

**PHILIPPINE LABOR ALLIANCE COUNCIL, PETITIONER, VS.  
CALIFORNIA EMPLOYEES LABOR UNION, CONFEDERATION OF  
GENERAL WORKERS (CGW) AND CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY, INC., CARMELO N...**

*G.R. No. L-42155. May 31, 1976*

Ponente: FERNANDO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/8522bbfa5582/>

---

**FERNANDO, J.:**

No question is raised in this certiorari proceeding filed by petitioner Philippine Labor Affiance Council about the holding of a certification election. So it was decreed in the order of October 29, 1975 of respondent Carmelo Noriel, Director of the Bureau of Labor Relations. It was, however, limited only to the Parañaque plant of respondent California Manufacturing Company, Inc. It did not include the labor force working in its Pasay plant. In that sense, there was a modification of the earlier order of Med-Arbiter Romeo A. Young. As set forth in the petition: "In his order of July 25, 1975, Med-Arbiter Romeo Young upheld the contention of PLAC that the Pasay rank-and-file workers of California Manufacturing Company should be allowed to vote in the certification election at Parañaque because they are shortly to be relocated to the Parañaque Plant and will, therefore, belong to the employee force working in that single location." [1] On appeal to respondent Director, however, by respondents California Employees Labor Union and Confederation of General Workers, respondent Noriel limited such certification election, as set forth above, only to the respondent company's plant at Parañaque, Rizal, his reason being that the Pasay plant was itself a separate bargaining unit. [2]

This Court issued a temporary restraining order and required comments on the part of respondents. The two respondent labor unions submitted a pleading entitled Comment and Manifestation wherein it stated: "That the overwhelming majority of the general membership of the respondent California Employees Labor Union has decided to agree to the inclusion of the workers of the California Manufacturing Co., Inc. working presently at Pasay City and be allowed to vote in the forthcoming certification election in the interest of expeditious labor justice \* \* \*." [3] The prayer then is for this Court to take such comment and manifestation "in the speedy disposition" of this case. Respondent Director, in his comment submitted, after noting that the aforesaid labor unions did manifest that the overwhelming majority of their general membership did agree to the inclusion of the workers of the California Manufacturing Company, Inc. working at Pasay City in the certification election to be held, made clear his stand. Thus: "Considering the above Comment and Manifestation of California Employees Labor Union and Confederation of General Workers as well as the workers' interest in the immediate resolution of the dispute at bar, respondent public official also interpose no objection to the holding of a certification election to be conducted simultaneously among the rank and file workers of the Paranaque and Pasay Plants of the California Manufacturing Company, Inc." [4] Respondent Company, as is but proper, limited itself to the plea that such certification election to be held, on the assumption that it would include the Pasay workers, be deferred until sixty days before the expiration of the then existing collective bargaining contract with such labor force so that there would "be only one collective bargaining negotiation covering one bargaining unit." The expiration date is June

19, 1976.

This Court considered the aforesaid comments as answers. Clearly then, considering their tenor, the petition should prosper. Respondent Noriel is himself agreeable to what is therein sought. Respondent labor unions did expressly manifest their approval to the certification election including the Pasay workers. So did the respondent Company, which is justified in seeking deferment until sixty days before the expiration of the then existing collective bargaining contract with such unit. That period has now been reached.

WHEREFORE, as prayed for, the questioned order of respondent Director Noriel is nullified and set aside, but only insofar as it would modify the order of Med-Arbiter Romeo Young of July 25, 1975, with the result that the certification election to be held should include both the Parañaque and Pasay rank-and-file workers of the California Manufacturing Company, Inc. The implementation of this decision is left to respondent Carmelo Noriel as Director of the Bureau of Labor Relations, who is ordered to proceed forthwith in accordance with the provisions of the Labor Code. No costs.

Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Martin, JJ., concur.

Concepcion, Jr., J., is on leave.

---

[1] Petition, 10.

[2] Ibid, Annex L.

[3] Comment and Manifestation, 1.

[4] Comment of Respondent Noriel, 3.

**ABOUT BATAS.ORG**

# Study smarter at BATAS.org

*The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.*

**The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.**

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

**Audio case-brief lectures.**

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

**Bar review built on the science of memory.**

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

**The full law-school curriculum.**

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

**Mock exams that tell you where you stand.**

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

**A study planner that keeps you on pace.**

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

**Start free at <https://batas.org>**

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.