

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION FOR HABEAS CORPUS OF MAXIMO PAMPLONA, AURELIO PAMPLONA, PETITIONER, VS. THE MUNICIPAL JUDGE AND THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR MUNICIPAL WARDEN BOTH OF...

G.R. No. L-40879. July 25, 1975

Ponente: FERNANDO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/63d039a2e349/>

FERNANDO, J.:

What is assailed in this application for habeas corpus filed on June 30, 1975 by Aurelio Pamplona on behalf of his father, Maximo Pamplona, confined in the Municipal Jail of Calamba, Laguna, is an order of Judge Enrico Lanzanas of Calamba, Laguna, declaring a judgment of conviction for grave coercion duly appealed as having attained finality. It is impressed, according to the petition, with an illegal, unconstitutional, arbitrary and oppressive aspect. The facts show that on May 31, 1973 a complaint for grave coercion was filed against Maximo Pamplona in the Municipal Court of Calamba, Laguna. Thereafter, hearings were conducted in the Municipal Court of Calamba, Laguna, after which a decision was rendered and promulgated on May 5, 1975 convicting the accused Maximo Pamplona and sentencing him to suffer three months of arresto mayor and to pay the cost.[1] When the judgment of the Municipal Court of Calamba, Laguna, penned by Judge Enrico Lanzanas, was promulgated on May 5, 1975, the accused through counsel manifested in open court that he is appealing said decision to the Court of First Instance of Laguna and at the same time asked that he be allowed provisional liberty on the basis of the bail already filed with the Municipal Court to which said Judge acceded.[2] In addition to the verbal notice of appeal made in open court on May 5, 1975, he filed his notice of appeal and sent the same by registered mail to the Municipal Court of Calamba, Laguna.[3] It came as a surprise therefore when towards the end of May, 1975, Maximo Pamplona was arrested by the Calamba Police upon an arrest order issued by Municipal Judge Enrico Lanzanas on May 28, 1975, wherein it was stated that while he did file a notice of appeal, he had failed to post an appeal bond. Then came this dispositive portion: "It appearing that the period of fifteen (15) days from the promulgation of judgment has expired without the accused perfecting his appeal, his arrest and detention to serve his final judgment is ordered." [4]

This Court, on July 2, 1975, issued a writ of habeas corpus returnable to it on Monday, July 7, 1975. In the return submitted by respondent Municipal Judge Enrico Lanzanas and respondent Chief of Police Vivencio S. Manai, the dismissal of the petition for lack of merit was sought. There must have been further reflection on the part of respondent Judge, however, for on the date of the hearing on July 7, 1975, he did admit in all candor that the judgment rendered by him could not legally be considered as final. The appeal had to take its due course. The release of Maximo Pamplona from custody would therefore be warranted. He gave his word that such would be the case. That would be one way by which the order appealed could be purged of its arbitrary taint, which, if left uncorrected, would have amounted to a deprivation of liberty without due process.

Under date of July 18, 1975, respondent Judge filed a manifestation which reads as follows: "On July 8, 1975 at 1:30 o'clock in the afternoon, a cash bond pending appeal (appeal bond) was filed by Magdaleno Barit, as

bondsman, under Official Receipt No. 4484100-T, for the provisional liberty of the accused, Maximo Pamplona, pending appeal; On even date, this Court immediately issued an Order commanding the Municipal Jailer of Calamba, Laguna to release from custody the person of the accused, Maximo Pamplona, . . .; On the same date, this Court issued an Order approving the appeal of the accused from the decision of this Court dated March 18, 1975, promulgated on May 5, 1975, and directed the Clerk of Court to transmit the records of the case to the Court of Appeals, for proper disposition on appeal, . . ."[5] The amendatory order[6] is worded thus: "It appearing that the accused in the above-entitled case has filed his Notice of Appeal within the reglementary period and it appearing further that the filing of the Notice of Appeal is the operative act which perfects the appeal, the Order of this Court dated May 28, 1975 is hereby amended to read and be understood as ordering the arrest of the accused for his failure to post the necessary bond on appeal and his detention is ordered until such time as he posts the necessary appeal bond. The portion of the Order disallowing the appeal is hereby reconsidered and all subsequent orders deeming the decision as final and executory are likewise revoked."[7]

WHEREFORE, with the application for habeas corpus having served its purpose as the writ of liberty, no further action thereon need be taken by this Court, and the case is considered terminated. No costs.

Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Concepcion, Jr., JJ., concur.

[1] Petition, par. 7.

[2] Ibid, par. 8.

[3] Ibid, par. 9.

[4] Ibid, par. 10.

[5] Manifestation of Respondent Judge dated July 8, 1975.

[6] Annex B to Manifestation.

[7] Ibid.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.