

NATIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY, PETITIONERS-APPELLEES, VS. JULIAN CORDERO, RESPONDENT-APPELLANT.

Ponente: LANTION, J.A.C., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/dd60a982e86c/>

LANTION, J.A.C., J.:

On 9 December 2013, Respondent-Appellant Julian Cordero (Appellant) received a copy of this Court's Order directing him to file an appellant's brief within forty-five (45) days from 9 December 2013, or until 23 January 2014.[1]

On 23 January 2014, Appellant filed a Motion[2] for extension of time to file his appellant's brief, praying for additional forty-five (45) days, or until 9 March 2014, to file the same.

On 10 March 2014, Appellant filed another Motion[3] for extension of time to file his brief, asking for an additional period of thirty (30) days, or until 8 April 2014, to file the said pleading.

Instead of filing an appellant's brief on 8 April 2014, Appellant once more filed a Motion[4] for extension of time, requesting for additional fifteen (15) days, or until 23 April 2014, to file his appellant's brief.

On 26 May 2014, a Resolution[5] was issued by this Court granting Appellant's Motions for extension of time to file his appellant's brief, giving him until 23 April 2014 to submit the same "with warning against future extension."

However, per Judicial Records Division Report[6] dated 17 July 2014, no appellant's brief was filed by the Appellant.

Sec. 1 (e), Rule 50 of the Rules of Court provides:

SECTION 1. Grounds for dismissal of appeal. - An appeal may be dismissed by the Court of Appeals, on its own motion or on that of the appellee, on the following grounds:

(a) Failure of the record on appeal to show on its face that the appeal was taken within the period fixed by these Rules;

Considering Appellant's failure to file his appellant's brief despite the extensions of time granted to him, this Court considers the instant case ABANDONED and consequently DISMISSES the same.

SO ORDERED.

Veloso, (Chairperson), and Antonio-Valenzuela, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, p. 13.

[2] Rollo, pp. 13-14.

[3] Rollo, pp. 16-17.

[4] Rollo, pp. 19-20.

[5] Rollo, p. 22.

[6] Rollo, back of p. 22.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.