

JULIETA P. DALISAY, COMPLAINANT, VS. EUCLIDES DALISAY, RESPONDENT.

Adm. Matter No. P-1623. October 09, 1979

Ponente: FERNANDEZ, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/89f7bfb383f6/>

FERNANDEZ, J.:

In a verified letter-complaint filed with this Court on April 27, 1977, Julieta Pueblas Dalisay charged her husband, Euclides Dalisay, a court stenographer in the Court of First Instance of Davao Oriental, Branch IV, with immorality.[1]

The complaint alleged that the complainant and the respondent were married in Mati, Davao Oriental, on August 22, 1970; that later the complainant and the respondent had a son who was named Geoffrey; that sometime in the early part of 1972, the respondent abandoned the complainant and their son and lived with another woman, Salome Lumod, at Mati, Davao Oriental; that the respondent also failed to support the complainant and their son; and that the respondent likewise broke his promise to leave Salome Lumod.

In his comment,[2] the respondent denied the charge and alleged that it was the complainant who left their conjugal home.

In a resolution dated July 31, 1978, this Court referred the instant case to Executive Judge Francisco Consolacion of the Court of First Instance of Davao City for investigation, report and recommendation.[3]

The evidence adduced by the complainant established that the complainant and the respondent were married on August 22, 1970 as shown by their marriage contract[4]; that the complainant later gave birth to a son named Geoffrey on June 22, 1971[5]; that in the early part of 1972, the respondent left his family and stayed away from their conjugal home; that later in 1972, the complainant discovered that the respondent was living with another woman named Salome Lumod; that upon said discovery, the respondent promised to leave Salome Lumod and he and the complainant together with Salome Lumod executed on November 22, 1972 a mutual agreement which reads:

"MUTUAL AGREEMENT

That I, EUCLIDES P. DALISAY, of legal age, married to Julieta Pueblas and a resident of Mati, Davao Oriental is hereby known as party of the first part;

I, JULIETA PUEBLAS, of legal age, married to Euclides P. Dalisay and a resident of Mati, Davao Oriental is hereby known as party of the second part;

I, SALOME LUMOD, of legal age, single and a resident of Mati, Davao Oriental is hereby known as party of the third part;

That party of the first part here-by promise to the party of the second part that he will remain faithful to the party of the second part and will live with her again as husband and wife;

That party of the third part also promise that she will not come in contact with the party of the first part;

That party of the second part hereby promise to the party of the first and third part to forgive them of their illegal actuations in the past and to warn the party of the first part not to perform other illegal acts in the future.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto affix our signatures this 22nd day of November, 1972, at Mati, Davao Oriental, Philippines.

(SGD) EUCLIDES P. DALISAY

Party of the first part

(SGD) JULIETA PUEBLAS DALISAY (SGD) SALOME LUMOD

Party of the second part Party of the third part

Witness to signatures:

1. ILLEGIBLE

2. ILLEGIBLE"[6];

that despite the foregoing undertaking, the respondent continued to live with Salome Lumod and they had three children namely, Randolph L. Dalisay who was born on May 9, 1973[7]; Clyde L. Dalisay who was born on July 1, 1976[8]; and Jennifer L. Dalisay; and that the respondent also failed to support the complainant and their son Geoffrey.

After the complainant had rested her case during the investigation, the respondent, through counsel, made the following manifestation:

"ATTY. LADERA:

Your Honor please, after a lengthy discussion with my client, he manifested his desire not to present evidence any longer your Honor so we are now waiving the right of the respondent to present evidence in this proceeding and we are therefore submitting the case for the resolution of the Honorable Court with-out any further argument your Honor."[9]

The investigating judge submitted a report based on the evidence of the complainant only. He found that the respondent is guilty of the charge of immorality and recommended that he be dismissed from the government service.

The evidence of record has clearly shown that the respondent is not only guilty of immorality but of failure to comply with his duty to support the complainant and their son Geoffrey. The penalty of separation from the service is warranted.

WHEREFORE, the respondent is found guilty of immorality and of failure to support his wife, the complainant, and their son, Geoffrey, and is hereby ordered DISMISSED as court stenographer of the Court of First Instance of Davao Oriental, Branch IV, with forfeiture of all retirement privileges and with prejudice to reinstatement in the national and local governments, as well as in any instrumentality or agency including government owned or controlled corporations, effective upon the finality of this decision.

Let a copy of this decision be filed with his personal record.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, Acting C.J., (Chairman), Makasiar, Guerrero, De Castro, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, pp. 1-2.

[2] Rollo, pp. 5-6.

[3] Rollo, p. 28.

[4] Exhibit "A", Rollo, p. 57.

[5] Certificate of Live Birth, Exhibit "B", Rollo, p. 58.

[6] Exhibit "E", Rollo, p. 62.

[7] Certificate of Live Birth, Exhibit "C", Rollo, p. 59.

[8] Certification of the Local Civil Registrar, Exhibit "D", Rollo, p. 60.

[9] T.s.n., pp. 44-45, Hearing on January 26, 1979, afternoon session.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.