

HECTOR FULE, ET AL., PETITIONERS, VS. SOLON F. CORDERO, RESPONDENT.*A.C. No. 284. July 29, 1977*

Ponente: SANTOS, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-c-no-284/>**SANTOS, J.:**

Respondent, Solon F. Cordero, a member of the Philippine Bar and Auxiliary Justice of the Peace of San Pablo City stands charged -- by his first cousins, namely, Attorneys Hector C. Fule and Conrado C. Fule (brothers), the latter being the Justice of the Peace of Alaminos, Laguna -- with violation of his attorney's oath, allegedly by blackmailing the Fule brothers.

The alleged blackmail consists in respondent's threat to file criminal and administrative charges against Conrado C. Fule for alleged falsification of public documents, namely, Daily Time Records, or Municipal Form No. 45 (A), if Hector C. Fule would not desist from pursuing his application for the position of solicitor in the Solicitor General's Office, Department of Justice, because respondent was himself interested in said position.[1] Despite the threats made by respondent, however, petitioner, Hector Fule, pursued his application for the position of solicitor in the Solicitor General's Office, and was, in fact, appointed solicitor.[2] Whereupon, respondent made good his threat and filed five (5) separate administrative charges with the Department of Justice and a criminal complaint on five (5) counts with the Office of the Provincial Fiscal of Laguna, against Conrado C. Fule, i.e., Estafa Through Falsification of Public Documents, allegedly for having falsified daily time records or Municipal Form No. 45 (A), a form prescribed by the General Auditing Office for certification of services rendered by members of the judiciary, for the purpose of entitling them to the salaries claimed for a particular period of time.[3] A series of charges and countercharges were thereafter filed by respondent against petitioners and vice-versa, ranging from criminal cases to administrative charges, coupled with an exchange of unsavory vilifications.

Due to the close inter-relationship of the events that had made up the case at bar and the aforementioned charges, the present administrative case filed by the Fule brothers against respondent had to await the developments and the outcome of the charges filed by him against Conrado C. Fule.[4] In due time, the criminal complaint filed against Conrado C. Fule by Solon F. Cordero was dismissed for insufficiency of evidence[5] by the Investigating Fiscal, after preliminary investigation, in a resolution dated October 11, 1960, which is now under appeal to the Secretary of Justice.[6] The administrative charges resulted in admonition to Municipal Judge Conrado C. Fule.[7]

(After the issues in this case were joined, the same was referred to the Solicitor General for investigation, report and recommendation in a resolution of this Court dated June 9, 1961.[8] In pursuance thereof, the Solicitor General's Office -- after suspension of this proceeding as adverted to above -- submitted its Report and Recommendation dated June 14, 1977, consisting of two (2) pages. The Solicitor General recommends that, in view of the death of respondent, Solon F. Cordero, on January 9, 1977 (as reported by Cordero's counsel) the instant petition should be dismissed as moot and academic.[9]

WHEREFORE, let this administrative case be, as it is hereby, DISMISSED. The Solicitor General is directed to forward to this Court a copy of the death certificate of Atty. Solon E. Cordero. Let a copy of this resolution be entered in the Bar record of deceased respondent.)

Fernando, (Chairman), Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Concepcion, Jr., JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, pp. 1-3.

[2] Ibid, pp. 36, 69, 78 & 129.

[3] Ibid, pp. 4-33.

[4] Rollo, pp. 44, 71 & 82.

[5] Rollo, pp. 56-69.

[6] Annex "4", Rollo, pp. 120-123.

[7] Rollo, p. 129.

[8] Rollo, p. 127

[9] Rollo, pp. 129-130

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.