

**TEOFILO FINEZA AND VALENTINA FINEZA, COMPLAINANTS, VS.
ELIAS ANACLETO, DEPUTY PROVINCIAL SHERIFF OF ILIGAN CITY,
RESPONDENT.**

Adm. Matter No. R-394-P. January 30, 1986

Ponente: ABAD SANTOS, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/ca2e410c8cb0/>

ABAD SANTOS, J.:

The spouses Teofilo and Valentina Fineza filed the instant case against Elias Anacleto, deputy provincial sheriff of the Regional Trial Court at Iligan City. They alleged harassment and dereliction of duty.

The record shows that in Civil Case No. V-70 of the de-funct Court of First Instance of Lanao del Norte, the Finezas prevailed but upon appeal to the then Court of Appeals the de-cision was reversed and the Finezas were ordered, inter alia, to pay the opposing parties attorney's fees in the amount of P3,000. 00.

When the appellate court's decision was remanded to the lower court for execution of the judgment, the respondent addressed a letter to the complainants to "settle" the payment of the attorney's fees or "make some sort of arrangement" not later than April 15, 1985 otherwise their residential lot at Pala-o, Iligan City, would be sold at public auction on May 21, 1985 in order to satisfy the obligation.

On April 12, 1985 the complainants deposited P3,015.00 with the Regional Trial Court at Iligan City under O.R. No. 2919216 in payment of the attorney's fees adjudged against them. Despite the payment, the property of the Finezas was advertised for sale at public auction in the Lanao Mail issues of April 22, April 29, and May 6, 1985. The complainants now claim that the publication of the sale in the Lanao Mail and in other public places despite the payment already made was an act of harassment which embarrassed, humiliated and destroyed their good name.

In his defense the respondent states that it was only on April 24, 1985 that he came to know that the complainants had made the deposit "when Mrs. Valentina Fineza, one of the complainants herein appeared at the respondent's office and was very angry because, as according to her, why was the Notice of Sheriff's Sale of their property still appeared in the LANAOS MAIL, one of the City's local newspaper, when they already paid the amount?" He also states that on the same day he wrote a letter to the publisher of the Lanao Mail which was handed to Mrs. Fineza for delivery.

The letter was worded thus:

"May I request that the publication of the legal Notice in re: Fineza vs. Badelles be stopped considering that the plaintiff Fineza have already paid the judgment on the 12th yet so that this Notice could not have been published.

"The bearer, Mrs. Fineza, will arrange with you as to the cost the one issue or I will just arrange with our office that you will be compensated to the notice being stopped or suspended. "

Notwithstanding the letter, the publication of the sale in the Lanao Mail was not discontinued because, according to the respondent, Mrs. Fineza did not deliver the letter and his claim is confirmed by Mrs. Natividad I. Jove, a stenographic reporter of the Regional Trial Court in Iligan City.

We dismiss the charge for utterly lacking in merit.

It appears that Mr. Teofilo Fineza is a government auditor who holds office "barely about 10 meters away from the Office of the Clerk of Court." It would have been a simple matter for him to have informed the respondent who had given the complainants ample time to pay the fees adjudged about the deposit which he had made on April 12, 1985. But he did not. And when the respondent wrote a letter to the publisher of the Lanao Mail to discontinue the publication of the notice of sale, Mrs. Fineza did not deliver the letter alleging later: "Why should I be the one to stop the publication when I was not the one who have it published? Why should we be the one to pay the cost of publication when we were not the one who have it published?"

WHEREFORE, the instant administrative case is dismissed for lack of merit.

SO ORDERED.

Concepcion, Jr., (Chairman), Escolin, Cuevas, and Alampay, JJ. concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.