

ANUNCIO G. VALLE, COMPLAINANT, VS. HON. JOSE C. CAMPOS, JR., RESPONDENT.

A.C. No. 282-J. August 15, 1974

Ponente: MAKASIAR, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-c-no-282/>

MAKASIAR, J.:

In a complaint filed on March 28, 1973, Anuhcio G. Valle charges respondent Judge Jose C. Campos, Jr. with undue partiality and with violation of the Anti-Graft and Corrupt Practice Act by reason of his actuaciones in Civil Case No. Q-16686 of the Court of First Instance of Quezon City, entitled "Rosario Juico and Anuncio Valle vs. World War II Veterans Enterprises, Inc., et al." After respondent filed on April 24, 1973 his comment on the complaint, the same was dismissed for lack of merit in Our resolution dated May 3, 1973.

On June 26, 1973, complainant filed a motion for reconsideration of said resolution followed by a supplemental motion dated July 2, 1973; while respondent filed on July 26, 1973 his comment thereon, to which complainant filed a reply on September 29, 1973.

Thereafter, the case was referred to Justice Godofredo Ramos of the Court of Appeals for investigation, report and recommendation.

On July 22, 1974, complainant, now a resident of Glendale, California, U.S.A., filed his motion to dismiss or withdrawal of his complaint, duly authenticated by Vice Consul Francisco F. Santos, assigned in Los Angeles, California. The said motion to dismiss or withdrawal of complaint states among others:

"1. That at present he is residing in Glendale, California, United States of America having accompanied his wife who is undergoing further medical treatment in the United States as per advice of their family physician, Dr. Gonzalo Austria of the Manila Doctors Hospital, Manila, Philippines;

"2. That at the outset, he was convinced to file this administrative case due to certain parties interested in purchasing the Teton clothing materials subject to the case, from World War II Veterans Enterprises, Inc. (Warvets) or the Reparations Commission (Repacom);

"3. That as the case developed, he signed pleadings and supporting affidavits containing statements of facts, which at that time he believed to be true;

"4. That, however, upon personal and thorough investigation and verification of the facts alleged in the various Comments and Memoranda of the respondent Judge, as well as the supporting documents attached thereto, the undersigned has found them to be true, rendering unfounded the allegation that the respondent Judge committed acts of irregularities and favoritism;

"5. That moreover, after it has been verified, and the Repacom has confirmed, that all the Teton textiles were ordered released by the respondent Judge upon full payment or deposit of the sums due to Repacom and Warvets, in cashier's checks, corresponding to the number of bales released, confirming the basic comments of the respondent Judge dated December 26, 1973 (pp. 6-17) and his other comments and memorandum, it is now clear and apparent that the fear assumed by complainant that the subject teton textiles would be released

without the payment of the corresponding sums to Repacom or Warvcts is unwarranted, nor was there any undue haste in the release of the textile nor any irregularity in the substitution of checks for its release;

"xxx xxx xxx

"8. That finally, after reviewing the surrounding facts and circumstances of this administrative case, the undersigned complainant is inclined to believe that he was misled in the filing of the complaint through misapprehension of the facts and circumstances and, therefore, is no longer interested in further prosecuting the case and, consequently, hereby moves for the dismissal of this administrative case so as to relieve the respondent Judge from further injury that he might suffer.

"WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that the instant Administrative case be dismissed and/or withdrawn with prejudice."

Accordingly, Justice Ramos recommended the dismissal of this case with prejudice, finding the grounds for the withdrawal of the complaint to be tenable and considering that the charges could not be substantiated as the complainant desists from prosecuting the same.

WHEREFORE, THIS ADMINISTRATIVE CASE AGAINST RESPONDENT JUDGE JOSE C. CAMPOS, JR., IS HEREBY DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.

Makalintal, C.J., Ruiz Castro, Teehankee, Esguerra, and Munoz Palma JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.