

**MOISES M. MASPIL AND YOLANDA LAGMAN, COMPLAINANTS, VS.
FERNANDO R. ROMERO, CLERK OF COURT, COURT OF FIRST
INSTANCE, BAGUIO CITY, RESPONDENT.**

Adm. Matter No. P-44. November 26, 1974

Ponente: ESGUERRA, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/afa987d82a0b/>

ESGUERRA, J.:

A perusal of the "Urgent Motion, Manifestation and Reconsideration", filed by complainant Moises M. Maspil clearly shows that it is a reiteration of the issue of complainants' alleged better rights to the controverted lot No. 27 (Holy Ghost Subdivision of Residential Section "B", Baguio City) which gave rise to the administrative complaints against respondent then Clerk of Court Fernando R. Romero of the Court of First Instance, Baguio City, now retired. It presents no new pertinent and relevant matter or issue that had not been considered or passed upon in the decision of September 30, 1974.

In ordering the dismissal of the administrative complaint, We predicated Our action on the premise that the controversy as to who has a better right to own the lot in question is not of itself alone a proper subject matter for administrative action against a public official as it has nothing to do with his competence, integrity or performance of his duties. It should have been brought as a civil action before the courts of justice to settle and adjudicate the conflicting claims of the parties over the land involved. We see no compelling reason to modify or change that stand.

Complainant Maspil's move to reconsider Our decision by harping on the complainants' alleged better right to the controverted lot, supported as it is by his own admission "that this appeal/complaint was not known by anybody else, as all the plans, words, and idea of the complaint are my very own, who is a non-lawyer, and never assisted by any lawyer as to the making, wording and the filing of the appeal/complaint dated March 17, 1973. ...", (No. 21, page 18 of Urgent Motion dated October 22, 1974), only the more convinces Us that this administrative case has no merit and that respondent was undeservedly and mercilessly maligned and harassed.

The proper procedure to protect their alleged rights to the controverted lot has been markedly pointed to complainants in the decision and by it they must abide if they are sincerely after the vindication of their alleged legitimate claims. But it must be made clear that their choice of procedure cannot be imposed upon Us in their apparently misguided quest for the enforcement of what they believe to be their rightful claim. Appropriate actions for the enforcement or defense of rights must be taken in accordance with procedural rules and cannot be left to the whims or caprices of litigants. It cannot even be left to the untrammelled discretion of the courts of justice without sacrificing uniformity and equality in the application and effectivity thereof.

WHEREFORE, the motion for reconsideration is hereby denied for being completely devoid of merit.

Motion denied.

Castro, (Chairman), Teehankee, Makasiar, and Munoz Palma, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.