

RE: CASES LEFT UNDECIDED BY JUDGE SEGUNDO B. CATRAL, REGIONAL TRIAL COURT, BRANCH 8, APPARRI, CAGAYAN.

A.M. No. 98-12-377-RTC. July 26, 1999

Ponente: QUISUMBING, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-98-12-377/>

QUISUMBING, J.:

Judge Segundo B. Catral was the presiding judge of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 8, at Aparri, Cagayan. He retired from the service effective March 25, 1998. Attached to his application for optional retirement was a certification issued by Avelino John A. Jucar, officer-in-charge of Branch 8 at that time, stating that there is no case pending decision or resolution in Judge Catral's sala.

Upon inquiry by the Office of the Court Administrator, however, Celia P. Sotto, the present officer-in-charge of Branch 8, informed the OCA that seven cases remained undecided by Judge Catral.

With this information, the Court issued on December 15, 1998, a resolution requiring Jucar to explain why he certified that there were no cases pending decision or resolution in Judge Catral's sala prior to his retirement. In his explanation dated February 10, 1999, Jucar said that judge Catral went to his residence on the evening of March 8, 1998, and asked him to sign a prepared certification stating that no case had been left pending decision or resolution in the latter's sala. According to Jucar, Judge Catral informed him that he is going to Manila that same night to submit his retirement papers, including the certification. Judge Catral told Jucar that he was able to finish all cases submitted for decision or resolution in his sala. Without any means of immediately verifying the truth of Judge Catral's assertion, Jucar accepted the former's allegation and signed the certification. Jucar stated in his explanation that he did not intend to mislead the Court in signing the certification and prayed for the dismissal of the administrative case which had consequently been filed against him and Judge Catral.

The matter was referred to the OCA for evaluation, report and recommendation in a resolution issued by the Court on March 2, 1999.

The OCA found Jucar's explanation unsatisfactory, particularly since as branch OIC, he -- like a branch clerk of court -- should have known whether or not there were cases pending decision or resolution at the time Judge Catral filed his application for optional retirement. He should have exercised due care in signing the certification to avoid compromising the integrity of his position.

Hence, the OCA recommended that Jucar be admonished.

As for Judge Catral, the OCA found that four of the seven cases, although submitted for decision, were still within the 90-day period to decide required by law. Another case was delayed due to a pending amicable settlement by the parties. Thus, there were only two cases which were left pending decision upon Judge Catral's retirement, which he failed to decide within the reglementary period. The OCA recommended that Judge Catral be fined in the amount of P5,000.00.

After a thorough review of this case, the Court is in accord with the recommendations of the OCA as regards

Judge Catral, who should have been more forthright in his dealings with the Office of the Court Administrator. His patent dishonesty in submitting a false certification is an offense that cannot simply be overlooked. We believe that imposing a fine as penalty is appropriate under the circumstances.

However, the Court finds OCA's recommendation to admonish Jucar unacceptable. It is too lenient a sanction for his misconduct. Jucar should have been more circumspect in his actions. As an officer of the court, his responsibility is to the court and not to any person constituting it. He is as liable as Judge Catral is, with regard to the submission of the false certification to the OCA. Both connived to mislead that office. Without Jucar's participation, the dishonest act would not have been possible. This being so, a fine similar to that imposed on Judge Catral would be more appropriate, rather than a mere admonition, in impressing upon Jucar the gravity of his deed.

WHEREFORE, Judge Segundo B. Catral, former presiding judge of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 8, Aparri, Cagayan is fined in the amount of P5,000.00, to be deducted from any retirement or other benefits which may be due him, for his failure to decide within the 90-day period mandated by law the following cases: Civil Case No. 08-290 and Civil Case No. 08-221, and for filing a false certificate with the Office of the Court Administrator. Avelino John A. Jucar, Jr., former Legal Researcher and OIC of the regional Trial Court, Branch 8, Aparri, Cagayan[1] is likewise fined in the amount of P5,000.00 for participating with Judge Catral in preparing and filing a false certificate with the Office of the Court Administrator.

SO ORDERED.

Romero, Bellosillo, Melo, Puno, Vitug, Kapunan, Mendoza, Panganiban, Purisima, Pardo, Buena, Gonzaga-Reyes, and Ynares-Santiago, JJ., concur.

Davide, Jr., C.J., on official leave.

[1] He is now the Clerk of Court of the MCTC, San Manuel-Aurora, Isabela.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.