

LEONARDO OLAIVAR, COMPLAINANT, VS. MJ ADELAIDO O. SINGCO, OF DUERO, BOHOL, RESPONDENT.

A.M. No. 45-MJ. March 29, 1974

Ponente: CASTRO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-45/>

CASTRO, J.:

This is an administrative complaint for oppression and gross abuse of discretion filed by Leonardo Olaivar against Municipal Judge Adelaido O. Singco of Duero, Bohol.

Leonardo Olaivar was accused by his fellow teachers of the offense of challenging to duel in two criminal cases in the municipal court of Guindulman, Bohol. The respondent judge was designated to try the cases in view of the inhibition of the municipal judge of Guindulman.

At the scheduled hearing of the two criminal cases on May 16, 1972, despite previous notice to the parties and their counsel, the counsel for the accused Leonardo Olaivar failed to appear without having previously notified the court of his non-appearance and without having asked for postponement of the trial. The private prosecutor then moved for reimbursement of the expenses incurred by the complainants in coming to court. It is admitted by the respondent judge, in, his answer to the complaint, that he granted and assessed expenses in the amount of P40.00, which he ordered Olaivar to pay, under threat of contempt should he fail to do so.

The respondent judge did not deny in his answer to the complaint, and therefore is deemed to have admitted the allegation, that during the aforementioned hearing, Olaivar asked for postponement of the trial, or at least that the court wait a few minutes for his counsel to arrive, as he might have been unavoidably delayed, informing the court at the same time that he (Olaivar) was not a lawyer and would therefore be helpless without the assistance of counsel. The respondent judge denied the request and ordered the accused non-lawyer Olaivar to cross-examine the witnesses for the State.

The acts complained of, the commission of which is admitted by the respondent judge, are highly oppressive and absolutely without basis in law.

As pointed out by the Secretary of Justice, the expenses which Olaivar was ordered to reimburse could have been taxed as costs after judgment was rendered. The cases were then still being tried, and at that time the cause for the absence of the counsel for the accused was unknown, and it could not then be determined whether such absence was excusable or not. In compelling the accused - who had all along admitted his lack of skill to handle his own trial - to cross-examine the prosecution witnesses, the respondent judge transformed his court from a court of justice to a despot's forum. His avowed motive - to dispose of the cases as early as possible — is no license for abuse of judicial power and discretion. His acts deserve severe condemnation.

The Executive Judge of the Court of First Instance of Bohol, to whom the case was referred for investigation, recommended the dismissal of the complaint for lack of merit and because of Olaivar's desistance; however, the Secretary of Justice recommended to the President, before the effectivity of the 1973 Constitution, that the

respondent be considered resigned from the service. Considering that the respondent could have been merely carried away by overzealousness in his desire to terminate the criminal cases as soon as possible, the Court is of the view that the penalty of severe censure is commensurate to his infractions.

ACCORDINGLY, the respondent Judge Adelaido O. Singco is hereby severely censured, and warned that a repetition of the same oppressive acts or commission of similar acts will subject him to heavier disciplinary sanctions. Let this decision be spread upon his record.

Makalintal, C. J., Teehankee, Makasiar, Esguerra, and Muñoz Palma, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.