

**SPOUSES FELIPE CHUA AND VIRGINIA ROBLES,
PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES, VS. ROSELLA VARONA, CRISANTO
ESTRELLA, LUALHATI ESTRELLA, GUINHAWA ESTRELLA, LIGAYA
ESTRELLA, TAGUMPAY ESTRELLA, P...**

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/cb264f215b77/>

MACALINO, J:

Before us is defendant-appellant's Motion for Reconsideration[1] directed at our Decision[2] promulgated on September 22, 2014, the dispositive portion of which states:

“IN VIEW OF THE FOREGOING, the October 10, 2011 Decision of the Regional Trial Court of Malolos City, Bulacan, Branch 12 in Civil Case No. 213-M-2001 is hereby AFFIRMED with MODIFICATION, changing the date of the Deed of Absolute Sale from August 23, 1993 to August 24, 1993. All other aspects of the Assailed Decision stands.

SO ORDERED.”[3]

Plaintiffs-appellees submitted their Comment[4] on February 12, 2015 alleging that defendant-appellant failed to dispute the findings of this Court that it did not exercise due diligence required of banking institutions thus, it cannot be considered as mortgagee in good faith.

The ground anchored upon by defendant-appellant in this instant motion is exactly the main issue decided upon in the above-mentioned decision. The aspect of good faith by a mortgagee bank was exhaustively addressed and disposed of by this Court in the said Decision. In fact, defendant-appellant merely reiterated and restated principally the discussions in its Brief.[5] The Supreme Court unequivocally ruled that rehashed pleadings should be denied as it would be a useless ritual for the Court to reiterate itself.[6]

WHEREFORE, premises considered, defendant-appellant's Motion for Reconsideration is hereby DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

Villon, S.E., Chairperson and Corales, P.B., JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, pp. 143-146.

[2] Rollo, pp. 132-140.

[3] Rollo, p. 140.

[4] Rollo, pp. 154-157.

[5] Rollo, pp. 46-57.

[6] Mendoza-Ong vs. Sandiganbayan, G.R. Nos. 146368-69, October 18, 2004.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.