

CESAR SERRANO, PETITIONER VS. HONORABLE AUGUSTO M. AMORES, AS JUDGE OF BRANCH I OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF ZAMBALES (OLONGAPO CITY), AND OSCAR LOPEZ, GREGORIO BOLASCO, DE...

G.R. No. L-34370. January 17, 1975

Ponente: FERNANDO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/bdae2cdb1ca4/>

FERNANDO, J.:

In this certiorari and prohibition proceeding, what is sought to be nullified is a writ of preliminary mandatory injunction issued by respondent Judge Augusto M. Amores, ordering now petitioner Cesar Serrano to deliver to respondent Oscar Lopez, plaintiff in a civil suit then pending in the court below, the possession of five apartments located at Olongapo City, the amount of the bond being fixed in the sum of P30,000.00 previously filed for the issuance of an earlier restraining order and thereafter considered as the bond for such injunction. There was an answer to the petition, and thereafter, the respective parties filed their memoranda in lieu of oral argument, with petitioner having likewise a reply memorandum. The case was thus submitted for decision. It was at that stage when, on October 4, 1974, a motion to dismiss the petition was filed by respondents. It reads thus: "[Come now] the Respondents, through undersigned counsel, and unto this Honorable Tribunal, most respectfully [pray] that the instant Petition be [dismissed] because the issues raised are now moot and academic, the respondent court having dismissed the complaint and set aside the Order dated October 25, 1971 issuing the writ of preliminary mandatory injunction, subject matter of the instant proceeding, as per its Order dated August 5, 1974, copy of which is attached as Annex 'A'." [1] The attached order is worded as follows: "Upon joint motion of the parties through their respective counsel, the complaint as well as the counter-claim contained in the answer are hereby dismissed, without prejudice to whatever action the parties may take in Civil Case No. 3079, entitled Cesar Serrano vs. Rizalina de la Cruz, in the Court of First Instance of Pampanga, Branch II. Consequently, the order dated October 25, 1971 issuing a writ of preliminary mandatory injunction is set aside and the writ issued thereunder lifted." [2] Asked to comment, the petitioner was averse to the plea to terminate the proceeding on the ground of its being moot and academic. While he could not deny that there was in fact such a dismissal of the civil case pending before respondent Judge, he would impress upon this Court that the legal issues raised could be threshed out with the resulting benefit, according to him, of guidance for the parties as well as the enrichment of jurisprudence.

Petitioner ought to have known better. Judicial power does not extend to the issuance of advisory opinions. He ought to have been aware that with so many pending cases wherein there is an actual and antagonistic assertion of rights between the parties, it would not serve public interest at all if on a matter now clearly moot and academic the time and attention of this Court would still have to be devoted. Realistically, no valid objective would thereby be achieved. Petitioner's stand does not commend itself for acceptance. His opposition to the Motion to dismiss is not deserving of serious consideration.

Wherefore, the petition for certiorari and prohibition is dismissed for being moot and academic.

Barredo, Antonio, Fernandez, and Aquino, JJ., concur.

[1] Motion to Dismiss Petition dated October 3, 1974.

[2] Order of Respondent Judge, August 5, 1974.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.