

NATALIO F. BELTRAN, PETITIONER, VS. CORNELIO R. MAGSARILI, RESPONDENT.*A.C. No. 233. October 28, 1977*

Ponente: SANTOS, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-c-no-233/>**SANTOS, J.:**

This is a petition for disbarment filed by petitioner, Natalio F. Beltran, a member of the Philippine Bar, against respondent, Cornelio Magsarili, also a member of the Philippine Bar, on the ground of gross misconduct unbecoming of a lawyer. Petitioner alleged that respondent ". . . deliberately and flagrantly violated, for PURPOSES OF FRAUD and DECEIT, his oath of office as attorney and sub-sections (c) and (g), Section 19, Rule 127, Rules of Court (of 1940 added), thereby making himself an UNWORTHY Member of the Philippine Bar and, therefore, SUBJECT TO DISBARMENT under Section 25, Rule 127, Rules of Court (of 1940 added), by this Honorable Court for his gross misconduct as attorney, for his willful ignorance and for his deliberate and fraudulent violations of the rights and interests of the Plaintiffs in the residential lot involved in (said) CIVIL CASE NO. 1389-P and of the pertinent laws mentioned in this PETITION and involved in CIVIL CASE NO. 1389-P . . . "[1]

It appears from the records that the anomalous activities complained of which were allegedly violative of Rule 127, Sec. 19 (c) and (g) of the Rules of Court of 1940 (now Rule 138, Sec. 20 (c) and (g)), stemmed from Civil Case No. 1389-P, Court of First Instance of Rizal, Seventh Judicial District, Pasig, Rizal. In the decision of that court dated September 26, 1955, it was established that there was little dispute as to the facts that transpired in the case. It was resolved that the alleged nefarious activities which petitioner herein imputed to have been committed by respondent were in fact legitimate and the sale of the land that had been the subject matter of the above-entitled civil case by the late Felipe del Rosario to spouses, Jose del Rosario and Pilar Magsarili, was a valid transaction and supported by jurisprudence that have been recognized in this jurisdiction.[2]

The inability of the petitioner, Natalio F. Beltran, to submit to this Court a certified copy of said decision of the Court of First Instance in Civil Case No. 1389-P, in compliance with the resolution of this Court dated August 29, 1955[3] and his subsequent withdrawal of this petition for the disbarment of respondent on August 22, 1977[4] indicate a lack of interest to press his charges against the respondent, Cornelio R. Magsarili. However, since administrative cases of this nature, are matters that concern public interest, it is only proper that this Court seek out the truth and establish the truth or falsity of the charges.

Conformably, the Clerk of Court of the Court of First Instance of Rizal was required by the Bar Confidant and Deputy Clerk of Court, Attorney Arturo A. de Leon, to furnish this Court with the decision promulgated by Branch II of that Court in Civil Case No. 1389-P, in a letter dated August 4, 1977.[5] An examination of the decision in the case, Josefa Beltran, et al. vs. Pilar Magsarili, et al., an action, inter alia, for declaration of nullity of a deed of sale, yielded a clear finding of the validity of the deed of sale executed by vendor, Felipe del Rosario to spouses, Jose del Rosario and Pilar Magsarili. Contrary to the charge of petitioner, Atty. Beltran, the sale of the land that was disputed in that case did not deprive the heirs of the late Felipe del Rosario of their legitime, and,

therefore, the actuations of respondent, Atty. Magsarili, who acted as the legal counsel of the spouses, Jose del Rosario and Pilar Magsarili were above board and not violative of law. The complaint which was instituted by complainant as counsel in said case was dismissed.[6]

WHEREFORE, let Administrative Case No. 233 be DISMISSED for lack of merit, with costs against the complainant-petitioner, Atty. Natalio F. Beltran. Let copy of this Resolution be entered in the records of respondent, Atty. Cornelio R. Magsarili.

Fernando, (Chairman), Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Concepcion, Jr., JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, "Petition", pp. 6-7.

[2] Rollo, pp. 23-31.

[3] Id., p. 15.

[4] Id. p 17.

[5] Rollo, p. 20.

[6] Id., p. 32.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.