

CEBU OXYGEN & ACETYLENE CO., INC., PETITIONER, VS. HON. PASCUAL A. BERCILLES, PRESIDING JUDGE, BRANCH XV, 14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, AND JOSE L. ESPELETA, ASSISTANT PROVINCIAL FISC...

G.R. No. L-40474. August 29, 1975

Ponente: CONCEPCION, JR., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/bed9c51085b9/>

CONCEPCION, JR., J.:

This is a petition for the review of the order of the Court of First Instance of Cebu dismissing petitioner's application for registration of title over a parcel of land situated in the City of Cebu.

The parcel of land sought to be registered was originally a portion of M. Borces Street, Mabolo, Cebu City. On September 23, 1968, the City Council of Cebu, through Resolution No. 2193, approved on October 3, 1968, declared the terminal portion of M. Borces Street, Mabolo, Cebu City, as an abandoned road, the same not being included in the City Development Plan.[1] Subsequently, on December 19, 1968, the City Council of Cebu passed Resolution No. 2755, authorizing the Acting City Mayor to sell the land through a public bidding.[2] Pursuant thereto, the lot was awarded to the herein petitioner being the highest bidder and on March 3, 1969, the City of Cebu, through the Acting City Mayor, executed a deed of absolute sale to the herein petitioner for a total consideration of P10,800.00.[3] By virtue of the aforesaid deed of absolute sale, the petitioner filed an application with the Court of First Instance of Cebu to have its title to the land registered.[4]

On June 26, 1974, the Assistant Provincial Fiscal of Cebu filed a motion to dismiss the application on the ground that the property sought to be registered being a public road intended for public use is considered part of the public domain and therefore outside the commerce of man. Consequently, it cannot be subject to registration by any private individual.[5]

After hearing the parties, on October 11, 1974 the trial court issued an order dismissing the petitioner's application for registration of title.[6] Hence, the instant petition for review.

For the resolution of this case, the petitioner poses the following questions:

- Does the City Charter of Cebu City (Republic Act No. 3857) under Section 31, paragraph 34, give the City of Cebu the valid right to declare a road as abandoned? and
- Does the declaration of the road, as abandoned, make it the patrimonial property of the City of Cebu which may be the subject of a common contract?

(1) The pertinent portions of the Revised Charter of Cebu City provides:

"Section 31. Legislative Powers. Any provision. of law and executive order to the contrary notwithstanding, the City Council shall have the following legislative powers:

x x x x x x x x

(34) x x x; to close any city road, street or alley, boulevard, avenue, park or square. Property thus withdrawn from public servitude may be used or conveyed for any purpose for which other real property belonging to the City may be lawfully used or conveyed."

From the foregoing, it is undoubtedly clear that the City of Cebu is empowered to close a city road or street. In the case of *Favis vs. City of Baguio*,^[7] where the power of the City Council of Baguio City to close city streets and to vacate or withdraw the same from public use was similarly assailed, this Court said:

"5. So it is, that appellant may not challenge the city council's act of withdrawing a strip of Lapu-Lapu Street at its dead end from public use and converting the remainder thereof into an alley. These are acts well within the ambit of the power to close a city street. The city council, it would seem to us, is the authority competent to determine whether or not a certain property is still necessary for public use.

"Such power to vacate a street or alley is discretionary. And the discretion will not ordinarily be controlled or interfered with by the courts, absent a plain case of abuse or fraud or collusion. Faithfulness to the public trust will be presumed. So the fact that some private interests may be served incidentally will not invalidate the vacation ordinance."

(2) Since that portion of the city street subject of petitioner's application for registration of title was withdrawn from public use, it follows that such withdrawn portion becomes patrimonial property which can be the object of an ordinary contract.

Article 422 of the Civil Code expressly provides that "Property of public dominion, when no longer intended for public use or for public service, shall form part of the patrimonial property of the State."

Besides, the Revised Charter of the City of Cebu heretofore quoted, in very clear and unequivocal terms, states that: "Property thus withdrawn from public servitude may be used or conveyed for any purpose for which other real property belonging to the City may be lawfully used or conveyed."

Accordingly, the withdrawal of the property in question from public use and its subsequent sale to the petitioner is valid. Hence, the petitioner has a registerable title over the lot in question.

WHEREFORE, the order dated October 11, 1974, rendered by the respondent court in Land Reg. Case No. N-948, LRC Rec. No. N-44531 is hereby set aside, and the respondent court is hereby ordered to proceed with the hearing of the petitioner's application for registration of title.

SO ORDERED.

Makalintal, C.J., Fernando, (Chairman), Barredo, and Aquino, JJ., concur.

[1] Annex A, p. 11, rollo.

[2] Annex B, p. 12, rollo.

[3] Annex C, p. 13, rollo.

[4] Annex D, p. 15, rollo.

[5] Annex E, p. 18, rollo.

[6] Annex F, p. 20, rollo.

[7] GR. No. L-29910. April 25, 1969, 27 SCRA 1060.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.