

CARMENCHU MADERAZO, COMPLAINANT, VS. ATTY. BENJAMIN DEL ROSARIO, RESPONDENT.*A.C. No. 1267. October 29, 1976*

Ponente: FERNANDO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/a-c-no-1267/>**FERNANDO, J.:**

The impression yielded by this administrative complaint against respondent Benjamin del Rosario, a member of the bar, is that it was not so much the lapse from the moral standard consisting of his having represented himself as separated from his wife, and thus enabling him, presumably after the proper courtship, to live maritally with petitioner Carmenchu Maderazo, but his having abandoned her after a son was born to them. She was thus constrained to file an action for recognition of the child and for his support before the Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court of Quezon City. He was required to answer the complaint. That he did, denying specifically each and every allegation. There was, in addition, the special and affirmative defense that such case for support constituted a prejudicial question which should first be resolved before action is taken on this administrative complaint.

The matter was referred to the Solicitor General's Office on February 21, 1974 for investigation, report and recommendation. The report and recommendation was submitted to this Court on October 1, 1976 by Acting Solicitor General Hugo Gutierrez.[1] It was therein set forth that the issue raised is whether "disciplinary action should be taken against respondent Atty. Benjamin del Rosario for having allegedly cohabited with the complainant Carmenchu V. Maderazo, as her husband, out of which cohabitation a son named Jonathan M. del Rosario was allegedly born of the couple; and for having thereafter abandoned both the complainant and the child." [2] It was then stated: "The complainant in this case adduced no evidence in support of her complaint against the respondent. There is, therefore, no iota of evidence at all to show that respondent is guilty of the charges filed against him." [3] Further: "On the other hand, complainant herself had executed an affidavit marked as Exhibit '1' for the respondent, stating that she was misled by a close relative into filing this administrative complaint against respondent; that the respondent is not the father of her child Jonathan del Rosario, as she had never had any intimate relations with him; that she could not substantiate or prove the allegations in her complaint, which are not true; and that she executed said affidavit for the purpose of dismissing with prejudice the instant administrative case. Moreover, complainant's own lawyer, Atty. Pablito A. Gahol, declared in the investigation of this case that the aforementioned affidavit was voluntarily and freely executed by the complainant. Said affidavit and Atty. Gahol's testimony, coupled with the repeated failure of complainant to appear at the investigation of this case, not only shows her [lack of interest] in prosecuting this case, but strengthens the belief that her instant complaint cannot indeed be substantiated." [4] It was likewise pointed out: "For his part, the respondent affirmed the allegations in his motion to dismiss and denied all the material allegations of the complaint filed against him. Respondent also introduced in evidence the order dated April 22, 1974 of the Court of First Instance of Quezon City in Civil Case No. QE-00616 'For Support and Recognition' of child, which was filed by the complainant in behalf of her child Jonathan M. del Rosario. In said order which respondent marked as his Exhibit '2', the Court dismissed the complaint on the basis of a joint motion filed by the

parties therein." [5]

Under the above circumstances, considering the facts of record, it is understandable why Acting Solicitor General Gutierrez recommended "that this case be dismissed." [6] That is to conform with the settled law on the subject. It goes back to the *In re Tionko* opinion of Justice Malcolm, [7] a 1922 decision. Thus: "The serious consequences of disbarment or suspension should follow only where there is a clear preponderance of evidence against the respondent. The presumption is that the attorney is innocent of the charges preferred and has performed his duty as an officer of the court in accordance with his oath." [8] Since then, such a principle has been adhered to. [9] In the absence of any evidence demonstrating culpability, the administrative charge cannot prosper.

WHEREFORE, the administrative complaint against Benjamin del Rosario is dismissed. Let a copy of this resolution be spread on his record.

Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Concepcion, Jr., JJ., concur.

[1] He was assisted by Assistant Solicitor General Alicia V. Sempio-Diy and Solicitor Amado D. Aquino.

[2] Report and Recommendation, 6.

[3] *Ibid.*

[4] *Ibid.*, 6 -7.

[5] *Ibid.*, 7.

[6] *Ibid.*, 8.

[7] 43 Phil. 191.

[8] *Ibid.*, 194.

[9] Cf. *Javier v. Cornejo*, 63 Phil. 293 (1936); *De Guzman v. Tadeo*, 68 Phil. 554 (1939); *In re Attorney C. T. Oliva*, 103 Phil. 312 (1958); *Blanza v. Arcangel*, Adm. Case No. 492, Sept. 5, 1967, 21 SCRA 1; *Magno v. Gellada*, Adm. Case No. 767, Dec. 20, 1971, 42 SCRA 549; *Misamin v. San Juan*, Adm. Case No. 1418, Aug. 31, 1976.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.