

JOSE M. CASTILLO, PETITIONER, VS. HON. COURT OF APPEALS AND PHILIPPINE LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE COMPANY, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. L-38137. July 17, 1975

Ponente: CASTRO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/85ecf5f250b7/>

CASTRO, J.:

On May 18, 1971 Jose M. Castillo (hereinafter referred to as the petitioner) instituted in the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, Branch IV, an action for damages (civil case 255-B) against the Philippine Long Distance Telephone Company (hereinafter referred to as the PLDT) resulting from the disconnection by the latter of his residential telephone service at 8 Mango Road, Malabon, Rizal, from April 23 to May 6 of the same year because of alleged non-payment of an account of P242. The petitioner claimed that the PLDT discontinued his telephone service without sending him any prior statement of account and notice of disconnection. The PLDT answered the complaint after the court a quo denied its motion to dismiss on the ground of improper venue.

On December 1, 1971 the petitioner received notice of another disconnection of his telephone service. To forestall any actual disconnection, he immediately filed with the court a quo an urgent ex parte motion seeking to restrain the PLDT from carrying out its threat to discontinue anew his telephone service. On December 3, 1971 the court a quo issued a restraining order directing the PLDT to desist from carrying out its threat to disconnect or from discontinuing the petitioner's telephone service.

Upon learning of the existence of, the restraining order at the pre-trial of the case on March 27, 1973, the PLDT filed with the court a quo on May 15, 1973, a motion to lift the said order. The PLDT challenged the jurisdiction of the court a quo to restrain acts contemplated to be done beyond the territorial boundaries of Bulacan. This motion the court a quo denied on June 15, 1973 for lack of "legal and factual merit."

Aggrieved, the PLDT, on certiorari, petitioned the Court of Appeals to annul the order restraining it from carrying out the threatened disconnection of the petitioner's telephone service as well as the order denying its motion to lift the said restraining order issued by the court a quo. The PLDT claimed that the court a quo – a court of first instance of Bulacan – acted without jurisdiction in restraining an act sought to be done in Rizal. The PLDT also sought the issuance of a writ of preliminary injunction to restrain the court a quo from enforcing its restraining order.

The appellate court gave due course to the certiorari petition interposed by the PLDT and caused the issuance of a writ of preliminary injunction under bond.

On January 11, 1974 the Court of Appeals set aside the orders of the court a quo dated December 3, 1971 and June 15, 1973 and made permanent the writ of preliminary injunction it earlier issued. The appellate court upheld the theory of the PLDT that the court a quo lacked jurisdiction to restrain an act sought to be done beyond the territorial boundaries of Bulacan.

Hence, the present petition for review on certiorari of the decision of the Court of Appeals dated January 11, 1974.

Without giving due course to the petition, the Court required the PLDT to comment thereon. The PLDT duly complied, and the Court subsequently gave due course to the petition and considered the comment it filed as its answer to the petition. The Court then required the parties to submit memoranda. Both the petitioner and the PLDT filed their memoranda on April 2, 1974. The PLDT thereafter filed its reply memorandum on April 8, 1974, the petitioner filed his on April 16, 1974.

At the hearing held on August 27, 1974, the Court invited the attention of the parties to the possibility of a settlement of their differences in the case at bar and in the case (civil case 255-B) pending in the court a quo.

Subsequently, on October 1, 1974, the petitioner and the PLDT, in a "Joint Manifestation," informed the Court that they "have amicably settled their differences" regarding the case at bar and civil case 255-B pending in the Court of First Instance of Bulacan and asked that the present petition "be considered withdrawn."

The Court finds no impediment, legal or otherwise, to granting the parties' request for the withdrawal of the present petition. Per their "Joint Manifestation," they have amicably settled their differences, and disown any intention to continue with further litigation.

ACCORDINGLY, the withdrawal of the petition in L--38137 is granted, and the Court of First Instance of Bulacan, Branch IV, is hereby directed to dismiss civil case 255-B. No costs.

Makasiar, Esguerra, Munoz Palma, and Martin, JJ., concur.

Teehankee, J., is on leave.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.