

CARLOS V. EUSEBIO, COMPLAINANT, VS. NICEFORO S. AGATON, RESPONDENT.

A.C. No. 1777. September 27, 1977

Ponente: CONCEPCION, JR., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-c-no-1777/>

CONCEPCION, JR., J.:

In a sworn letter addressed to the Chief Justice, dated June 15, 1977, Carlos V. Eusebio seeks the disbarment of the attorney for his adversary in Sp. Proc. No. QE-00807 of the Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court of Quezon City, entitled: "Carlos V. Eusebio, petitioner, versus Mercedes B. Eusebio, etc., respondent," upon the ground of gross misconduct for having made the following statement in the Answer;

"3. Petitioner does not possess a balanced mentality and temperament as to be fit to continue with the care, custody, and control of the aforementioned minor children. Indeed, he has arbitrarily and tyrannically ordered his children to stop schooling x x x,"

which statement is allegedly neither dignified nor in keeping with the dignity of the legal profession, and in utter violation and disregard of his duty, as a member of the Bar, to abstain from all offensive personality and to advance no fact prejudicial to the honor or reputation of a party or witnesses, unless required by the justice of the cause with which he is charged.

It is also claimed that the respondent violated his oath as attorney, more particularly that portion wherein he swore to do no falsehood, nor consent to the doing of any in court and to act with good fidelity to the courts, for having made his client verify said Answer, knowing or having sufficient reason to believe that the aforequoted statement is false, thereby consenting to the doing of falsehood in court.

The complainant further claims that by making the aforestated defamatory statement, the respondent has clearly established his bad character and unfitness to remain as lawyer and has brought reproach upon the legal profession, failing as he did, to meet the highest degree of morality and integrity expected of members of the Bar.

The respondent, Atty. Niceforo S. Agaton, was required to answer, and he replied that the statements complained of are, indeed, contained in the Answer he filed in behalf of the respondent, Mercedes B. Eusebio in Sp. Proc. No. 00807 of the Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court of Quezon City, but he denied that he intended to damage or discredit the complainant. He claims that the pleading, although denominated as "Answer", is also a counter-petition for habeas corpus where the complainant's wife is seeking the custody of her minor children, Seth and Jude Eusebio, for which reason, the respondent contends that "(h)e was merely discharging his duties to his client, with a justifiable motive of alleging grounds to show the unfitness of the complainant to take care of the children," and that "(t)he allegations made were intended to show that the complainant had an unstable disposition." The respondent further contends that the allegations complained of are privileged communication because it was filed in the course of a judicial proceeding.

The complaint for disbarment cannot be given due course. The statement complained of is relevant and material to the points at issue and the respondent acted without malice in filing the same. Besides, the said statement, taken in

the light of the responsive pleading in its entirety, is not contemptible as to consider the author thereof guilty of grave misconduct.

WHEREFORE, the complaint for the disbarment of the respondent is hereby dismissed for lack of merit.

SO ORDERED.

Antonio and Santos, JJ., concur.

Fernando (Chairman), J., concurs in the main opinion and the observation of Justice Barredo in his concurrence.

Aquino, J., concurs in the result.

Barredo, J., concurs. Respondent's choice of words is bad, but I feel the language used against complainant is not necessarily insulting, considering the circumstances explained in the answer.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.