, accused-appellant Salvador's testimony on other points is mired in inconsistencies. Thus he first testified that he slept at the house of Agcaoili in Anquiray because he (Salvador) had visitors at home that night.[36] He later retracted and said they were visitors of his brother.[37] And while he initially stated that his brother told him not to go or leave their house because they had visitors, he later said that it was presumably the same brother who told him to leave.[38] As for accused-appellant Soriano, he gave inconsistent statements with regard the date he was allegedly assigned to act as guard at the dancehall in Pacac Grande, Amulung, he initially stating that it was on June 25, 1990, but he later claiming that it was on June 24, 1990.

In accused-appellant Camayang's case, while he and accused Caronan testified that they were at Pudtol, Kalinga-Apayao at about the time the offense was committed, at work in the construction of presumably the same hospital, they surprisingly failed to state that they saw each other on that day.

As for the other arguments of accused-appellants, they are bereft of merit.

Accused-appellant Camayang's claim that the trial court laid undue stress on the sworn statement of Cabana[39] and that his guilt cannot be predicated thereon is belied by the trial court's disquisition which shows that Cabana's sworn statement was only one of the documentary bases for its decision.

The argument that Cabana's testimony was given under the compulsion of irresistible force and insuperable fear[40] is purely speculative.

As for the argument that since Cabana is an unschooled farmer, the question-and-answer method of eliciting testimony cannot become the basis for accused-appellant Camayang's conviction[41] deserves scant consideration.

Accused-appellant Tacio Acorda's contention that his guilt was not proven beyond reasonable doubt because his name was never mentioned by the spouses xxxxxxxxxxxx during the preliminary investigation[42] fails. He was identified by Cabana during the reinvestigation. More importantly, he was positively identified by Cabana and the spouses xxxxxxxxxxxx during the trial.

The lack of merit of the appeal notwithstanding, it being settled that an appeal throws the entire criminal case open for review, it becomes the duty of appellate courts to correct errors as may be found in the judgment appealed from, whether they are made the subject of assignment of errors or not.[43]

In the present case, the appreciation by the trial court of the aggravating circumstances of abuse of superior strength and ignominy is erroneous. The 2000 Revised Rules of Criminal Procedure requires that every complaint

or information should state not only the qualifying but also the aggravating circumstances.[44] This provision may be given retroactive effect in light of the well-settled rule that “statutes regulating the procedure of the court will be construed as applicable to actions pending and undetermined at the time of their passage, [hence] [p]rocedural laws are retroactive in that sense and to that extent.”[45] The aggravating circumstance “that advantage be taken of superior strength” and ignominy not having been alleged in the information in this case, the same could not be appreciated.

With respect to the award of P11,150.00 to the spouses xxxxxxxxxxxx as actual damages for the claimed stolen personal properties: Ordinary witnesses such as private complainants cannot establish the value of jewelry.[46] Neither can the same be taken judicial notice of.[47] The valuations made by xxxxxxxxxxxx and AAA cannot thus become the basis for reparation in the absence of receipts or any other competent evidence.

Neither can reparation for the Karaoke sing-along appliance be ordered, absent proof as to its description, kind/model and competent evidence of its value.[48] The same holds true with respect to the assorted merchandise, the samurai, the wallet, the PNB and Land Bank bankbooks and assorted keys. The wall clock having been admittedly recovered,[49] only the amount of P10,000.00 cash stolen[50] established by the prosecution which, though not the amount alleged in the information, was not objected to and rebutted by the defense,[51] should be awarded.

It was also error for the trial court to award P500,000.00 as moral damages without citing the basis therefor. AAA is thus entitled to only an award of P50,000.00 for each count of rape as civil indemnity and P50,000.00 for each count as moral damages.[52] Furthermore, an award to xxxxxxxxxxxx of P50,000.00 as moral damages is in order.

WHEREFORE, the May 3, 1994 Decision of the Regional Trial Court of Cagayan, Branch 1 at Tuguegarao, finding accused-appellants Roberto A. Salvador, Elpidio B. Gangan, Martin N. Soriano, Eriberto B. Batuelo, Abraham P. Camayang, Ruben L. Acorda and Tacio B. Acorda guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the special complex crime of Robbery with Rape and sentencing them to each suffer the penalty of reclusion perpetua is hereby AFFIRMED. They are ordered to pay jointly and severally the amount of P10,000.00 to private complainants xxxxxxxxxxxx and AAA as actual damages; the amount of P50,000.00 to AAA for each count of rape as civil indemnity, and another P50,000.00 for each count as moral damages; and the amount of P50,000.00 to xxxxxxxxxxxx as moral damages.

SO ORDERED.

Puno (Chairman), Panganiban, and Sandoval-Gutierrez, JJ., concur.
Corona, J., on leave.

[1] Records at 1.

[2] Id. at 292; Exhibit “D”.

[3] Id. at 107-109, Exhibit “C”.

[4] Id. at 81-83.

[5] Id. at 8; Exhibit “E”.

[6] Rollo at 235.

[7] Id. at 263.

[8] The elements of robbery are: (1) the subject is personal property belonging to another; (2) there is unlawful taking of that property; (3) the taking is with the intent to gain; and (4) there is violence against or intimidation of any person or use of force upon things (People v. Tano, 331 SCRA 449, 466 [2000]). Rape, on the other hand, is committed by having carnal knowledge of a woman under any of the following instances: (1) force or intimidation is used, (2) the woman is deprived of reason or otherwise unconscious, or (3) she is under twelve years of age (People v. Tano, 331 SCRA 449, 464 [2000]). The special complex crime of robbery with rape is committed when the robbery is accompanied by rape.

[9] Id. at 74-76.

[10] TSN, July 6, 1993 at 44.

[11] Id. at 46-47.

[12] Id. at 51-52.

[13] Id. at 53-55.

[14] TSN, March 23, 1993, Direct Examination of AAA at 6.

[15] Id. at 29.

[16] Id. at 10.

[17] TSN, March 23, 1993, Direct Examination of AAA at 12. See p. 21 for AAA's testimony that accused Balacanao and Caronan and accused-appellants Camayang and Tacio Acorda had sexual intercourse with her.

[18] TSN, July 6, 1993, Cross-Examination of xxxxxxxxxxxx at 13.

[19] TSN, March 30, 1993, Direct Examination of xxxxxxxxxxxx at 11.

[20] Id. at 13.

[21] TSN, July 6, 1993, Cross-Examination of xxxxxxxxxxxx at 25.

[22] Id. at 32.

[23] TSN, March 23, 1993, Cross-Examination of AAA, at 38-39.

(Atty. Cipriano)

Q:

Could you identify Martin Soriano?

(AAA)

A:

Yes, sir (witness pointed to a man in blue shirt who gave his name as Martin Soriano)

Q:

How about this Elpidio Gangan, will you please point at him?

A:

(Witness pointed to a man who gave his name as Elpidio Gangan.)

Q:

How about this Eriberto Batuelo?

A:

(The witness pointed to a man who gave his name as Eriberto Batuelo)

[24] TSN, March 30, 1993, Direct Examination of xxxxxxxxxxxx at 11-13.

Q:

If this Abraham Camayang is in Court will you please go down from the witness stand and point to him?

A:

That one, sir. (Witness pointing to a fellow who is wearing a Red T-shirt and when asked he gave his name as Abraham Camayang.)

Q:

Will you please point to Roberto Salvador if he is in Court?

A:

Yes, sir.

Q:

Will you please point at him?

A:

That one, sir. (Witness pointing to a person who is wearing a white T-shirt and when asked he gave his name as Roberto Salvador.)

Q:

How about this Martin Soriano, will you please point if he is around.

A:

This one, sir. (Witness pointing to a fellow and when asked he gave his name as Martin Soriano). (italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

Q:

If this Lorenzo Caronan is in Court will you please point at him?

A:

Yes, that one, sir. (Witness pointing to a person and when asked he gave his name as Lorenzo Caronan.) (italics supplied)

x x x x x x x x

[25] Records at 142-144.

[26] Id. at 137.

[27] Records at 140.

[28] Rule 131 (3) (m).

[29] Records at 51-53.

[30] Id.

[31] TSN, March 23, 1993, Direct Examination of AAA at 34-36.

[32] Vide *People v. Mendoza*, 292 SCRA 168, 179 (1998) where this Court said: “A married woman with a husband and three daughters would not publicly admit that she had been criminally abused unless that was the truth.”

[33] *People v. Mendoza*, 292 SCRA 168, 181-182 (1998). In any event, the other rapes are not considered aggravating (Vide *People v. Regala*, 329 SCRA 707, 716-717; citing *People v. Martinez*, 274 SCRA 259 (1997).

[34] *People v. Tamsi*, G.R. Nos. 142928-29, September 11, 2002.

[35] Arsenio Agcaoli testified that he knew that accused-appellant did not leave his (Agcaoli's) house on the night of June 24, 1990 because he (Agcaoli) did not sleep that night and accused-appellant had coffee in his house the following morning. There is no testimony, however, to the effect that he saw accused-appellant from six in the evening till the following morning when accused-appellant left his house.

[36] TSN, February 11, 1994 at 15.

[37] Id. at 16.

[38] Id. at 17.

[39] Records at 80.

[40] Id.

[41] Id. at 81.

[42] Id. at 333, 336.

[43] *People v. Borbano*, 76 Phil. 702, 708 (1946), cited in *People v. Alejandro*, 225 SCRA 347, 350 (1993). There is no reason why this rule should not apply to automatic review of death penalty cases before the Supreme Court such as the present. Vide *People v. Laguerta*, 344 SCRA 453 (2000), *People v. Renola*, 308 SCRA 145 (1999), and *People v. Balacano*, 336 SCRA 615 (2000) where the Supreme Court applied said doctrine in cases before it on automatic review.

[44] Rule 110, Section 8 states in part:

Designation of the offense. — The complaint or information shall state the designation of the offense given by the statute, aver the acts or omissions constituting the offense, and specify its qualifying and aggravating circumstances. If there is no designation of the offense, reference shall be made to the section or subsection of the statute punishing it.

[45] *People v. Arrojado*, 350 SCRA 679, 695-696 (2001).

[46] *People v. Tejero*, 308 SCRA 660, 685-686 (1999), citing *Galian v. State Assurance Co.*, 29 Phil. 413, 418 (1915) and *People v. Martinez*, 274 SCRA 259, 272-273 (1997).

[47] Id.

[48] Id.

[49] TSN, July 6, 1993, Re-Cross Examination of xxxxxxxxxxxx at 27.

[50] TSN, March 23, 1993 at 31.

[51] *People v. Tejero*, supra note 47 at 686.

[52] *People v. Bayona*, 327 SCRA 190, 202 (2000).

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.