

SARPLAST PHILIPPINES, INC., PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, V. I.M. BONGAR & COMPANY, INC., DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

Ponente: DIAMANTE, F. N., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/d209b0ff7943/>

DIAMANTE, F. N., J.:

On January 13, 2014, this Court promulgated its Decision[1] denying the appeal filed by defendant-appellant I.M. Bongar & Company, Inc. (“Bongar” for brevity) of the Decision dated October 13, 2011[2] and the Order dated July 9, 2012[3] of the Quezon City Regional Trial Court (RTC), Branch 227, in Civil Case No. Q-98- 36424.

Subsequently, defendant-appellant Bongar filed a Motion for Reconsideration dated January 30, 2014[4] to which plaintiff-appellee Sarplast Philippines, Inc. (“Sarplast” for brevity) filed its “Comments” dated March 18, 2014.[5]

Defendant-appellant Bongar urged Us to take a second look at Our Decision stressing that this Court improperly appreciated the evidence presented by plaintiff-appellee Sarplast.[6] Also, it stressed that this Court erred in not giving credence to the testimony of Fersally Frias[7] as well as the Memorandum dated November 28, 1997 and Letter dated April 24, 1998 it presented[8] but instead, had given probative value to the Letter dated October 16, 1998 allegedly submitted by defendant-appellant Bongar.[9]

We resolve to deny the motion.

After a careful scrutiny of Our Decision and the rationale therefor, We are ever more convinced of its legal logic and correctness. The core issues raised by defendant-appellant Bongar were already carefully dissected, analyzed and addressed by this Court, hence, We find no sufficient or justifiable reason to disturb the same.

WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing, the Motion for Reconsideration is hereby DENIED for lack of merit.

SO ORDERED.

Librea-Leagogo (Chairperson) and Galapate-Laguilles, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, pp. 78-85.

[2] Id. at 42-59.

[3] Id. at 60.

[4] Id. at 88-112.

[5] Id. at 117-120.

[6] Id. at 90.

[7] Id. at 104.

[8] Id. at 105.

[9] Id. at 107.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.