

THE PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PETITIONER, VS. HONORABLE ENRIQUE B. INTING, JUDGE OF THE CITY COURT OF DAVAO CITY, AND JOSE ALVARADO, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. L-41959. March 31, 1976

Ponente: ESGUERRA, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/866673cbdcc6/>

ESGUERRA, J.:

Considering that this petition for review on certiorari of the order dated October 21, 1975, of the City Court of Davao, Branch II, in its Criminal Case No. 8053-B, entitled "The People of the Philippines vs. Jose Alvarado, for Estafa" which order dismissed the case "on the ground that the prosecution has failed to prove the crime charged", is an actual attempt by the petitioner to appeal from a judgment of acquittal in a criminal case that became final and executory upon its promulgation, an appeal that would, as correctly contended by the Solicitor General, place the accused in double jeopardy because there was a trial on the merits and the trial court in the full exercise of its jurisdiction found the evidence of the prosecution insufficient to support conviction of the accused, this Court resolved to deny the petition for review.

Charged with estafa under Article 315, 3rd case, paragraph 1(b) of the Revised Penal Code, the accused, Jose Alvarado, was acquitted by the trial court on its reasoning that his failure to return a typewriter he bought from complainant Business Machines Corporation "with reservation of title, right of repossession and forfeiture of all partial payments upon default", after the postdated check for P854.25 issued as payment had been dishonored, could be simply considered as a breach of civil obligation and not a violation of Article 315, paragraph 1(b) of the Revised Penal Code.

The Solicitor General in his comment dated January 5, 1976, contends that a contract to sell as the one involved herein must be distinguished from a contract of sale, and that in the former case the failure of the purchaser to pay as agreed would prevent the contract of sale from taking place and the purchaser would be duty bound to return the very same thing received or become liable for estafa under par. (b), sub. no. 1 of Article 315 of the Revised Penal Code. Although he believes that the trial court committed an error in the interpretation of the law and in acquitting the accused, nevertheless he concedes that a correction by appeal of such an error in the guise of a petition for certiorari, an error which is non-jurisdictional in character, would result in a violation of the constitutional inhibition against double jeopardy (Article IV, Section 22, 1973 Constitution).

Since the Solicitor General who has control of all appeals by the State in criminal cases and the private respondent (accused) are in accord that the present petition (by way of appeal from an acquittal on the merits) would place the accused in double jeopardy, the petition must be denied outright without need of going into the correctness of respondent judge's ruling.

Since no appeal by the State lies from an acquittal verdict, the petition must be as it is hereby denied.

Teehankee, (Chairman), Makasiar, Muñoz Palma, and Martin, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.