

PERCIVAL PICARDO, PETITIONER, VS. SECRETARY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, RESPONDENT.

G.R. No. L-24045. June 19, 1979

Ponente: SANTOS, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/b0b7d1e59819/>

SANTOS, J.:

Appeal from the decision dated November 24, 1964 of the Court of First Instance of Manila, Branch III, then Judge later Justice of the Court of Appeals Jesus y Perez, presiding, in Civil Case No. 58625, entitled "Percival Picardo, petitioner, vs. Secretary of Foreign Affairs", respondent, denying petitioner-appellant Picardo's petition for mandamus to compel the respondent Secretary of Foreign Affairs to issue to him a passport to go to Hongkong, and eventually to Red China.

It appears that on October 2, 1964, here-in petitioner-appellant who was a reporter of the defunct Manila Chronicle and a member of the Manila Press Club, applied for a passport to Hongkong.[1] The Secretary of Foreign Affairs, Hon. Mauro Mendez, refused to issue the pass-port on the ground that Percival Picardo was among the newspapermen who were invited by the Chinese Communist representative in Jakarta, Yang Yi, for a visit to Red China. At that time, the government had a standing policy to prohibit Filipino citizens from visiting communist-oriented countries including Red China.[2] Consequently, petitioner-appellant, through counsel, filed a petition for mandamus with the aforesaid Court of First Instance, praying that the Secretary of Foreign Affairs be directed to issue the requested passport.

Petitioner-appellant contended that the refusal of the Department of Foreign Affairs to issue his passport was a patent violation of his constitutional right to travel, "which right is part of the liberty of which the citizen cannot be deprived without due process of law ..."[3]

Upon the other hand, the Department, through the Office of the Solicitor General, claimed that it has the power and authority to control exit from the country because Section 28 of the Executive Order No. 1, series of 1946 authorizes the Secretary of Foreign Affairs to prescribe regulations on the issuance of pass-ports.[4]

CFI Judge Jesus Y. Perez decided in favor of the Department of Foreign Affairs and denied Picardo's petition for mandamus stating, among other things, that "the prohibition to go to communist-controlled countries is within the competence of the Chief Executive to promulgate in the exercise of the vast Constitutional power to conduct our foreign relations x x x" and is political in character; thus, the policy "should not be interfered with by the Court." [5]

Petitioner-appellant appealed to this Court.

The issues raised in this appeal with mandamus have become moot and academic, not only because the incident involved had taken place way back in the year 1964, when there was a total ban on travel by Filipino nationals to communist states like Red China,[6] but also because diplomatic relations had already been established between the Philippines and the said country thru a joint communique issued on June 9, 1975, and the prohibition against

Filipino citizens from visiting Red China has been lifted.

IN VIEW THEREOF, this case is hereby ordered DISMISSED. No costs.

SO ORDERED.

Antonio, (Acting Chairman), Aquino, Abad Santos, and De Castro, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, p. 113 (Decision).

[2] Ibid.

[3] Id., p. 74.

[4] Id., p. 91.

[5] Id., pp. 140-141 (Decision).

[6] See testimony of Mr. Librado D. Cayco, t.s.n. of Nov. 4, 1964, p. 11.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.