

ATTY. FRANCISCO E. ANTONIO, COMPLAINANT, VS. RODRIGO E. DIAZ, DEPUTY SHERIFF, COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE, ISABELA, BASILAN, RESPONDENT.

A.M. No. P-1568. December 28, 1979

Ponente: TEEHANKEE, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/ef20f7ce293e/>

TEEHANKEE, J.:

Respondent, Rodrigo E. Diaz, Deputy Sheriff, Court of First Instance, Isabela, Basilan, was charged on February 23, 1977 by Atty. Francisco E. Antonio, a practising lawyer in Zamboanga City for conduct unbecoming of a government and public official alleging that:

"I was the counsel for the claimant in WCC Case No. ROX-321-73 (Labor Regional Office No. 9, Zamboanga City), entitled 'CARLOTA ALANO RIVERO, Claimant, vs. CITY OF BASILAN, represented by the Mayor, and DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENSE, represented by the Secretary, Respondents.' The claimant won in said case, and she was awarded the sum of P3,320.00 as compensation for the death of her husband, and I was awarded the sum of P420.00 as my attorney's fee.

"By virtue of a writ of execution issued by the National Labor Relations Commission (NLRC), Department of Labor, Regional Office No. 9, Zamboanga City, said Rodrigo Diaz, in his capacity as Deputy Sheriff of Basilan, executed the decision. He collected my attorney's fee of P420.00 from the Province of Basilan.

"On August 18, 1976, said Rodrigo Diaz appeared in my law office at Room 211, German Wee Sit Building, Zamboanga City, and he handed to me only the amount of P220.00 as my attorney's fee in said case. I got furious, and I demanded from him the missing P200.00 but he said he had taken it and he had used it. Whereupon, I asked him to sign a written confession or admission, which he did freely and voluntarily.

"Mr. Diaz committed the act above-mentioned without my knowledge or consent.

"In view whereof, I respectfully request that said Rodrigo Diaz be investigated, and thereafter, to terminate him from service, with forfeiture of benefits."[1]

In compliance with the 1st Indorsement[2] of the Acting Judicial Consultant, dated March 15, 1977, requiring him to comment on the charges against him, respondent admitted "all the allegations in the complaint" invoking, however, certain extenuating and/or mitigating circumstances.[3]

Thereafter, or on August 2, 1977, the complainant executed an Affidavit of Desistance[4] on the ground that "Mr. Diaz (the respondent) approached me last July 29, 1977, at Isabela, Basilan Province, and he paid me the sum of Two Hundred (P200.00) Pesos, Philippine Currency, as payment of the sum of money which is mentioned in my complaint addressed to the Honorable Supreme Court dated February 23, 1977" and that "Mr. Diaz explained to me the reasons and circumstances for his use of said money."

In view of the admission by the respondent of the act complained of, we see no necessity for a further investigation of this case.

The act of respondent in appropriating for his own personal use part of the attorney's fee of complainant which respondent, in the performance of his duty as deputy sheriff, collected from the Province of Basilan, constitutes a grave misconduct which is one of the grounds for disciplinary action under the applicable civil service law.[5] The desistance of the complainant, as in this case does not bar the taking of disciplinary action against the respondent.[6] Neither does it dissuade the Court from imposing the appropriate disciplinary sanction against the respondent. One who occupies a public position, especially in an office connected with the administration of justice and the execution of judgments, must at all times be free from the appearance of impropriety.[7]

The Court finds and holds respondent Rodrigo Diaz guilty of grave misconduct; but considering that this is his first offense during his long service in the judicial branch of the government which covers a period of more than 21 years, the Court is inclined to be lenient in this instance.

ACCORDINGLY, the Court imposes upon respondent a fine equivalent to his salary for three (3) months, with warning that any repetition of the same or similar offense will be dealt with more severely.

SO ORDERED.

Makasiar, Fernandez, Guerrero, De Castro, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

[1] Complaint, page 10-11, Record.

[2] Page 17, Record.

[3] Pages 5-6, Record.

[4] Page 4, Record.

[5] See P.D. 809, Article IX, section 36.

[6] Espayos vs. Lee, Adm. Matter No. 1574-MJ, April 30, 1979.

[7] Gutierrez, et al. vs. Fernandez, Adm. Case No. P-165, August 18, 1975.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.