

**CONSUMER PACKAGING PRODUCT CORPORATION,
PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT, – VERSUS – JESSIE CHING AND
LIZA A. RONCAL, DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE NAME AND STYLE OF
GENTEX PLASTIC MANUFACTURING ...**

Ponente: BRUSELAS, JR. J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/b3a54fe86bdc/>

BRUSELAS, JR. J.:

Before the court is a Motion[1] filed by plaintiff-appellant Consumer Packaging Products Corporation (CPPC), seeking the reconsideration of the Decision[2] of 19 March 2014. Also before the Court is the Comment[3] of defendants-appellees Jessie Ching (Ching) and Liza Roncal (Roncal) to the said motion for reconsideration.

CPPC contends that it had made deliveries of raw materials to Gentex during the month of May 2006, contrary to what Ching and Roncal maintain. It also insists that its delivery driver, Prado, had personal knowledge of the actual delivery of plastic resins to Gentex; and that Prado could still remember the amount of the deliveries made. It also argues that its failure to indicate the value of the goods in the delivery receipts was not unnatural, given that the transactions were between and among Chinese companies and individuals. Finally, it argues that the values of the delivered plastic resins could not be denied by Ching because he received the statement of account which contained the subject delivery receipts and the corresponding value thereof which totalled P4,014,312.50.

The above allegations and the arguments in support thereof, however, appear to be a mere rehash of the issues and arguments raised in the appeal and which have already been passed upon in our Decision. Hence, we find no cogent reason to reverse, much less, modify our Decision.

WHEREFORE, the motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Salazar-Fernando, and Peralta,[*] JJ., concur.

[*] Actg. Third Member vice J. Gaerlan per Office Order No. 100-14-RSF dated 12 March 2014.

[1] Rollo, pp. 71-80.

[2] Rollo, pp. 62-70.

[3] Rollo, pp.85-87.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.