

MANILA ADJUSTERS & SURVEYORS COMPANY, PETITIONER, VS. HON. JUAN BOCAR OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF MANILA, NG YEK KIONG AND ERNESTO COKAI, RESPONDENTS.*G.R. No. L-44126. February 28, 1977*

Ponente: BARREDO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/9155117fad98/>**BARREDO, J.:**

Petition for certiorari and prohibition to annul the writ of preliminary injunction issued by respondent judge and to order said respondent to refrain from enjoining petitioner from proceeding against the letter of credit for P1M issued in its favor by the Insular Bank of Asia and America, the writ in question having been issued in Civil Case No. 99661 of the Court of First Instance of Manila entitled *Ng Yek Kiong and Ernesto Cokai vs. Insular Bank of Asia and America, Manila Adjusters & Surveyors Company and Mariano Pintor, etc.*, on February 18, 1976.

In the complaint of private respondents filed in the court below, it is alleged that herein petitioner entered into a contract of sale of fertilizer with the defendant Ilocos Sur Facoma, represented by its President, the other defendant Mariano Pintor, and to guarantee faithful performance on the part of said Facoma, a letter of credit was opened with the Insular Bank of Asia and America for P1M in favor of petitioner. To secure the issuance of such letter of credit, Pintor made arrangements with herein other respondents, Ng Yek Kiong and Ernesto Cokai, by virtue of which, said respondents bound some of their properties to the Bank, such that in case of non-compliance on the part of the Facoma with the contract of sale, the P1M would be subject to forfeiture and respondents Ng and Cokai would answer with their properties to the Bank. It is also alleged that as the Facoma had defaulted in the performance of its obligation to petitioner, the latter is proceeding against the P1M letter of credit, hence the complaint with motion for preliminary injunction which respondent judge has granted, to enjoin the Bank from honoring the letter of credit and petitioner from collecting the proceeds thereof. The cause of action alleged is that Ng and Cokai, the plaintiffs, bound themselves with their properties to guarantee the P1M letter of credit only because of the fraudulent representations made to them by Pintor. Significantly, said plaintiffs do not appear to be parties to the contract of sale between petitioner and the Facoma. In other words, the Facoma contracted with petitioner upon the security of the letter of credit issued by the Bank, and plaintiffs in turn bound themselves to the Bank on behalf of the Facoma.

On the basis of the foregoing undisputed setting, We hold that the subject writ of preliminary injunction has no legal leg to stand on. On the face of their own complaint, it is evident that plaintiffs have neither contractual nor any kind of relation with petitioner. The petitioner's contract with the Facoma is a separate and independent relationship from the agreement between the Facoma and said plaintiffs, herein respondents Ng and Cokai. Whatever fraud might have attended the latter agreement because of misrepresentations of Pintor to said respondents cannot affect the right of petitioner to the proceeds of the letter of credit, there being no allegation whatsoever linking petitioner to Pintor. It is thus clear that the complaint below states no cause of action against petitioner. Nor is there any basis for enjoining the Bank from honoring the letter of credit, absent any showing that Pintor's alleged fraudulent representations in obtaining the assistance of Ng and Cokai were made on behalf

of or with the knowledge of the Bank. Under Sections 3 and 4 of Rule 58, a preliminary injunction is proper only when the plaintiff appears to be entitled to the relief demanded in his complaint. Such is not the case here.

WHEREFORE, the petition is granted, the order of respondent judge dated February 18, 1976 is hereby set aside, with costs against private respondents.

Fernando, (Chairman), Antonio, Aquino, and Concepcion, Jr., JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.