

VISION MARINE CREWING SERVICES CORPORATION, HAZZA M. ABURAS, AND JOHN GLENN M. FRIGILLANA, PETITIONERS, V. NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS COMMISSION (FOURTH DIVISION), AND DOMINGO J. ...

Ponente: TOLENTINO, A.G., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/70250e62b75a/>

TOLENTINO, A.G., J.:

Before this Court is a Motion For Reconsideration[1] of the Decision promulgated on January 14, 2014, filed by the petitioners on February 3, 2014.[2]

The petitioners argue that the private respondent failed to adduce evidence to prove that his medical condition arose out of an accident. They likewise aver that the evidence on record is conclusive and explicit that the private respondent has not been rendered totally and permanently disabled. The petitioners also contend that Dr. Escutin's (the private respondent's own doctor) medical assessment cannot be concluded as an accurate evaluation of the private respondent's medical condition. They aver that this Court seemed to have misappreciated the plain import of Article 192 of the Labor Code. According to the petitioners, since their accredited doctors made a declaration of partial permanent disability equivalent to grade eight (8) within the two hundred forty-day period, the presumption by operation of law of total permanent disability under Article 192 of the Labor Code did not set in.[3]

The Motion is bereft of merit. The petitioners' argument are mere rehash of the matters and issues which were already passed upon, resolved, and considered in the assailed Decision. Accordingly, we find no substantial or compelling reason to reverse or modify the assailed Decision.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the instant Motion For Reconsideration is DENIED for lack of merit.

SO ORDERED.

Rosario and Inting,[*] JJ., concur.

[*] Vice Justice Leoncia R. Dimagiba, per Office Order No. 181-14-ABR dated May 5, 2014.

[1] Rollo, pp. 502-514.

[2] Rollo, p. 503.

[3] Rollo, pp. 504-511.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.