

DOMINGO LARIOMA, PETITIONER, VS. WORKMEN'S APPEAL & REVIEW STAFF AND MARIA CRISTINA FERTILIZER CORPORATION, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. L-49998. October 17, 1979

Ponente: FERNANDEZ, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/e009c0c16ca0/>

FERNANDEZ, J.:

This is a petition to review the decision of the Minister of Labor dated March 1, 1977 affirming the decision dated September 16, 1974 of Referee Rodolfo S. Milado of Regional Office No. XI, Cagayan de Oro City, dismissing the petitioner's claim for compensation.[1]

The petitioner, Domingo Larioma, filed with the Workmen's Compensation Unit, Regional Office No. XI, Cagayan de Oro City, of the Department of Labor, a Notice of Injury or Sickness and Claim for Compensation. The claim for compensation alleged that Domingo Larioma was employed by the respondent, Maria Cristina Fertilizer Corporation, on October 1, 1960, as maintenance man in a pyrite-acid plant with a monthly salary of P195.00; that he worked for eight hours a day from Monday to Friday; that on May 11, 1971, Domingo Larioma stopped working due to an illness of acute hepatitis and returned to work at the end of June 1971; and that on July 1, 1971, he again stopped working due to another ailment, coronary heart disease. It does not appear that he returned to work thereafter.

On September 16, 1974, the referee, Rodolfo S. Milado, rendered a decision dismissing the petitioner's claim for compensation for not being supported by substantial evidence.

The claim was elevated to the then Workmen's Compensation Commission for review but said body failed to decide the case before its abolition.

The function of the defunct Workmen's Compensation Commission was subsequently taken over by the Workmen's Appeal and Review Staff in the Office of the Minister of Labor.

On March 1, 1977, Minister of Labor Blas F. Ople "failing to find any evidence, medical or otherwise, that would warrant the reversal of the referee's findings," issued an order affirming the decision dated September 16, 1974 of Referee Rodolfo S. Milado dismissing the petitioner's claim for compensation.[2]

The only issue involved in this petition for review is whether or not the ailments of Domingo Larioma are compensable.

It is a fact that Domingo Larioma became ill of acute hepatitis and of coronary heart disease during his employment with the Maria Cristina Fertilizer Corporation. The said illnesses having supervened during his employment, there is a disputable presumption that the claim is compensable.[3] The claimant is relieved of the duty to prove causation as it is then legally presumed that the illnesses arose out of the employment. The burden of proof is shifted to the employer to show that the ailments are not compensable.[4] The private respondent, Maria Cristina Fertilizer Corporation, has failed to adduce evidence to prove that the ailments of the petitioner

were neither caused nor aggravated by his employment as maintenance worker in its pyrite-acid plant.

The petitioner is entitled to compensation for complete disability and reimbursement of his medical expenses.

WHEREFORE, the decision sought to be reviewed is hereby set aside and the respondent, Maria Cristina Fertilizer Corporation, is ordered:

- 1) To pay the petitioner the amount of Six Thousand Pesos (P6,000.00) as disability compensation;
- 2) To reimburse the petitioner his medical expenses duly supported by receipts;
- 3) To pay the petitioner the amount of Six Hundred Pesos (P600.00) as attorney's fees; and
- 4) To pay the successor of the Workmen's Compensation Commission the amount of Sixty-one Pesos (P61.00) as administrative fee.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, Acting C.J., (Chairman), Makasiar, Guerrero, De Castro, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, p. 3.

[2] Rollo, pp. 71-72.

[3] Section 44, Workmen's Compensation Act; Justiniano vs. Workmen's Compensation Commission, 18 SCRA 677.

[4] Balanga vs. Workmen's Compensation Commission, et al., 83 SCRA 721.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.