

RE: DROPPING FROM THE ROLLS OF LAYDABELL G. PIJANA, SHERIFF IV, REGIONAL TRIAL COURT OF TAGAYTAY CITY, CAVITE, BRANCH 18

A.M. No. 18-07-153-RTC. January 07, 2019

Ponente: PERLAS-BERNABE, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-18-07-153/>

PERLAS-BERNABE, J.:

This administrative matter involves Ms. Laydabell G. Pijana (Pijana), Sheriff IV in the Regional Trial Court of Tagaytay City, Cavite, Branch 18 (RTC).

The Facts

The records of the Employees' Leave Division, Office of Administrative Services, Office of the Court Administrator (OCA) show that Pijana has neither submitted her Daily Time Record (DTR) since March 1, 2018 up to the present nor filed any application for leave. Thus, she has been on absence without official leave (AWOL) since March 1, 2018.[1]

To date, Pijana has still not reported for work. Her salaries and benefits were withheld pursuant to a Memorandum[2] dated May 2, 2016.

The OCA informed the Court of its findings based on the records of its different offices: (a) Pijana is still in the plantilla of court personnel, and thus considered to be still in active service; (b) she is no longer in the payroll; (c) she has no application for retirement; and (d) she is not an accountable officer.[3] Notably, nine (9) administrative cases are pending against her based on the records of the Docket and Clearance Division, Legal Office, OCA.[4]

In its report and recommendation[5] dated July 19, 2018, the OCA recommended that: (a) Pijana be dropped from the rolls effective March 1, 2018[6] for having been absent without official leave for more than thirty (30) working days; (b) her position be declared vacant; and (c) she be informed about her separation from the service or dropping from the rolls at her last known address on record at 109 Lucsuhin, Silang, Cavite appearing in her 201 File. The OCA added, however, that Pijana is still qualified to receive the benefits she may be entitled to under existing laws and may still be reemployed in the government, without prejudice to the outcome of the nine (9) pending administrative cases against her.[7]

The Court's Ruling

The Court adopts the findings and recommendations of the OCA. Section 107, Rule 20 of the 2017 Rules on Administrative Cases in the Civil Service (2017 RACCS)[8] authorizes and provides the procedure for the dropping from the rolls of employees who are absent without approved leave for an extended period of time.[9] Pertinent portions of this provision read:

Section 107. Grounds and Procedure for Dropping from the Rolls. - Officers and employees who are absent without approved leave, x x x may be dropped from the rolls within thirty (30) days from the time a ground

therefor arises subject to the following procedures:

a. Absence Without Approved Leave

1. An official or employee who is continuously absent without official leave (AWOL) for at least thirty (30) working days may be dropped from the rolls without prior notice which shall take effect immediately.

He/she shall, however, have the right to appeal his/her separation within fifteen (15) days from receipt of the notice of separation which must be sent to his/her last known address.

x x x x

This provision is in consonance with Section 63, Rule XVI of the Omnibus Rules on Leave, as amended by Memorandum Circular No. 13, s. 2007,[10] which states:

Sec. 63. Effect of absences without approved leave. - An official or an employee who is continuously absent without approved leave for at least thirty (30) working days shall be considered on absence without official leave (AWOL) and shall be separated from the service or dropped from the rolls without prior notice. x x x.
(Emphasis supplied)

Based on these provisions, Pijana should be separated from the service or dropped from the rolls in view of her continued absence since March 1, 2018.

Indeed, prolonged unauthorized absence causes inefficiency in the public service.[11] A court employee's continued absence without leave disrupts the normal functions of the court.[12] It contravenes the duty of a public servant to serve with the utmost degree of responsibility, integrity, loyalty, and efficiency. The Court stresses that a court personnel's conduct is laden with the heavy burden of responsibility to uphold public accountability and maintain people's faith in the judiciary.[13]

By failing to report for work without filing any leave application since March 1, 2018, Pijana grossly disregarded and neglected the duties of her office. Undeniably, she failed to adhere to the high standards of public accountability imposed on all those in the government service.[14]

In view of the foregoing, the Court is constrained to drop Pijana from the rolls. At this point, the Court deems it worthy to stress that the instant case is non-disciplinary in nature. Thus, Pijana's separation from the service shall result neither in the forfeiture of any benefits which have accrued in her favor, nor in her disqualification in the government service.[15] This is, however, without prejudice to the outcome of the pending administrative cases against her.[16]

WHEREFORE, Laydabell G. Pijana, Sheriff IV, Regional Trial Court of Tagaytay City, Cavite, Branch 18, is hereby DROPPED from the rolls effective March 1, 2018 and her position is declared VACANT. She is, however, still qualified to receive the benefits she may be entitled to under existing laws and may still be reemployed in the government, without prejudice to the outcome of the administrative cases pending against her.

Let a copy of this resolution be served upon her at the address appearing in her 201 file pursuant to Section 107 (a) (1), Rule 20 of the 2017 Rules on Administrative Cases in the Civil Service.

SO ORDERED.

Carpio,[*] Acting Chief Justice, (Chairperson), Caguioa, J. Reyes, Jr., and Hernando,[**] JJ., concur.

[*] Designated Acting Chief Justice per Special Order No. 2631 dated December 28, 2018.

[**] Designated Additional Member per Special Order Nos. 2629 and 2630 dated December 18, 2018.

[1] Rollo, p. 1.

[2] Id. at 15-16. Signed by OCA Chief of Office, Office of Administrative Services Caridad A. Pabello and approved by Court Administrator Jose Midas P. Marquez.

[3] See id. at 2 and 17.

[4] See id. at 2. See also Clearance Certificate showing the pending cases as follows: OCA IPI Nos. 17-4763-P; 17-4746-P; 17-4684-P; 17-4683-P; 17-4682; 15-4378-P; A.M. No. 18-01-11-RTC UDK MISC.-M20170306-01; and UDK MISC.-M20171211-02; id. at 18-19.

[5] See Administrative Matter for Agenda signed by Court Administrator Jose Midas P. Marquez, Deputy Court Administrator Raul Baustista Villanueva and OCA Chief of Office, Office of Administrative Services Caridad A. Pabello; id. at 1-3.

[6] Erroneously dated as March 1, 2018; id. at 3.

[7] Id.

[8] The 2017 RACCS took effect on August 17, 2017.

[9] See Re: Arno D. Del Rosario, A.M. No. 17-12-135-MeTC, April 16, 2018.

[10] Amendment to Section 63, Rule XVI of the Omnibus Rules on Leave, Civil Service Commission (CSC) Memorandum Circular Nos. 41 and 14, Series of 1998 and 1999, respectively; approved on July 25, 2007.

[11] Re: Dropping from the Rolls of Rowie A. Quimno, A.M. No. 17-03-33-MCTC, April 17, 2017, 822 SCRA 476, 479.

[12] Re: AWOL of Ms. Fernandita B. Borja, 549 Phil. 533, 536 (2007).

[13] See id; citing Re: Absence Without Official Leave of Mr. Basri A. Abbas, 520 Phil. 558, 561 (2006).

[14] Re: Dropping from the Rolls of Ms. Marissa M. Nudo, A.M. No. 17-08-191-RTC, February 7, 2018.

[15] Section 110, 2017 RRACCS states thus:

Section 110. Dropping from the Rolls; Non-disciplinary in Nature. -This mode of separation from the service for unauthorized absences or unsatisfactory or poor performance or physical or mental disorder is non-disciplinary in nature and shall not result in the forfeiture of any benefit on the part of the official or employee or in disqualification from reemployment in the government. (See also Re: Arno D. Del Rosario, supra note 10)

[16] Re: Noel C. Lindo, A.M. No. 18-07-131-RTC, September 3, 2018.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.