

ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT, INC., PETITIONER, VS. MINISTER OF LABOR, DIRECTOR OF EMPLOYMENT SERVICES AND MIGUEL V. ASPERA, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. L-64967. September 23, 1985

Ponente: AQUINO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/7cec75254f07/>

AQUINO, J.:

This is a claim for overtime pay. Miguel Aspera, a mechanical engineer, worked for Engineering Equipment, Inc. in Saudi Arabia for nearly a year from April 26, 1977 to April 16, 1978 at a monthly salary of P750 (P860) with a six-day work week consisting of ten working hours. His written contract of employment provides:

"1. Work Schedule/Assignment. x x x Your work days shall be on a six-day work week basis, with a working day consisting of ten (10) working hours. You may be required to work overtime in excess of ten (10) hours each, work day and to work on your restdays and on Saudi Arabian legal holidays.

"2. A monthly salary of P750.00 plus overtime pay for work rendered during restdays/holidays and/or in excess of ten (10) hours during regular working days."

Aspera worked ten hours daily for 335 working days. He claims that his monthly salary should correspond to eight hours of daily work and that for the additional two hours daily, he was entitled to overtime pay at \$1.2162 per hour or to \$814.85 for 670 hours during 335 working days.

The Director of Employment Services and the National Labor Relations Commission sustained his claim and awarded him that amount as overtime pay. They declared void the stipulation for a ten-hour working day because it was contrary to section 83 of the Labor Code, formerly Eight-Hour Labor Law, which expressly provides that "the normal hours of work of any employee shall not exceed eight (8) hours a day" and to section 87 of the same Code which provides that work performed "beyond eight (8) hours a day" is treated as overtime work.

Hence, this recourse by the petitioner. It contends that Aspera was a managerial employee exercising supervision and control over its rank-and-file employees with power to recommend disciplinary action or their dismissal. Section 82 of the Labor Code provides that managerial employees are not entitled to overtime pay.

It also asserts that Aspera was one of several employees who signed written contracts with a "built-in" overtime pay in the ten-hour working day and that their basic monthly pay was adjusted to reflect the higher amount covering the guaranteed two-hour extra time whether worked or unworked.

Moreover, it argues that the contracts were submitted to BES Director Jonathan M.R.A. de la Cruz, the same director who rendered the questioned decision. He approved the same. Without his approval, the petitioner would not have stipulated the ten-hour work schedule and would have provided for a lower basic salary for an eight-hour working day.

In addition to his salary, Aspera was given free board and lodging while in Saudi Arabia and free transportation in

going to and returning from that country.

We hold that under the particular circumstances of this case the Acting Minister of Labor and Director De la Cruz committed a grave abuse of discretion amounting to lack of jurisdiction in awarding overtime pay and in disregarding a contract that De la Cruz himself, who is supposed to know the Eight-Hour Labor Law, had previously sealed with his imprimatur. Because of that approval, the petitioner acted in good faith in enforcing the contract.

Furthermore, Aspera had not denied that he was a managerial employee within the meaning of section 82. As such, he was not entitled to overtime pay.

WHEREFORE, the resolution of the Acting Minister of Labor dated November 16, 1981 is reversed and set aside. Aspera's complaint is dismissed. No costs.

SO ORDERED.

Concepcion, Jr., Abad Santos, Escolin, Cuevas, and Alampay, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.