

POTENCIANO MENIL AND WIFE CRISPINA NAYVE, PETITIONERS, VS. COURT OF APPEALS, AGUEDA GARAN, FRANCISCO CALANIAS, MIGUEL NAYVE, JR., AND DEVELOPMENT BANK OF THE PHILIPPINES, RESPON...

G.R. Nos. L-43668-69. November 21, 1978

Ponente: GUERRERO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/8a3610e7606b/>

GUERRERO, J.:

Petitioners' first motion for reconsideration having been denied for lack of merit and its denial considered final in the Court's Resolution of October 4, 1978, the motion for leave to file a second motion for reconsideration is NOTED.

We must, however, make a correction of typographical errors and a modification in the dispositive portion of Our decision in order to conform to the Court's findings of facts and to the law and jurisprudence applied thereto.

Thus, on page 5 of the Decision, line 11, the date "May 7, 1960" should read "November 3, 1955."

Since We ruled that the deed of sale executed within the 5-years prohibitory period was null and void, the vendor-homesteader (the private respondent herein) must return or reimburse the price of the sale to the vendees who are the petitioners.

Accordingly, par. (3) of the dispositive portion should read:

(3) Ordering respondent Agueda Garan to reimburse petitioners Potenciano Menil and his wife, Crispina Nayve, the sum of P415.00, the price of the sale, the interest thereon being compensated by the fruits which petitioners had received during their possession of the homestead.

We also modify par. (4) of the dispositive portion to read as follows:

(4) Ordering petitioners to pay the agricultural loan obtained by them from the Development Bank of the Philippines for which the land had been mortgaged as collateral. In case the loan remains unpaid within thirty (30) days from finality of the judgment, the private respondent, instead of reimbursing P415.00 to the petitioners, may use the same and turn over the amount to the mortgagee to be credited as payment of the mortgage debt.

With respect to the rest of the dispositive portion, Our decision remains.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, (Chairman), Makasiar, Muñoz Palma, and Fernandez, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.