

RICARDO G. PINTIATURA, PETITIONER, VS. NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS COMMISSION (FOURTH DIVISION), STAR FORCE SECURITY AGENCY AND/OR JUN ISMAEL MANALO, RESPONDENTS.

Ponente: BRUSELAS, JR. J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/b1035d9f9e75/>

BRUSELAS, JR. J.:

Our Decision[1] of 25 September 2014 denied the certiorari petition of the petitioner and consequently affirmed the NLRC's decision which held that the petitioner's complaint for illegal dismissal was prematurely filed and that his monetary claims were not supported by sufficient evidence that he was entitled thereto.

The petitioner's motion for reconsideration[2] basically re-asserts that the lack of notice of termination does not suffice to establish a reasonable conclusion that respondent security agency did not intend to sever his employment. He further asserts that the respondent security agency failed to prove that he would be deployed to a new post with equivalent position and salary; thus, negating the respondent's claim that he was placed on "off-detail" or floating status. The petitioner also claims that the respondent security agency pressured him to resign which was tantamount to constructive dismissal.

The motion must fail.

We find no cogent reason to warrant a reconsideration of our decision which the petitioner seeks to reconsider. While the petition failed to prove a prima facie grave abuse of discretion on the part of the NLRC, the motion likewise has not raised any new, substantial ground or reason that would call for either a reversal or modification of our decision. The grounds or issues raised in the said motion have already been passed upon when we rendered the decision sought to be reconsidered. To discuss again the ratio decidendi of our decision would be to belabor the issues ad infinitum.

WHEREFORE, we DENY the motion for reconsideration.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

PJ Reyes, Jr., A.B., Chairman and Gaerlan, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, p. 337.

[2] Rollo, p. 348.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.