

THE PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF, VS. DANILO LLANTO, BENJAMIN PAULINO AND COSME ERISPE, ACCUSED, COSME ERISPE, ACCUSED-APPELLANT.*G.R. No. L-37401. January 09, 1979*

Ponente: AQUINO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/8c107c187764/>**AQUINO, J.:**

The Circuit Criminal Court of Manila convicted Cosme Erispe, Benjamin Paulino and Danilo Llanto of murder, sentenced Erispe and Paulino to reclusion perpetua and Llanto to an indeterminate penalty of six years and one day of prision mayor to twelve years and one day of reclusion temporal and ordered them to pay solidarily to the heirs of Felix Ortega P12,000 as indemnity plus P20,000 as moral and exemplary damages (Criminal Case No. 1318). Only Erispe appealed from that judgment which was based on the following facts:

At around ten-thirty in the evening of September 22, 1972, Felix Ortega, 38, married to Patricia Dilabaltan, a security guard of the Lainez Security Guard Agency at Pier 2, and a resident of Isla Puting Bato, Tondo, was surrounded and assaulted by Erispe, 19; Paulino, alias Boy Gaya, 22; Llanto, alias Boy Kalbo, 17; Boy Oxo Tangkad and one named Nestor.

The assault was perpetrated near the outpost and Caltex gas station at Pier 4, North Harbor, Tondo, Manila. That place was illuminated by electric lamps on the street and in the outpost. The five assailants were armed with bladed weapons. Ortega tried to evade their blows by stooping. He reminded his attackers that he was their comrade. The record does not show the motive for the assault and how it started.

After the assault, Ortega, who was mortally wounded, was brought to the Mary Johnston Hospital where he died upon arrival at about ten-fifty-five on that same night. The medico-legal officer of the Manila Metropolitan Police performed an autopsy on his body at two-quarter in the morning of the following day. The corpse was identified by the victim's sister, Fely Arabihan.

The autopsy disclosed that Ortega sustained four stab wounds which penetrated his lung and liver. The location of the stab wounds implies that there was more than one assailant. One fatal stab was on the left side of the chest; another was on the right side of the chest; a third was on the front part of the chest, and the fourth on the back of the chest (lateral posterior left chest). The victim also had a lacerated wound on the chin and an abrasion on the lower lip.

The stabbing was witnessed at close range by Vicky Agaton Derima, 30, married, and a vendor of crabs at the Asuncion market in Tondo. (She sold in that market the catch of the fishing boat owned by her and her husband, Rudy Derima, a jeepney driver.) She was allegedly at Pier 4 at past ten o'clock on that night of September 22, 1972 because she was waiting for a jeepney to take her to her residence at 156 Dulo Street, Isla Puting Bato.

She was acquainted with the victim and with three of his assailants, namely, Erispe, Llanto and Paulino. She was a member of the Manila Citizens' Police which was under the supervision of a certain Major Adolfo of Precinct 1

of the Manila Metropolitan Police located at North Bay Boulevard, Balut, Tondo.

It should be noted that Erispe was a resident of 93 Dulo Street, the same street where Vicky resided. Llanto was a resident of Breakwater, Isla Puting Bato, while Paulino was a resident of 630 Pitong Gatang Street, Tondo. Boy Oxo was killed later by a member of the Metropolitan Police Command. Nestor went into hiding and had not been apprehended. Erispe, Llanto and Paulino were members of the citizens' police patrol which was organized to maintain peace and order in Isla Puting Bato. As noted above, Vicky was also a member of that organization.

After witnessing the assault, Vicky rushed home. She was confused and gripped with fear. She did not notice what the assailants did after the assault. She told a friend named Elsie about the incident. Elsie reported the matter to the police. On that same night, Vicky was questioned by the police but she did not sign any statement.

Her statement was taken on October 8, 1972 by an investigator of the homicide division of the Manila police force. During the investigation, she identified Erispe, Llanto and Paulino as three of the five assailants whom she saw stabbing Ortega. The three were arrested by operatives of Precinct 1 on the preceding day, October 7.

The other prosecution witness, Aniano Austria, 21, a resident of Breakwater, Isla Puting Bato, Tondo, saw the assault upon Ortega because, like Vicky Agaton Derima, he happened to be at Pier 4, the scene of the crime, waiting to board a jeepney which would take him to Isla Puting Bato. While Vicky was near the assailants, Austria was on the other side of the street about eight meters away. He saw Vicky witnessing the assault.

Austria was acquainted with Vicky and with the assailants. Erispe used to frequent his place. In fact, the next day Erispe went to his place and said that, although Ortega was wounded, he fought back. Austria was a member of the assailants' gang. But he did not join them in staging holdups.

Austria, who also knew the victim, Ortega, and his family, was investigated by the police after the incident. He told the policemen that Erispe, Llanto and Paulino wounded Ortega. He was not able to give any statement because he was under protective custody at Camp Crame since he was being molested by the assailants of Ortega.

On October 9, 1972, or one day after Vicky's statement was taken (Exh. 2), an information for murder was filed against Erispe, Llanto and Paulino. During the trial, Paulino and Erispe pleaded the same alibi, which was that at the time the incident happened, they were in Mariveles, Bataan working as cargadores in the branch office of the Rice and Corn Administration. Their alibi was confirmed by their alleged foreman, Francisco Duero, 29, who presented a notebook allegedly containing the vales or petty loans obtained by Erispe, Paulino and other laborers.

But, as correctly pointed out by the trial court, the said notebook does not exculpate Erispe and Paulino because it was not properly kept and it contains many missing pages. Besides, the entries were not made by Duero but by an unnamed person who did not testify in court.

Llanto's alibi was that when the incident occurred, he was in his house at Breakwater, Isla Puting Bato. The trial court did not give credence to the alibis of the three accused. Llanto and Paulino did not appeal from the judgment of conviction.

Erispe in this appeal contends that the trial court erred in believing the testimonies of Austria and Vicky Agaton Derima, in not sustaining his alibi, and in not acquitting him on the ground of reasonable doubt.

Erispe admitted that he was acquainted with his co-accused, Paulino and Llanto. Asked to explain why Vicky implicated him in the killing of Ortega, Erispe declared that Vicky had a grudge against him because he was a member of the Zoto Organization and Vicky was a former member thereof. She was allegedly expelled because she spent its money.

In rebuttal, Vicky denied Erispe's imputation. She explained that she resigned from the Zoto organization because

of its bad practices such as selling the land of the government for P200. She surmised that the accused smeared her character because she did not cooperate with them in implementing their nefarious enterprises. She disclosed that Erispe's brother-in-law offered to pay her any amount if she would desist from testifying against him.

Appellant's counsel, with much zeal and diligence, subjected the testimonies of Austria and Mrs. Derima to a painstaking scrutiny. He noted some contradictions or inconsistencies therein. He vehemently contends that those discrepancies impaired the credibility of the two prosecution witnesses. However, the Solicitor General in his brief competently refuted appellant's arguments and conclusions.

We hold that the alleged contradictions do not destroy the probative value of the testimonies of Austria and Mrs. Derima. They did not wilfully pervert the truth nor perpetrate deliberate lies. The decisive fact is that they were present when the accused ganged up on Ortega and made a concerted effort to injure and kill him. The inconsistencies pertained to minor details which would not justify the exoneration of the accused. Moreover, the record does not show any reason or motive as to why Mrs. Derima and Austria would frame up appellant Erispe and impute to him a capital offense which he did not commit. His alibi is not credible.

The killing is murder qualified by abuse of superiority, a circumstance alleged in the information. The penalty of reclusion perpetua was correctly imposed.

WHEREFORE, the trial court's judgment against appellant Erispe is affirmed. Costs against the appellant.

SO ORDERED.

Fernando, (Chairman), Barredo, Antonio, Concepcion, Jr., and Santos, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.