

**REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES, PETITIONER-APPELLANT, VS. HON. FELIX V. BARBERS, AS PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF MANILA, BRANCH XXXIII, AND DOMINADOR AQUINO ES...**

*G.R. No. L-48720. October 30, 1979*

Ponente: AQUINO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/ba43dd51e523/>

---

**AQUINO, J.:**

This case is about the cancellation of an entry in a civil register. In the birth certificate, on file in the civil registry of Manila, of May-Velin Estrada, who was born on May 25, 1973, item 24 thereof, dealing with "Date and Place of Marriage of Parents (For legitimate birth)", contains the following entry: "June 18, 1972, San Juan, Rizal".

On the other hand, in the birth certificate of May-Velin's younger brother, Dominador Estrada, Jr. (he was born on June 21, 1974), the entry under that same item 24 was "Not married".

The parents of the two children claim that they are not married to each other. The wife or mother, Filipinas Vea Agbunag-Estrada, testified that she lied to the nurse, who assisted at the birth of May-Velin, that she was married to Dominador Aquino Estrada. She said that she concocted that lie because she was ashamed to admit that she was not married to the father of the child.

On July 8, 1977, the father, Dominador, filed in the Court of First Instance of Manila an amended verified petition, praying for the cancellation of that alleged erroneous entry in item 24 of May-Velin's record of birth. The local civil registrar of Manila alleged in his answer to the petition that the correction sought was substantial and controversial because it involved a change of status.

The Solicitor General opposed the petition on the ground that the cancellation was not authorized under Rule 108 of the Rules of Court because, if it were allowed, the status of the child would be changed from legitimate to illegitimate.

After due publication and hearing, the lower court found the petition to be meritorious. It ordered the local civil registrar of Manila to cancel the said entry. The State appealed.

The appeal should be sustained. Long settled is the rule that the errors which can be corrected or cancelled under the summary procedure contemplated in article 412 of the Civil Code, as implemented in Rule 108, refer to clerical errors or harmless and innocuous changes but not to substantial and controversial matters.

Since the entry sought to be cancelled concerns the status of a child as legitimate or illegitimate and the civil status of the parents as married or unmarried, the alleged error refers to a substantial and vital matter. Its cancellation is not covered by Rule 108 (Republic vs. Court of First Instance of Davao Oriental, L- 31748, August 20, 1979 and cases cited therein).

In David vs. Republic, L-21316, November 29, 1965, 15 SCRA 438, one of the corrections in the birth record

requested by the petitioner was "to delete the place and date of marriage placed therein because there exists no such marriage". That relief was denied. It was held that petitioner's remedy was in an adversary proceeding.

WHEREFORE, the lower court's order dated May 2, 1978, canceling the entry as to the date and place of marriage of the petitioner and his alleged common-law wife, is reversed and set aside. No costs.

**SO ORDERED.**

Barredo, (Chairman), Concepcion, Jr., Santos, and Abad Santos, JJ., concur.  
Antonio, J., is abroad.

**ABOUT BATAS.ORG**

# Study smarter at BATAS.org

*The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.*

**The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.**

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

**Audio case-brief lectures.**

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

**Bar review built on the science of memory.**

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

**The full law-school curriculum.**

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

**Mock exams that tell you where you stand.**

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

**A study planner that keeps you on pace.**

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

**Start free at <https://batas.org>**

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.