

VICENTE T. TAN, HELEN C. TAN AND SUZAN Y. MABASA, PETITIONERS, VS. MILITARY COMMISSION NO. 5, RESPONDENT.

G.R. Nos. L-47222-27. March 31, 1978

Ponente: TEEHANKEE, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/b7adc16bfa29/>

TEEHANKEE, J.:

The petition at bar was filed on November 3, 1977 and on the basis of General Order No. 59 "Further Limiting the Jurisdiction of Military Tribunals" issued on June 24, 1977 by the President of the Philippines, prays for judgment "annulling the resolution of respondent Military Commission No. 5 which denied petitioners' motion to transfer Criminal Cases Nos. MC-5-42, 43, 44, 45, 46 and 47 to the civil courts, and commanding respondent Military Commission to desist from further proceedings in and to order the transfer of said criminal cases to the civil courts."

On November 8, 1977, the Court issued a temporary restraining order restraining respondent military commission "from proceeding with the hearing of Criminal Cases Nos. MC-5-42, 43, 44, 45, 46 and 47 on November 9, 1977, and from conducting further proceedings therein."

After considering respondent's comment required on the petition and petitioners' reply thereto, the Court issued its Resolution of February 9, 1978 giving due course to the petition and requiring the parties to submit their respective memoranda in lieu of oral argument.

On March 2, 1978, respondent military commission through the Solicitor General's Office filed a motion to dispense with the filing of memorandum stating the following:

'1. That on February 17, 1978, respondent in the above-entitled case as well as in L-43247 and L-44090, filed its COMPLIANCE AND MOTION TO DISMISS dated February 16, 1978 alleging among others:

'2. That the reported temporary release is therefore confirmed; moreover, the cases involving petitioners, which are pending before respondent Military Commission, have already been ordered transferred to the civil courts for further proceedings;

'3. That consequently all pertinent issues in all the above-entitled cases have been rendered moot and academic;

'4. That an impediment to the transfer of said cases to the civil courts is the existence of a temporary restraining order issued on November 8, 1977 in L-47222-27, enjoining the respondent 'from proceeding with the hearing of Criminal Cases Nos. MC-5-42, 43, 44, 45, 46 and 47 on November 9, 1977, and from conducting further

proceedings therein', and which restraining order prevents the respondent from acting on the directive of the Secretary of National Defense to transfer subject cases to the civil courts.'

and praying that 'the temporary restraining order issued on November 8, 1977 by this Honorable Court be lifted and dissolved, and the petitions in all the above-entitled cases dismissed, the same having been rendered moot and academic.'"

The Court in its two Resolutions of March 16, 1978 in two related cases, namely, L-44090 (In re: petition for Habeas Corpus of Vicente T. Tan, et al.) and L-43247 (Suzan Y. Mabasa vs. Military Commission No. 5, et al.) ordered the dismissal and termination of said cases for having become moot and academic on the ground that the petitioners have already been released from detention and the criminal cases against them have already been ordered transferred to the civil courts for further proceedings.

The cases at bar may therefore be dismissed for having been rendered moot and academic and the Court's temporary restraining order of November 8, 1977 may be lifted for the purpose of enabling respondent military commission to act for the sole purpose of transferring the cases before it to the civil courts.

ACCORDINGLY, the Court's temporary restraining order of November 8, 1977 is lifted for the purpose of enabling respondent military commission to transfer the cases before it to the civil courts and upon receipt of the corresponding manifestation to this effect, the cases at bar shall be deemed dismissed for having become moot and academic.

Castro, C.J., Barredo, Makasiar, Antonio, Muñoz Palma, Aquino, Concepcion, Jr., Fernandez, and Guerrero, JJ., concur.

Fernando and Santos, JJ., no part.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.