RE: REPORT ON THE JUDICIAL AUDIT AND PHYSICAL INVENTORY OF PENDING CASES IN THE MTCC, BRANCH 1 AND THE RTC, BRANCH 57, BOTH IN LUCENA CITY

A.M. No. 96-7-257-RTC. December 02, 1999

Ponente: DE LEON, JR., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-96-7-257/

DE LEON, JR., J.:

On the basis of a physical inventory and audit conducted in May 1996 of the cases pending in Branch 57 of the Regional Trial Court of Lucena City, its Presiding Judge, Hon. Federico A. Tañada, was ordered, per our Resolution,[1]dated August 13, 1996, to decide the twenty-one (21) criminal cases[2] and six (6) civil cases[3] which remained pending and unresolved beyond the 90-day reglementary period. Judge Tañada was also directed to submit his docket inventories for the periods from January to June 1994, July to December 1994, January to June 1995, and July to December 1995. His Branch Clerk of Court, Atty. Luis N. Pedron, was likewise directed to submit the Monthly Report of Cases from January 1995 onwards.

On February 17, 1997, Judge Tañada, through Atty. Pedron, sent to this Court certified copies of decisions in thirteen (13) criminal cases. However, Judge Tañada neither justified his delay in the resolution of those cases nor explained his continued failure to decide the eight (8) other criminal cases and six (6) civil cases reported in the May 1996 audit. Thus, in the Resolution[4] of June 17, 1997, we required him to show cause why he should not be disciplinarily dealt with or held in contempt for such failure. As Atty. Pedron also continued to fail to submit the Monthly Report of Cases from January 1995 onwards, he, too, was ordered to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of court.

On June 10, 1998, the Office of the Court Administrator (OCA) reported that both Judge Tañada and Atty. Pedron remained defiant. We examined the records of the case and agreed with the OCA. Thus, in the Resolution[5] of July 28, 1998, the Court held both Judge Tañada and Atty. Pedron in contempt of court. They were fined P20,000.00 and P10,000.00, respectively, and the Court also froze the salaries of Judge Tañada pending compliance with its Resolutions of August 13, 1996 and June 17, 1997.

Meantime, Atty. Pedron compulsorily retired on June 21, 1998.

On December 4, 1998, the Court Management Office (CMO) received the Monthly Report of Cases for January 1995 to August 1998 prepared and signed by Atty. Pedron and certified correct by Judge Tañada. However, the reports lacked the required dates when the cases listed therein were submitted for decision.

On February 16, 1999, the Court, adopting the conclusion of the OCA that continued disobedience to the orders of the Court constitutes gross insubordination, suspended Judge Tañada and ordered a second audit[6] The team dispatched by the OCA to Lucena City conducted the second audit on July 28 and 29, 1999. While the results thereof included the finding that Judge Tañada had already decided nineteen (19) of the original twenty-one (21) criminal cases and four (4) of the original six (6) civil cases, the team discovered that there were additional twenty (20) criminal cases[7] and eleven (11) civil cases[8] that remained undecided beyond the 90-day reglementary

period. Consequently, the OCA recommended that Judge Tañada be penalized with another fine of P20,000.00.

Judge Tañada applied for disability retirement, effective June 15, 1999, because he was suffering from organic brain syndrome, hypertension with cerebral infraction and central retinal vein occlusion of the left eye. Taking this into consideration, the OCA recommended that his suspension be lifted and his salaries from August 13, 1996 to June 14, 1999 be released. However, the OCA also recommended that the amount of P40,000.00 be deducted from his disability retirement benefits to answer for the P20,000.00 fine earlier imposed on him in the Resolution dated July 28, 1998 and for the additional fine of same amount for his failure to decide the thirty-one (31) other cases reported in the second audit.

The team also found out that Atty. Pedron failed, before his compulsory retirement, to submit his reports on the ex parte reception of evidence in Civil Case Nos. 94-45 and 95-13 to Hon. Ismael B. Sanchez, Pairing Judge of Branch 57 of the Regional Trial Court of Lucena City. Thus, the OCA recommended a second fine of P5,000.00 to be deducted from his retirement benefits.

In its Memorandum dated August 19, 1999, the OCA likewise recommended that:

> "2. Mr. Manuel P. Marasigan, Officer-in-Charge of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 57, Lucena City, be DIRECTED to: (2-a) INFORM this Court through the Office of the Court Administrator, within five (5) days from notice, whether or not the decision in Criminal Case No. 91-143 was adopted and/or promulgated by Pairing Judge Ismael B. Sanchez; (2-b) RETRIEVE from Hon. Federico A. Tañada, within ten (10) days from notice, the records of Criminal Cases Nos. 90-004, 91-723 and 94-475 and Civil Cases Nos. 91-93 and 94-06, thereafter CAUSE, within thirty (30) days, the completion of the transcripts of stenographic notes thereof including the following thirty-one (31) cases (20 criminal cases and 11 civil cases) submitted for decision, to wit: Criminal Cases Nos. 3430-G, 3492-G, 3517-G, 3518-G, 3519-G, 3520-G, 3520-G, 88-396, 88-397, 90-378, 92-177, 92-233, 92-453, 92-799, 92-810, 93-735, 93-736, 93-865, 93-692, 94-022 and 94-808 and Civil Cases Nos. 90-045, 91-072, 92-114, 93-182, 93-229, 94-061, 95-056, 97-145, 97-169, 98-076 and 98-083, and/or ISSUE corresponding certifications as to the completeness of the transcripts, then SUBMIT the records of cases together with the complete transcript of stenographic notes and the aforementioned certifications to the acting judge for decision-writing; (2-c) APPRISE the acting/pairing judge of the failure of former Branch Clerk of Court Luis N. Pedron in Civil Cases Nos. 94-45 and 95-13 so that appropriate actions could be taken by him; and (2-d) SEND IMMEDIATELY to this Court a written report of compliance with the foregoing directives.
>
> "3. OIC Manuel P. Marasigan and Mr. Virgilio Rañeses, Clerk III, both of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 57, Lucena City, be DIRECTED to SUBMIT IMMEDIATELY the required semestral docket inventories of criminal cases for the years 1996 up to 1998,
>
> otherwise, their salaries will be withheld effective October 1999 and will be released only upon the certification by the Statistical Reports Division, CMO, OCA, that they have fully complied with this directive, with a warning that a repetition of the same infraction will be dealt with more drastically.
>
> "xxx xxx xxx
> "5. Hon. Ismael B. Sanchez, Pairing Judge of Branch 57, be DIRECTED to take cognizance of all the cases in Branch 57 and DECIDE the following cases with dispatch, to wit: Criminal Cases Nos. 3430-G, 3492-G, 3517-G, 3518-G, 3519-G, 3520-G, 88-396, 88-397, 90-378, 92-177, 92-233, 92-453, 92-799, 92-810, 98-735, 98-736, 93-865, 93-692, 94-022 and 94-808 and Civil Cases Nos. 90-045, 91-072, 92-114, 93-182, 93-229, 94-061, 95-056, 97-145, 97-169, 98-076 and 98-083."[9]

Among the essential duties of a judge is to decide cases. Undue delay in the disposition of cases amounts to a denial of justice which, in turn, brings the courts into disrepute and ultimately erodes the faith and confidence of the public in the judiciary.[10] Failure to decide a case within the reglementary period, without strong and

Bar review courses, mock exams and a study planner that keeps you on pace - batas.org

justifiable reason, constitutes gross inefficiency warranting the imposition of administrative sanction on the defaulting judge.[11]

WHEREFORE:

(1) for humanitarian reasons due to deteriorating health of Hon. Federico A. Tañada, Presiding Judge of Branch 57 of the Regional Trial Court of Lucena City, the Resolution of this Court dated February 16, 1999 suspending him without pay upon receipt thereof until he has fully complied with the Resolution dated August 13, 1996 is hereby LIFTED;

(2) the Fiscal Management Officer, Office of the Court Administrator (OCA), is DIRECTED to - (a) RELEASE the salaries of Judge Tañada that were withheld from August 13, 1996 to June 14, 1999; (b) DEDUCT a total of Forty Thousand Pesos (P40,000.00) from his disability retirement benefits to answer for the fine of Twenty Thousand Pesos (P20,000.00) imposed on him in our Resolution of July 28, 1998, and as additional fine of same amount for his failure to decide thirty-one (31) cases reported in the July 1999 audit;

(3) Mr. Manuel P. Marasigan, Officer-in-Charge of Branch 57 of the Regional Trial Court of Lucena City is hereby DIRECTED to: (a) INFORM this Court through the OCA within five (5) days from notice, whether or not the decision in Criminal Case No. 91-143 was adopted and/or promulgated by Pairing Judge Ismael B. Sanchez; (b) RETRIEVE from Judge Tañada, within ten (10) days from notice, the records of Criminal Cases Nos. 90-004, 91-723 and 94-475 and Civil Cases Nos. 91-93 and 94-06, thereafter CAUSE, within thirty (30) days, the completion of the transcripts of stenographic notes thereof including the thirty-one (31) cases submitted for decision, to wit: Criminal Cases Nos. C3430-G; 3492-G, 3517-G, 3518-G, 3519-G, 3520-G, 88-396, 88-397, 90-378, 92-177, 92-233, 92-453, 92-799, 92-810, 93-735, 93-736, 93-865, 93-692, 94-022, 94-475, 94-808 and Civil Cases Nos. 90-045, 91-072, 92-114, 93-182, 93-229, 94-061, 95-056, 97-145, 97-169, 98-076 and 98-083 and/or ISSUE corresponding certifications as to the completeness of the transcripts, then SUBMIT the records of cases together with the complete transcripts of stenographic notes and the aforementioned certifications to the acting judge for decision-writing; (c) APPRISE the acting/pairing judge of the failure of former Branch Clerk of Court Luis N. Pedron to submit his reports on the ex parte reception of evidence in Civil Cases Nos. 94-45 and 95-13 so that appropriate actions could be taken by him; and (d) SEND IMMEDIATELY to this Court a written report of compliance with the foregoing directives;

(4) OIC Manuel P. Marasigan and Mr. Virgilio Rañeses, Clerk III, both of Branch 57, Regional Trial Court, Lucena City are hereby DIRECTED to SUBMIT IMMEDIATELY the required semestral docket inventories of criminal cases for the years 1996 up to 1998, otherwise, their salaries will be withheld effective October 1999 and will be released only upon the certification by the Statistical Reports Division, Court Management Office, OCA, that they have fully complied with this directive, with a warning that a repetition of the same infraction will be dealt with more drastically; and

(5) The Fiscal Management Officer, OCA, is DIRECTED to DEDUCT from the retirement benefits of Atty. Luis N. Pedron, retired Branch Clerk of Court of Branch 57 of the Regional Trial Court of Lucena City a total of Fifteen Thousand Pesos (P15,000.00) to answer for the fine of Ten Thousand Pesos (P10,000.00) imposed on him in our Resolution of July 28, 1998 and for an additional fine of Five Thousand Pesos (P5,000.00) for his failure to submit, before he retired, the reports on the ex parte reception of evidence in Civil Cases Nos. 94-45 and 95-13.

SO ORDERED.

Davide, Jr., C.J., Bellosillo, Melo, Puno, Vitug, Kapunan, Mendoza, Panganiban, Quisumbing, Purisima, Pardo, Buena, Gonzaga-Reyes, and Ynares-Santiago, JJ., concur.

Study hands-free: podcast-style lessons with read-along highlighting - batas.org

[1] Rollo, pp. 7-9.

[2] Criminal Case Nos. 87-660, 88-233, 88-234, 88-325, 89-628, 90-72, 91-143, 91-193, 91-158, 91-353, 91-691, 91-723, 91-774, 92-103, 92-370, 92-759, 92-875, 93-179, 93-303, 93-42, and 93-468.

[3] Civil Case Nos. 86-55, 88-1, 90-37, 91-93, 92-74, and 94-6.

[4] Rollo, p. 154.

[5] Rollo, p. 170.

[6] Resolution dated February 16, 1999, Rollo, p. 181.

[7] Criminal Case Nos. 3430-G; 3492-G; 3517-G; 3518-G; 3519-G; 3520-G; 88-396; 88-397; 90-004; 90-378; 92-177; 92-233; 92-453; 92-799; 92-810; 93-735; 93-736; 93-865; 93-692; 94-022; 94-808. The dates when Criminal Case Nos. 90-004 and 94-475 were submitted for decision could not be determined because the records thereof were in the possession of Judge Tañada.

[8] Civil Case Nos. 90-045; 91-072; 92-114; 93-182; 93-229; 94-061; 95-056; 97-145; 97-169; 98-076; 98-083.

[9] OCA Memorandum dated August 19, 1999, Rollo, pp. 94-95.

[10] Re: Report on the Judicial Audit conducted in the MCTC, Dingle-Duenas, Iloilo, 280 SCRA 637, 641 (1997).

[11] Re: Report on Audit and Physical Inventory of the Records of Cases in the Municipal Trial Court of Penaranda, Nueva Ecija, A.M. No. 96-6955-MTC and A.M. No. P-96-1173, July 28, 1997; Re: Report on the Judicial Audit conducted in the RTC-Branches 61, 134 and 174, Makati, Metro Manila, 248 SCRA 5, 22 (1995); Ceilino v. Abrogar, 245 SCRA 304, 310 (1995).

Turn this case into an audio lecture - facts, issues, ruling and doctrine - at batas.org

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at https://batas.org

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.