

RAFAEL SALCEDO, COMPLAINANT, VS. MUNICIPAL JUDGE DAVID ALFECHE, JR. OF SAN JOAQUIN, ILOILO, RESPONDENT.*A.M. No. 267-MJ. June 30, 1975*

Ponente: FERNANDO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-267/>**FERNANDO, J.:**

On the face of an administrative complaint filed by one Rafael Salcedo, it would appear that if substantiated there would be reason to hold respondent Municipal Judge David Alfeche, Jr. of San Joaquin, Iloilo, liable for serious misconduct. He was accused of having "accosted and threatened to shoot" complainant in the morning of February 21, 1971. The second misdeed attributed to him was malicious delay in the administration of justice due to his absence from his official position on March 5, 1971, as a consequence of which a cash bond posted by complainant, then accused in a pending criminal case, could not be accepted, with the result that he was detained overnight. In the answer of respondent, there was a denial of the facts as alleged in the complaint his assertion being that it was he who was assaulted by complainant with a knife when he tried to arrest him while in the actual commission of the crime, a complaint for direct assault having been filed thereafter against complainant. And there was no malicious delay on his part, as his absence on March 5, 1971 was due to his having taken a vacation leave and he did not have to report until March 6, 1971.

The matter was referred to the then Executive Judge Emigdio V. Nietes, who in his report and recommendation stated the following: "As has been explained by the respondent, all he did in connection with the charge on February 21, 1971 was apprehend the complainant because he chased one of those who stabbed Jose Talanquines. If a public officer like the municipal judge who happens to be a resident of barrio Crossing Dapuyan sees some turmoil in said barrio [and] had to run the risk of being attacked by malefactors because he had to maintain peace in his barrio, instead of condemnation, he deserves to be commended for such demonstration of public spiritedness ...; it is laudable, if he had thus to cut his sleep in order to intervene so that there might be peace on the eve of the barrio fiesta. Was there malicious delay, if the complainant surrendered, presented himself instead of being apprehended if on that date he could not file his bond due to the absence of the municipal judge who had noted in his daily time record his absence from his station? Could the respondent be blamed for non-release of the complainant who was then accused in Criminal Case No. 733 since respondent was not in his office at the time and he had officially recorded his absence? The respondent did not know. He had no knowledge if the complainant had already been arrested or he voluntarily surrendered. In the absence of the municipal judge, it is a provision in the Rules of Court that the accused may put up his bond before the municipal mayor who is authorized to order his release in case of such bond, reporting to the municipal judge on his return to the office the release made. It is clear though that immediately after he took cognizance of the fact that the accused was apprehended and ready to put up his bail, he immediately ordered his release on March 6 when he reported to the office the next day. In other words, after he had knowledge of the apprehension of the accused or his being detained, on the first opportunity he immediately released him. Can, by this fact or the act of the respondent in releasing immediately the accused upon taking jurisdiction over the case and his person when he was brought on March 6 with his bond, he be considered delaying the administration of justice? The answer is No." [1] After

adding that there was no motive why respondent Judge could be accused of having wantonly prejudiced the right of the complainant, he recommended the dismissal of the charges. With such recommendation, the Judicial Consultant is in agreement, the records of the case having been evaluated by him. As he pointed out: "Finding that the evidence really failed to substantiate the charges, I respectfully endorse the above-stated recommendation for respondent's exoneration, there being no basis to disturb the same."

WHEREFORE, the administrative complaint for serious misconduct and malicious delay in the administration of justice is dismissed and respondent Judge David Alfeche, Jr. is hereby exonerated.

Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Concepcion Jr., JJ., concur.

[1] Report and Recommendation, 6-7.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.