

AQUILINO ENCARNACION, COMPLAINANT, VS. CRISPIN I. PERALTA, RESPONDENT.*A.M. No. P-1279. August 09, 1977*

Ponente: CONCEPCION, JR., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/f889f9482d0f/>**CONCEPCION, JR., J.:**

On April 21, 1976, Aquilino Encarnacion, barangay captain of barrio Sagurong, Pili, Camarines Sur, farm manager of Hacienda Sta. Rita, and attorney-in-fact of former Congressman Apolonio Marasigan,[1] filed a sworn complaint with the Secretary of Justice, charging Crispin I. Peralta, a deputy provincial sheriff of Camarines Sur with (1) graft and corrup-tion, and (2) ignorance of the law, gross inefficiency and abuse of authority.[2] The said complaint was forwarded to this Court by the Secretary of Justice, and on September 30, 1976, the Court, sitting en banc, dismissed the charge for ignorance of the law, inefficiency and abuse of authority for being prema-ture, and referred the charge for graft and corruption against the respondent sheriff to the Executive Judge of Camarines Sur for investigation, report and recommendation.[3]

After hearing the parties, the Executive Judge submitted a report of his investigation and recommended that the case be dropped and considered closed since "There is no appre-ciable evidence to show that respondent sheriff received P60.00 from the complainant Aquilino Encarnacion; the petty cash voucher (Exhibit A) is self-serving; the testimony of the witness Simeon Hernandez has no evidentiary value; the alleged eye-witness to the alleged giving by Encarnacion of P60.00 to respondent came from a biased and a coached witness," and that the complaint against the respondent sheriff is "merely retaliatory - a revenge taken by the farm manager Encarnacion against the sheriff of the province." [4]

The Acting Judicial Consultant likewise recommends the dismissal of the charge for insufficiency of evidence.[5]

It appears that in RO6 WCU Case No. A-104 of the Workmen's Compensation Unit of the Department of Labor, entitled, "Carmen Vda. de Marasigan, claimant, versus Hacienda Sta. Rita", the Hacienda Sta. Rita was adjudged to pay the claimant the amount of P5,600.00. When the Hacienda Sta. Rita failed to satisfy the award, a writ of execution was issued to enforce the judgment. Respondent deputy sheriff Crispin I. Peralta subsequently levied upon a jeep with the markings "Hacienda Sta. Rita" by virtue of the said writ of execution.

Former Congressman Apolonio V. Marasigan, claiming sole ownership of the jeep, filed a third-party claim with the respondent deputy provincial sheriff which the latter denied, resulting in the filing of Civil Case No. P-437 of the Court of First Instance of Camarines Sur, entitled, "Apolonio V. Marasigan vs. Carmen Vda. de Marasigan, et al." The case is still pending consideration in the court below.

The charge for graft and corruption was allegedly com-mitted, as follows:

"... That on or about January 24, 1976 while Crispin I. Peralta, and I (complainant) were taking snacks at the Garden Restaurant at Naga City, Peralta proposed that he will not pursue the levy on the passenger jeep if he (Encarnacion) would give him (Peralta) Two Hundred Pesos, but I was able to give him only P60.00. That few days previous to February 12, 1976, Peralta went to see me and requested for the balance of P140.00 which I

was not able to give as I did not have the money, besides former Congressman Marasigan refused to give me the money for the purpose. In the afternoon of February 12, 1976 while the jeepney was parked by me as I was near the capitol building while conferring with Atty. Reynaldo Borja at the Provincial Capitol, Naga City, Peralta levied upon and confiscated the jeepney." [6]

In recommending the dismissal of the foregoing charges, the Acting Judicial Consultant found that there is no sufficient evidence to support the allegations. He stated:

"Indeed, there appears to be no sufficient evidence to support the allegation that Respondent received P60.00 from Complainant. The testimony of the Cashier of the hacienda shows that Complainant had asked from him P60.00 before said Complainant went to the Garden Restaurant, alleging that the P60.00 would be given to Respondent as initial payment of the P200.00 demanded by the latter. However, Complainant's own testimony (TSN, October 28, 1976, p. 5) shows that Respondent demanded from him P200.00 in the Garden Restaurant. This is corroborated by Complainant's witness, Jaime Baldovia who testified that while they were eating in the Garden Restaurant Respondent told Complainant to give him P200.00 'because he had no money because if he will be given that amount he will not take any more the jeep.' (TSN, November 4, 1976, p. 5)

"If so, how did Complainant know before-hand that Respondent would demand from him P200.00 in the Garden Restaurant? How did Complainant know that he would be giving P60.00 to Respondent as initial payment of the P200.00 demanded in the Garden Restaurant before he dined with Respondent? How could he foretell these in advance?

"Receiving bribe-money is a serious offense. The same should be convincingly established. In the instant case there is absence of strong evidence of such fact." [7]

We have reviewed the records and find agreement with the above observations. Accordingly, the charges filed against Crispin I. Peralta by Aquilino Encarnacion for graft and corruption should be, as it is hereby, dismissed.

Castro, C.J., Fernado, Barredo, Antonio, Martin, Santos, and Guerrero, JJ., concur.

Teehankee and Muñoz Palma, JJ., in the result.

Makasias, Aquino, and Fernandez, JJ., did not take part.

[1] Exh. J, p. 67, Rollo.

[2] Rollo, p. 1.

[3] Id., pp-39-40.

[4] Id., pp. 52-53.

[5] Id., p. 191.

[6] Rollo, p. 1.

[7] Id., pp. 188, 190.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.