RE: DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST ANTONIO LAMANO, JR., OF THE JUDGMENT DIVISION, SUPREME COURT

A.M. No. 99-10-10-SC. November 29, 1999

Ponente: GONZAGA-REYES, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-99-10-10/

GONZAGA-REYES, J.:

Before us is an Affidavit-Complaint filed by Valeriana Almojuela, Property Division of the Court of Appeals against Antonio Lamano, Jr. of the Judgment Division of the Supreme Court for misconduct. The complaint states that at about 7:30 in the morning of March 5, 1999 while she was in line at the Supreme Court canteen she felt somebody from behind insert a finger in between her buttocks which reached her intimate part. When she turned to see who it was she saw the respondent. She berated him and he immediately apologized. The complainant stated that instead of feeling remorse the respondent while eating kept laughing and occasionally looked her way and that even after the day of the incident the respondent continued to tell his friends about it causing more pain and humiliation to the complainant.

The respondent filed Answer to the Complaint and states that it was a case of mistaken identity. He only wanted to play a prank on his friend Carlo of the Judicial Records Office of the Supreme Court who looked like the complainant from behind. Respondent alleges that he touched or squeezed but not fingered the complainant's buttocks and that when he saw that the person he touched was not his friend Carlo but the complainant he immediately apologized. Respondent denies spreading gossip about the incident.

A friend of the respondent, Rodolfo Reboredo, who was present at the scene of the incident stated in his affidavit:

"At nasaksihan ko na inakbayan niya (Lumano, Jr) ang nasabing nakasuot ng striped na T-shirt at maong na pantalon. Sa pag-aakala ko na kilala niya iyon hindi ko ito binigyan ng pansin, at laking gulat ko na lamang ang malakas na pagpapaumanhin ni Antonio Lamano na sabi ay "sorry po ma'am, hindi ko po sinasadya, inaamin ko na nagkamali po ako, sorry po talaga." Nang matapos ang argumento kami ay naupo na sa hapag kainan kasama si G. Otillo Pamittan, at si Antonio ay nakayukong umiiling-iling at natatawa sa kanyang sarili. Tinanong ko kung ano ang ginawa niya at ang sabi niya ay "pare, nagkamali ako, ang akala ko si Carlo yong babae, sinundot ko iyong puwet"

In her Reply complainant reiterated that respondent inserted his finger in between her buttocks as he admitted to Rodolfo Reboredo immediately after the incident. Complainant insists that she does not look even remotely similar to Carlo of the Judicial Records Office. She further states that even assuming that it was a case of mistaken identity the respondent should be held responsible for his acts which caused gross embarrassment to the complainant.

The Complaints and Investigation Division of this Court filed memorandum finding the respondent guilty of simple misconduct and recommends the imposition of a fine equivalent to his two weeks salary with stern warning that a repetition of the same act will be dealt with more severely. The investigating attorney found the respondent's defense of mistaken identity untenable and stated that the act committed by the respondent by itself

falls short of the highest degree of propriety and decorum required of government employees.

The recommendation of the Complaints and Investigation Division is well-taken.

Government officials and employees, more specifically those employed in the Judiciary, are bound by the highest standards of propriety and decorum to maintain the people's respect and faith in the Judiciary.[1] Such dictates apply not only between the said personnel and the public but among co-workers as well.[2] Any transgression or deviation from the established norm of conduct, work related or not, amounts to a misconduct. Any scandalous behavior or any act that may erode the people's high esteem for the Judiciary is considered an act unbecoming an employee of the Judiciary.[3]

The affidavit of respondent's own witness supports the complainant's allegation that the respondent inserted his finger in between her buttocks which reached her private part. The act committed by the respondent in a public place is grossly humiliating to the complainant and such conduct cannot be condoned. Although malice was not sufficiently established the act committed exhibited a serious lack of proper decorum which is required of every employee. The act is lewd and rude and no excuse e.g. mistaken identity, can convince this Court to dismiss such conduct as an unfortunate incident. The complainant's allegation that the respondent spread gossip about the incident is not supported by evidence.

WHEREFORE, respondent is found guilty of simple misconduct and imposed a fine equivalent to his two weeks salary.

SO ORDERED.

Davide, Jr., C.J., Melo, Puno, Vitug, Panganiban, Quisumbing, Purisima, Pardo, Buena, Ynares-Santiago, and De Leon, Jr., JJ., concur.
Bellosillo, J., agrees with J. Mendoza that respondent should only be guilty of simple misconduct and an admonition or reprimand at most should be imposed.
Kapunan, J., joins J. Mendoza in his opinion that respondent is guilty of simple misconduct so that reprimand should suffice.
Mendoza, J., believes Antonio Lamano, Jr. is guilty of simple misconduct for which REPRIMAND should be sufficient. He, therefore, so vote.

---

[1] OCAD vs. Yambao, 221 SCRA 77; Quiroz vs. Orfila, A.M. P-96-1210, May 7, 1997.

[2] Tablate vs. Tanjutco-Seechung, 234 SCRA 161 Policarpio vs. Fortus, 248 SCRA 272.

[3] Agapa vs. Ponce, 245 SCRA 233; Moreno vs. Bragat, A.M. P-94-1072, August 5, 1998.

Bar review courses, mock exams and a study planner that keeps you on pace - batas.org

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at https://batas.org

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.