ESTELA P. VALLES, COMPLAINANT, VS. NILA ARZAGA-QUIJANO, CLERK OF COURT II, MCTC ARACELI-DUMARAN, PALAWAN, RESPONDENT.

A.M. No. P-99-1338. November 18, 1999

Ponente: BUENA, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): https://batas.org/library/ec5620c2c90a/

BUENA, J.:

Complainant Estela P. Valles, in a sworn letter-complaint[1] dated June 2, 1997 addressed to the Court Administrator, Supreme Court charged respondent Nila Arzaga-Quijano, Clerk of Court II, MCTC Araceli-Dumaran, Palawan with malfeasance, abuse of authority and graft and corrupt practices.

Complainant claims that respondent together with two hundred (200) others filed a Petition[2] before the Palawan Division Superintendent of the Department of Education, Culture and Sports (DECS) to remove her as teacher of the Araceli National High School and that the petition was subscribed and sworn before respondent Nila Arzaga-Quijano notwithstanding the fact that she is one of the signatories to the said petition.

On November 24, 1997[3], the letter-complaint was referred to the respondent for her Comment.

The pertinent portions of the respondent's Comment read:

> "Admittedly, my signature among other signatures, appears in the petition-letter. But significantly, the signatures are a part of the body of the petition and hence, the person represented by the signatures are not the signatories to the petition. Evidently, the petition-letter itself shows that the signatory is the Parents Teachers Community Association of Araceli National High School. Needless to state that the signatures do not even come under the complimentary close of the petition-letter.
>
> "In the honest belief that the PTCA President was the signatory-representative of the Parents Teachers Community Association, I administered the oath, including those of other affiants in other affidavits of witnesses in a case for Malicious mischief against Ms. Estela P. Valles, et al. pending in our sala. Thus, there is nothing wrong in having administered the oath while my signature appears in the body of the letter.
>
> "The environmental circumstances surrounding the administration of the subscription and oath must also be considered. When the PTCA President appeared before me, it was about 12:00 o'clock noon and there were still a lot of work to do and people to attend to. The office atmosphere likewise added to the haste in administering the oath. The disturbing tug of the hungry stomach cannot be ignored also.
>
> "It is well to point out that the letter-complaint against me is aimed at taking retributive punishment for her being one of the supporters in the move to oust her from the Araceli National High School, Araceli, Palawan. The complainant, in so filing the complaint, has nothing in her mind, much less in the complaint, than to get back at me. The complaint deserves no attention at all."[4]

Upon careful examination of the letter-complaint, the respondent's letter-comment, the respective accompanying papers submitted by the parties, and the evaluation and recommendation of the Court Administrator, the Court is

of the considered opinion that the respondent should be fined for negligence.

As ex-officio notary public or a public officer authorized to administer oath, respondent cannot subscribe a document in which she is one of the affiants.

Art. 22 of the Notarial Law states:

> "Art. 22. No notary can authenticate a contract which contains a provision in his favor, or to which any of the parties interested is a relative of his within the fourth civil degree or second of affinity."[5] (emphasis ours)

Being one of the signatories to the letter-petition, she cannot administer the oath with reference thereto. She cannot sign the document and afterwards subscribe the same herself. Affixing one's signature to the instrument and the authentication of the same are two (2) different acts which must be accomplished not by a single individual.

The function of a notary public is, among others, to guard against any illegal or immoral arrangements.[6] That function would be defeated if the notary public were one of the signatories to the instrument. For then, he would be interested in sustaining the validity thereof as it directly involves himself and the validity of his own act. It would place him in an inconsistent position, and the very purpose of the acknowledgment, which is to minimize fraud, would be thwarted.[7]

In the case of Elipe vs. Fabre,[8] a court personnel was fined an amount of P2,000.00 for his lackadaisical attitude and inefficiency.

WHEREFORE, a fine of P2,000.00 is hereby imposed on respondent Clerk of Court II Nila Arzaga-Quijano for lack of diligence in the observance of the Notarial Law, with WARNING that a repetition of the same or similar offense in the future will be severely dealt with.

SO ORDERED.

Bellosillo, (Chairman), Mendoza, Quisumbing, and De Leon, Jr., JJ., concur.

---

[1] Letter-Complaint, Rollo, p. 1

[2] Petition Letter, Rollo, pp. 4-8

[3] Endorsement signed by Officer-In-Charge Reynaldo L. Suarez, Rollo, p. 10

[4] Letter-comment, Rollo, p. 11.

[5] Barreto vs. Cabreza, 33 Phil.112, 119

[6] Balinon v. De Leon, 50 O.G. 538

[7] Cf. Cruz vs. Villasor, 54 SCRA 31

[8] 241 SCRA 249 [1995].

Bar review courses, mock exams and a study planner that keeps you on pace - batas.org

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at https://batas.org

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.