

ESTELITA FORMOSO, PETITIONER, VS. JUDGE FRANCISCO ANTE, MUNICIPAL JUDGE, VIGAN, ILOCOS SUR, RESPONDENT.

A.M. No. 1741-MJ. February 28, 1978

Ponente: CONCEPCION JR., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-m-no-1741/>

CONCEPCION JR., J.:

Administrative action against the respondent, Hon. Francisco Ante, the Judge of the Municipal Court of Vigan, Ilocos Sur, for having knowingly consented to the use and acceptance of falsified documents as bail bonds and/or knowingly and actively participating in the falsification of said documents in at least five (5) criminal cases.

Specifically, it is alleged that in Criminal Case No. 3824 of the Municipal Court of Vigan, Ilocos Sur, entitled: "People of the Philippines vs. Romeo Fabie" (now docketed as Criminal Case No. 260 in the CFI of Ilocos Sur), the respondent Judge Francisco Ante accepted and qualified one Jose Solla as bondsman for the accused, notwithstanding the fact that he does not own any property since the parcel of land supposedly declared in his name under Tax Declaration No. 10432-C and assessed at P18,240.00 is declared, upon verification with the Office of the Provincial Assessor of Ilocos Sur, in the name of Juan Sonido and valued at P220.00 only, instead of P18,240.00.[1]

2. In Criminal Case No. 1261 of the Municipal Court of Vigan (Criminal Case No. 332 of the CFI of Ilocos Sur), entitled: "People of the Philippines vs. George Quarte", the respondent judge also accepted, qualified and approved, as bondsmen, Norberto Somera with property covered by Tax Declaration No. 5125-C assessed at P21,200.00; Leon Bautista with Tax Declaration No. 7183-C assessed at P11,550.00; and Mariano Ginto with Tax Declaration No. 3162-C assessed at P21,250.00.[2] Upon verification, however, Tax Declaration No. 5125-C was found to be in the name of Trinidad Lanuza and the property is valued at only P40.00; Tax Declaration No. 7183-C is in the name of Corazon Canicom and not in the name of Leon Bautista and the value is P150.00 and not P11,550.00; and Tax Declaration No. 3162-C is in the name of Francisco Agader and the property is assessed at P280.00, and not P21,250.00.[3]

3. In Criminal Case No. 3743 of the Municipal Court of Vigan (Criminal Case No. 265 of the CFI of Ilocos Sur), entitled: "People of the Philippines vs. Fely Bautista", the respondent judge likewise accepted, qualified and approved, as bondsmen for the accused therein, Susante Atud with property covered by Tax Declaration No. 4539-C assessed at P8,200.00; Desiderio Pascua with Tax Declaration No. 10012-C assessed at P9,600.00, and Mariano Agtutubo with Tax Declaration No. 9854-C assessed at P7,200.00.[4]

Upon verification, however, Tax Declaration No. 4539-C was established to be in the name of Ciriaco Hermosura and the assessed value is P200.00; Tax Declaration No. 10012-C is in the name of Pedro Quilala and not Desiderio Pascua and the assessed value is only P40.00; and that Tax Declaration No. 9854-C is in the name of Gervacio Villahermosa and the assessed value is only P240.00.[5]

4. In Criminal Case No. 3897 of the Municipal Court of Vigan (Criminal Case No. 285 of the CFI of Ilocos Sur), entitled: "People of the Philippines vs. Cresencio Quinagon", the respondent judge also accepted, qualified and

approved, as bondsmen, Roman Unana with property covered by Tax Declaration No. 6972-C assessed at P5,040.00; Sixto Taasin with Tax Declaration No. 3468-C assessed at P5,180.00; and Juan Unana with Tax Declaration No. 6054-C assessed at P4,790.00,[6] although, upon verification, it turned out that Tax Declaration No. 6972-C is in the name of Luisa Ulibas and not Roman Unana, and the assessed value is only P90.00; Tax Declaration No. 3468-C is in the name of the Heirs of Lino Salvador and the assessed value is P130.00; and Tax Declaration No. 6054-C is in the names of Juana Luezon and Arellano and the assessed value is only P320.00.[7]

5. Finally, in the same Criminal Case No. 3897 of the Municipal Court of Vigan, the respondent judge accepted, qualified and approved as bondsmen for the accused Gabriel Singzon, Mariano Anis with Tax Declaration No. 2930-C assessed at P2,600.00; Sergio Bellon with Tax Declaration No. 8688-C assessed at P8,690.00; and Antonio Garcia with Tax Declaration No. 11365-C assessed at P18,070.00,[8] but, upon verification, it was established that Tax Declaration No. 2930-C is in the name of Jacinto Lolenia and the assessed value of the property is P90.00; Tax Declaration No. 8688-C is in the name of Bonifacio Vallabo and the property is assessed at only P800.00; and Tax Declaration No. 11365-C is in the name of Fructuoso Galicia and the property is assessed at P18.00.[9]

It is contended that the acts of respondent judge Francisco Ante in approving the bail bonds in the five (5) afore-mentioned cases is in gross and wanton violation of Department of Justice Circular No. 44 dated 30 July 1958 and Circular No. 2 dated 23 January 1964, for which similar acts he was previously reprimanded, in Adm. Matter No. 23-MJ, entitled: "Lorenzo Formoso, Jr. vs. Francisco Ante".

The respondent judge, by way of Comment, stated that "all the bondsmen in said bail bonds were identified by prominent persons, and if there were fake bondsmen as alleged, then a judge is not supposed to know all persons coming to him. And further, the tax receipts are all genuine document. So I repeat, the complainant is motivated to file this complaint to harass and avenge the decision I rendered against her." [10]

Indeed, the respondent judge may not be held at fault for an alleged failure to discharge his duty in ascertaining the sufficiency of the sureties because before he accepted and approved the bail bonds in question, the sureties executed an affidavit before him subscribing that they each possess the qualifications of a surety and are worth the amount specified in the bail bond over and above their just debts, obligations and property exempt from execution.[11] Plainly, there was compliance with the provisions of Section 10, Rule 114 of the Revised Rules of Court.

At any rate, the bail bonds in question are but some of the several bail bonds submitted to the respondent judge in 1972,[12] which the NBI referred to as the "Bail Bond Anomalies,"[13] and for which the respondent judge had been sufficiently punished.[14] Added to this is the fact that respondent, who will be 65 years on his next birthday, has a pending application for retirement.[15]

WHEREFORE, the complaint against respondent Judge Francisco Ante of the Municipal Court of Vigan, Ilocos Sur, should be, as it is hereby, DISMISSED.

SO ORDERED.

Fernando, (Chairman), Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Santos, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, pp. 7, 10.

[2] Id., pp. 11, 12, 13.

[3] Id., pp. 14, 15, 16.

[4] Id., pp. 17, 18, 19.

[5] Id., pp. 20, 21, 22.

[6] Id., p. 23.

[7] Id., pp. 27, 28, 29.

[8] Id., p. 30.

[9] Id., pp. 34, 35, 36.

[10] Id., p. 39.

[11] Id., pp. 26, 33.

[12] See Adm. Matter Nos. 23-MJ and 542-MJ against the same respondent judge by the Formosos.

[13] See p. 3, Rollo of Adm. Matter No. 23-MJ.

[14] Id., p. 401.

[15] See p. 41, Rollo of Adm. Matter No. 1741-MJ.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.