

## IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF R. W. CARR ET AL. FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

*G. R. No. 1105. November 26, 1902*

Ponente: WILLARD, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/g-r-no-1105/>

---

### WILLARD, J.:

An application for a writ of habeas corpus having been presented to this court in behalf of R W. Carr and three others, an order was directed to whomsoever might have them in custody requiring such person to show cause why the writ should not issue. In pursuance of this order a captain of the Marine Corps appeared and showed cause. It was proved at the hearing that these four men are marines in the service of the United States; that it was alleged that they had committed an offense Avhich was in violation of the military laws and regulations by which that corps is governed, and that at the time the application for the writ was made they were in the guardhouse of the marines at Oavite by virtue of an order of the commanding officer of that corps at that place. These facts are sufficient to show that they are not illegally detained.

But it is claimed by the petitioners that the offense charged against them was a violation not only of the military law, but also of the civil law; that they had been arrested by the local police at Cavite on account thereof; that by reason of the insecurity of the local jail the civil authorities had transferred them to the Marine Corps; that they were being held by the latter awaiting trial by the civil authorities; that in the language of their counsel they were civil prisoners held by the military arm, and that no warrant for their detention had ever been issued. These facts do not make their confinement illegal. When a soldier commits an offense which makes him amenable both to the civil and military law he can be tried by either. (Coleman vs. Tennessee, 97 U. S., 513; ex parte Mason, 105 U. S., 696; Johnson vs. Sayre, 158 U. S., 115.)

If the military authorities have him in their possession they can turn him over to the civil courts for trial or they can try him themselves. The fact that they have agreed to surrender him to the civil courts does not deprive them of jurisdiction to try him before such surrender.

The marine authorities having jurisdiction to try the petitioners when this application was made their detention by such authorities was not illegal. (Carter vs. McClaughry, 183 U. S., 365.)

The application for the writ is denied, with costs de officio.

Arellano, C. J., Torres, and Mapa, JJ., concur.

COOPER, J., dissenting:

I dissent.

SMITH, J., concurring:

I am of the opinion that the petitioners had a right to make answer to the respondent's return to the order to show cause, and in case of a denial of all or any of the material allegations thereof to put the respondents to their proof.

In view of the fact, however, that the petitioners did not ask leave or offer to make any such answer, the facts alleged in the return must be considered as admitted, and I therefore concur in the foregoing decision.

**ABOUT BATAS.ORG**

# Study smarter at BATAS.org

*The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.*

**The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.**

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

**Audio case-brief lectures.**

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

**Bar review built on the science of memory.**

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

**The full law-school curriculum.**

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

**Mock exams that tell you where you stand.**

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

**A study planner that keeps you on pace.**

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

**Start free at <https://batas.org>**

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.