

PRIMITIVO SANTOS, ET AL., PETITIONERS, VS. MUNICIPAL JUDGE ARTURO E. CRUZ, RESPONDENT.

A.M. No. 491-MJ. October 30, 1980

Ponente: FERNANDEZ, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-491/>

FERNANDEZ, J.:

In a sworn-letter complaint dated November 16, 1972, addressed to the then Secretary of Justice, the complainant, Primitivo Santos charged Municipal Judge Arturo E. Cruz of the Municipal Court of Bulacan with partiality and conduct unbecoming a judge for having intervened with and/or prevented the complainant in filing cases in the Municipal Court of Bulacan.[1]

The then Secretary of Justice referred to Municipal Judge Arturo E. Cruz the complaint of Primitivo Santos for immediate comment.[2]

In his comment dated November 22, 1972, the respondent Judge denied the charges.[3]

The complaint was referred to the Executive District Judge of the Court of First Instance of Bulacan at Malolos for investigation, report and recommendation.[4]

In her Report dated August 23, 1973, District Judge Florelina Castro-Bartolome made the following findings:

"The complaint of Primitivo Santos, therefore, was the only one that stood for hearing.

"On the first paragraph of the letter-complaint, it was adduced by the testimony of Roberto G. Garcia, a police corporal of Bulacan, Bulacan, who brought the police blotter subpoenaed for containing the following entry:

'June 1, 1972. - Sa ganap na ika-10 ng umaga ay nagsadya sa himpilan si Gng. Leonila Rodriguez-Santos at ipinagsumbong si Teresita Cruz sa ginawang pagmumura at pagsasara ng daanan sa kusina ng kanilang tindahan. Nagbigay ng kusang loob na pahayag si Leonila Santos kay.... Walang saksi naiharap si Gng. Santos kaya pinagpayuhang magbalik at kailangan din ang pahayag ng saksi.' (two charges: slander & closing of the 'daan').

that as a standard operating procedure, he gave the statement to his Chief and no other entry shows that the complainant returned to the Police Headquarters. Judge Cruz is here wrongfully blamed for the failure of its filing, as the portion where a complainant's jurat should be, was not signed by Judge Cruz, but complainant Primitivo Santos could not state that his wife ever appeared before Judge Cruz to execute the oath nor was any evidence presented that the same was ever forwarded to Judge Cruz. The latter seems to be blamed for a failure which was not of his own making and no evidence appears that Judge Cruz 'had moved heaven and earth to prevent the filing of aforesaid offenses in the Municipal Court of Bulacan.'

"On the sixth paragraph of the letter-complaint, the only testimony of Primitivo Santos on which his suspicion that Judge Cruz was interfering with a criminal case filed by Teresita Cruz was he saw Judge Cruz enter the room where an investigation was being conducted in a case between him and Teresita Cruz and the latter was saying: 'Hindi totoo 'yan, nandyan sa labas si Judge Cruz,' and when he looked, Judge Cruz was there. Yet, the case was

admittedly dismissed upon the complainant's instance. Primitivo Santos believed that the case being investigated by the Fiscal's Office would also fall within the jurisdiction of the Municipal Court of Bulacan where Judge Cruz presides and so he mistakenly believed that Judge Cruz's presence amounted to following up the case.

"The last paragraph containing the last ground for the complaint is trivial and does not need further comment. The testimony of Primitivo Santos has failed to confirm that Judge Cruz ever said the words complained of: 'BAKIT, UTUSAN MO BA KAMI RITO SA JUZGADO!' but admitted that he signed the pleading to undertake service on his lawyer.

"The letter-complaint asks that Judge Cruz voluntarily inhibit himself from trying Civil Case No. 250 and allow another judge to hear it, and Judge Cruz suspended the proceedings." [5]

A careful review of the records of this case shows that the investigating Judge correctly found that the complainant was not able to prove the charges of partiality and conduct unbecoming a judge.

However, the transcript of the stenographic notes shows that during the formal investigation conducted on February 9, 1973 [6] the respondent judge, while cross-examining the witness, Alberto T. Cano, lost his temper and said: "You can go to hell I don't care or where do you want to go Mr. Cano". This language of the Judge is unbecoming of a municipal judge and deserves administrative penalty.

WHEREFORE, the respondent Judge is hereby EXONERATED of the charge of partiality but is found guilty of conduct unbecoming a judge by uttering intemperate language during the trial of the case. The respondent judge is hereby imposed a penalty of a fine equivalent to one (1) month salary and warned that a repetition of the same or similar offense shall be dealt with more severely.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, Acting C.J., Makasiar, Guerrero, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, pp. 34-35.

[2] Rollo, p. 36.

[3] Rollo, pp. 41-42.

[4] Rollo, p. 25.

[5] Rollo, pp. 9-10.

[6] Rollo, p. 234.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.